



## Meeting Necessity instead of Serendipity: Miscellaneous Nomenclatural Notes on Asteraceae

ZHEN-HAO FENG<sup>2,3,6</sup>, ZHANG-JIE HUANG<sup>1,7</sup>, HAO-NAN SUN<sup>4,8</sup> & SU LIU<sup>5,9\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Resource Biology and Biotechnology in Western China, Ministry of Education, Northwest University – 710069, Xi'an, Shaanxi Province, China

<sup>2</sup>Wuheyou Library, Bazi Collection & Botanical Garden – 661100, Mengzi, Yunnan Province, China

<sup>3</sup>Tongren Art and Sports Experimental Junior High School, Tianhe District – 510599, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China

<sup>4</sup>College of Landscape and Tourism, Hebei Agricultural University – 071000, Baoding, Hebei Province, China

<sup>5</sup>Shanghai Chenshan Botanical Garden – 201602, Shanghai, China

<sup>6</sup> shengfung@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1550-3519>

<sup>7</sup> lydy1993@126.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2288-6952>

<sup>8</sup> asier0016@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-0331-1783>

<sup>9</sup> su.liu1982@foxmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2237-6284>

\*Author for correspondence

### Abstract

While compiling a continuously updated checklist of families and genera of world embryophytes, the authors find it necessary to resolve some nomenclatural issues in Asteraceae (Compositae). Here we propose a new supertribal classification for Asteroideae with five supertribes, two of which (Calendulodae and Anthemidodae) are new and one (Ambrosiodae) is a replacement name. A new tribe Leucomerideae in Stifftioideae is described, with the monotypic *Nouelia* newly treated as a synonym. Two older generic names, *Anacis* and *Epilepis*, are resurrected to follow the revision of *Coreopsis* s.l. and to avoid later homonymy or superfluity, alongside with necessary new combinations. A new nothogenus *Coreacis* is thereby established. The correct spelling Malacothrichinae of the subtribe ‘Malacothricinae’ is discussed.

**Key words:** Asteraceae, later homotypy, new combination, supertribe, tribe

### Introduction

Taxonomy is one of the three branches of systematics, the work of which culminates in classification systems serving as the framework for storage and retrieval of information on biodiversity (Stuessy 2009). Botanical nomenclatural databases established on the basis of proper classification systems are of critical importance for botanical and ecological research, especially in a world confronted by ongoing global crises of climate change and biodiversity loss (Costa *et al.* 2023).

Asteraceae is one of the largest family of vascular plants which embraces more than 1700 genera and about 32000–35000 species including herbs, subshrubs, shrubs and rarely trees distributed worldwide except Antarctica (POWO 2017+; Mandel *et al.* 2019). It is currently subdivided into 16 subfamilies and circa 50 tribes and tribal clades (Susanna *et al.* 2020; Zhang *et al.* in press). Due to its rapid adaptive radiation and explosion of diversity since Eocene, the phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic adjustment of many tribes and large genera in Asteraceae are either achieved only in recent years (e.g., Anthemideae: Oberprieler *et al.* 2022; Calenduleae: Sadler *et al.* 2022; disintegration of *Cirsium*: Del Guacchio *et al.* 2022; Moreyra *et al.* 2023) or still yet to be satisfactorily made (e.g., Astereae, Eupatorieae, Gnaphalieae, Vernonieae, *Senecio* s.l.). For the species in these taxa, some considerable nomenclatural changes, especially those involving generic names, are therefore expectable in the foreseeable future, and an up-to-date classification system should be established as soon as possible.

Large taxa like Asteraceae usually involve proportionally large nomenclatural problems. According to our database (Duocet Group 2016+), more than 47000 valid generic names and invalid generic designations of flowering plants have been published, among which at least 4529 (namely less than 10%) names and designations belong to

Asteraceae. Correct nomenclature for updated taxonomy needs thorough and laborious investigation for the status of relevant generic and infrafamilial names following the current rules of *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (ICN)*, which may sometimes be understandably overlooked by researchers.

While compiling a continuously updated checklist of families and genera of world embryophytes, we encountered some nomenclatural issues in Asteraceae, and decided to resolve them here.

## Material and methods

Based on the MediaWiki-based website Duocet Wiki (<https://duocet.ibiodiversity.net/>), we have been compiling a linear (numbered) synopsis of the Asteraceae classification, for which we determine accepted taxa following both the latest studies and our criteria of classification. Unaccepted generic names and designations are attached to accepted genera as synonyms. The 4529 generic names and designations are mainly obtained from three online databases: Tropicos (1982+), IPNI (1999+) and ING (Farr & Zijlstra 1996+), supplemented with occasionally omitted ones, e.g., *Tehuasca* Panero (Panero 2019).

For the nomenclatural issues we found to be solved here, a survey of literature since the earliest relevant publication to the present was conducted. The Shenzhen edition of *ICN* (Turland *et al.* 2018) has been followed in making nomenclatural decisions. In accordance with Turland *et al.* (2018: 205, “Index of scientific names”), orthographic variant(s) of a name in this paper is placed in single quotation marks while designations, i.e., not validly published names, as well as quoted text, are given in double quotation marks.

Unless more recent information is available, author attribution and original publication of scientific plant names cited follows IPNI (1999+) albeit in the notation required by *Phytotaxa*, i.e., by citing the protoglosses of the names as full bibliographic references. In the interest of accuracy and consistency, and to emphasize the historical sequence in which names were published, author attributions of scientific plant names are sometimes deliberately repeated in this paper. The information about type specimens of the basionyms of the new combinations is based on examining protoglosses, searching relevant literature and consulting online herbaria. Herbarium codes follow *Index Herbariorum* (Thiers 2008+).

## Results and discussion

### 1. An updated supertribal classification of Asteroideae

The large subfamily Asteroideae, which includes over 60% of species in the family, is well characterized morphologically and phylogenetically (Pelser & Watson 2009). There were two schemes to group its members, combining phylogenetic with morphological evidences as outlined by Panero & Funk (2002) and Baldwin *et al.* (2002). One is the establishment of three supertribes (Senecionodae, Asterodae, and Helianthodae) by Robinson (2004, 2005), the other one is to recognize eight tribes and further subdivide Heliantheae s.l. into 13 supersubtribes by Jeffrey (2004). Robinson's treatment is here adopted because the rank supertribe, although scarcely used, was established earlier and cited more often than supersubtribe in large and molecularly complex families such as Poaceae (Liu 1980, 1988; Macfarlane & Watson 1982; Watson *et al.* 1985), Rubiaceae (Robbrecht & Manen 2006) and Brassicaceae (Avetisyan 1990; German *et al.* 2023) besides Asteraceae. Secondly, a reliable supertribe is facilitative to display higher-level similarities by adding least “strata” (i.e. ranks) in number, as well as to keep tribal and subtribal classification steady while compared to numerous odd supersubtribes or cumbersome informally named clades.

However, the nuclear phylogeny of Asteroideae reveals that the supertribe Asterodae is not monophyletic (Mandel *et al.* 2019). Calenduleae and Anthemideae must be excluded from Asterodae and may be put in their own supertribes, respectively. The supertribe Helianthodae *sensu* Robinson, based on the tribal classification of Panero & Funk (2002) and Baldwin *et al.* (2002), was long called the “Heliantheae alliance” by Panero (2007) and subsequent authors. Following Panero's treatment, subtribe Ambrosiinae is also a member of the Heliantheae alliance. Art 19.5 of the Shenzhen edition of *ICN* reads: “*the name of any subdivision of a family that includes the type of a name listed in App. IIB is to be formed from the generic name equivalent to that type.*” This rule was frequently neglected by taxonomists when they adopted suprageneric names, and Reveal (2012) had to validate a series of suprageneric names of vascular plants in the light of it. Since Ambrosiaceae is a conserved familial name in Appendix IIB, it has priority

over Helianthaceae according to the current nomenclatural rules, hence the priority of Ambrosieae over Heliantheae. Similarly, the supertribe containing *Ambrosia* must be renamed as proposed here.

**Supertrib. Calendulodae** Su Liu & Z.H.Feng, **supertrib. nov.**

TYPE:—*Calendula* Linnaeus (1753: 921).

Included tribe (1):—**Calenduleae** Cassini (1819: 161).

Description:—Herbs, shrubs, or small trees without latex. Leaves alternate or opposite. Involucre campanulate or cyathiform. Receptacle naked, glabrous. Ray corollas yellow to orange or white, pink, purple or blue; disc corollas hermaphrodite, yellow to orange or reddish, sometimes purplish-black-tipped. Sterile styles present in many taxa, anther caudate at base. Achenes large, usually hard, thickened, terete, orbicular, obovate, or heteromorphic, curved or rostrate, winged or fenestrated, sometimes with fleshy and colored exocarp. Pappus absent.

**Supertrib. Anthemidodae** Su Liu & Z.H.Feng, **supertrib. nov.**

TYPE:—*Anthemis* Linnaeus (1753: 893).

Included tribe (1):—**Anthemideae** Cassini (1819: 192).

Description:—Shrubs, subshrubs, annual or perennial herbs. Leaves generally variously dissected, rarely entire with characteristic aromatic smell. Phyllaries with narrow hyaline margins or all or at least the inner with broad, pale membranous margins. Receptacles flat, hemispherical or conical, glabrous or hairy, paleate or epaleate. Ray corollas white and/or yellow (rarely blue-violet, pinkish or reddish), outer disc florets (if present in disciform capitula) yellow, central disc corolla yellow or rarely whitish or reddish, actinomorphic. Anther obtuse at base. Achenes various, often with glandular hairs, mucilage cells and resin sacs or ducts. Pappus never capillary.

**Supertrib. Ambrosiodae** Z.H.Feng & Z.J.Huang, **nom. nov.** [Art. 6.11, see also Art. 19.5]

Replaced Synonym:—Supertrib. Helianthodae Robinson (2004: 118).

TYPE:—*Ambrosia* Linnaeus (1753: 987).

Included tribes and tribal clade (16):—**Callilepis** Candolle (1836: 671), **Inuleae** Cassini (1819: 193), **Athroismeae** Panero in Panero & Funk (2002: 917), **Feddeeeae** Pruski *et al.* in Cariaga *et al.* (2008: 199), **Helenieae** Lindley (1829: 1074), **Neurolaeneae** Rydberg (1927: 303), **Coreopsidae** Lindley (1829: 1074), **Polymnieae** (Robinson 1978: 43) Panero in Panero & Funk (2002: 919), **Ambrosieae** Cassini (1819: 191), **Milleriae** Lindley (1829: 1074), **Tageteae** Cassini (1819: 162), **Madieae** Jepson (1901: 483, 486), **Chaenactideae** Baldwin in Baldwin *et al.* (2002: 192), **Bahieae** Baldwin in Baldwin *et al.* (2002: 192), **Perityleae** Baldwin in Baldwin *et al.* (2002: 192) and **Eupatorieae** Cassini (1819: 202).

Notes:—1) The list of tribes included is emended here according to Panero (2007), Pelser & Watson (2009) with the inclusion of Feddeeeae Pruski *et al.* (see above for reference). 2) Plucheeae is included in Inuleae following Jeffrey (2004) and Anderberg (2005). 3) For the phylogenetic position of *Callilepis*, see Bengtson *et al.* (2017). 4) The linear sequence of these tribal clades is in line with the nuclear phylogeny in Mandel *et al.* (2019), except that Eupatorieae and its allied tribes are placed at the end.

**Keys to supertribes in Asteroideae**

(presented on the basis of Robinson's description and modified to include new taxa)

- |     |   |                         |
|-----|---|-------------------------|
| 1a. | Phytomelanin usually present in achene walls .....                        | supertrib. Ambrosiodae  |
| 1b. | Phytomelanin absent in achene walls .....                                 | 2                       |
| 2a. | Achene walls lack raphids, involucral bracts subvalvate .....             | supertrib. Senecionodae |
| 2b. | Achene walls usually with raphids, involucral bracts mostly gradate ..... | 3                       |
| 3a. | Phyllaries with hyaline or membranous margins .....                       | supertrib. Anthemidodae |
| 3b. | Phyllaries not as above .....   | 4                       |
| 4a. | Pappi absent, anther caudate at base .....                                | supertrib. Calendulodae |
| 4b. | Pappi present or absent, anther obtuse at base .....                      | supertrib. Asterodae    |

**2. The establishment of a new tribe Leucomerideae and the synonymization of *Nouelia* Franchet**

*Leucomeris* Don (1825: 169) and *Nouelia* Franchet (1888: 66) are two small woody Asian genera, the former being ditypic (*L. spectabilis* and *L. decora*) and the latter monotypic (*N. insignis*) and endemic to China. They have been

formerly positioned in Hyalideae, which was once placed in Wunderlichioideae in line with the plastid phylogeny (Panero & Funk 2008) and then moved to Stifftioideae according to the nuclear phylogeny (Mandel *et al.* 2019). Following the classification as outlined by Sancho & Freire (2009), Susanna *et al.* (2020) raised the *Leucomeris* Clade (*Leucomeris* and *Nouelia*) to the tribal rank, although they did not give a nomenclatural treatment.

Peng *et al.* (2002) discussed the close relationship between *Leucomeris decora* and *Nouelia insignis* based on their result of cytological study. Zhao *et al.* (2018) found that *L. decora* is phylogenetically closer to *N. insignis* than *L. spectabilis* in the plastid tree. In fact, *N. insignis* is nested in one clade with *L. decora* and is not monophyletic due to probable introgressive hybridization. We consider that the evidence is sufficient to justify the merger of *Nouelia* with *Leucomeris*.

Here we formally describe the tribe Leucomerideae and synonymize *Nouelia* as follows:

**Trib. Leucomerideae** Su Liu & Z.H.Feng, trib. nov. (Stifftioideae, Asteraceae)

TYPE:—*Leucomeris* Don (1825: 169).

Description:—Asian endemic large shrubs or treelets with white tomenta on the abaxial surface of leaves. Inflorescence terminal solitary capitula or capitulescences of capitulas in dense pseudocorymbs or glomerules. Capitula homogamous, radiate or discoid. Involucres turbinate or campanulate, phyllaries 4–7-seriate. Receptacles epaleaceous. Florets all hermaphrodite and fertile, styles glabrous. Anthers apiculate. Achenes cylindrical to turbinate, longitudinally ribbed, indumenta sericeous. Pappus setae 2–3-seriate, capillary, barbellate.

Included genus (1):—*Leucomeris* Don (1825: 169).

***Leucomeris*** Don (1825: 169).

GENERETYPE:—*Leucomeris spectabilis* Don (1825: 170).

ETYMOLOGY:—*Leucomeris* derives from Greek λευκός (*leukós*, “white”) and μέρος (*méros*, “part, member”), alluding to snow-white indumentum under leaves and the white color of anthers.

Heterotypic Synonym:—*Nouelia* Franchet (1888: 66), syn. nov. GENERETYPE:—*Nouelia insignis* Franchet (1888: 67).

***Leucomeris insignis*** (Franchet) Su Liu & Z.H.Feng, comb. nov.

Basionym:—*Nouelia insignis* Franchet (1888: 67).

LECTOTYPE (designated by Gao 2017: 46):—CHINA. Yunnan: “Les bois et les coteaux découverts à Ta Pin Tse”, 14 March 1887, J.M.Delavay 2498 (P 00703231, digital image!).

### 3. Restoring two older genera to accommodate new segregates of *Coreopsis* Linnaeus s.l.

*Coreopsis* Linnaeus (1753: 908) s.l. was more recently revised by Tadesse & Crawford (2023). These authors split the genus into five according to their molecular findings, i.e., *Coreopsis* Linnaeus s.s. (18 species in three sections), *Gyrophylum* (Nuttall) Tadesse & Crawford (six species), *Silphidium* (Torrey & Gray) Tadesse & Crawford (monotypic), *Pseudoagarista* Tadesse & Crawford (11 species) and *Burnellia* Tadesse & Crawford (28 species), thus set up an up-to-date taxonomic framework of the genus. However, both their *Gyrophylum* and *Pseudoagarista* are illegitimate.

Tadesse & Crawford (2023) established *Gyrophylum* (Nuttall) Tadesse & Crawford to embrace six species distributed in southeastern United States. Unfortunately, this generic name is a later homonym because of the preexisting *Gyrophylum* Dozy & Molkenboer (1846: 149), a monotypic moss genus, though it is now considered a synonym of *Symblepharis* Montagne in Rhabdoweisiaceae (Suleiman *et al.* 2006). However, there is an early candidate ready for resurrection. Schrank (1817: 5) published the genus *Anacis* with two species, viz., *A. auriculata* (Linnaeus) Schrank and *A. tripteris* (Linnaeus) Schrank. Although it is marked as “T: non designatus” in ING (Farr & Zijlstra 1996+), *Anacis* was effectively lectotypified with *A. tripteris* by Jeffrey (1982: 20) but omitted by Tadesse & Crawford (2023). Fifteen years later, Lessing (1832: 227) published a monotypic genus, *Chrysostemma*, on account of the Linnaean species *Coreopsis tripteris*, which became a homotypic synonym of *Anacis* because of the above-mentioned lectotypification. Accordingly, *Anacis* is the earliest name available to house these six species.

Bentham (1839: 17) published *Epilepis* and its only species *E. rудis* Bentham. It was later sunk into *Coreopsis* as *Coreopsis rудis* (Bentham) Bentham & Hooker f. ex Hemsley (1881: 196). *Coreopsis* sect. *Pseudoagarista* (‘*Pseudo-Agarista*’) Gray in Watson (1887: 428), which included only *C. petrophila* Gray initially, is an invalid name because a single description can only validate both a generic name and a species name simultaneously, but fails to validate a species name and an infrageneric name at the same time (Art. 38.5). When Tadesse & Crawford (2023) erected the new

genus *Pseudoagarista*, they definitely included the original type of *Epilepis* in their later published genus, thus making their new generic name nomenclaturally superfluous (Art. 52.1). Therefore, *Epilepis* is the earliest name available for harboring these 11 species centered in Mexico.

***Anacis*** Schrank (1817: 5).

GENERETYPE (designated by Jeffrey 1982: 20):—*Anacis tripteris* (Linnaeus) Schrank (1817: 7).

ETYMOLOGY:—*Anacis* derives from Greek prefix ἀν- (*an-*), prevocalic variant of ἀ- (*a-*, “without”), and word ἄκις (*akís*, “pointed object”), alluding to cypselae often without pappi.

Synonyms:

*Chrysostemma* Lessing (1832: 227). GENERETYPE:—*Coreopsis tripteris* Linnaeus (1753: 908).

*Gyrophillum* (Nuttall) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 105) (angiosperm, Asteraceae), hom. illeg., non *Gyrophillum* Dozy & Molkenboer (1846: 149) (moss, Rhabdoweisiaceae). Basionym:—*Coreopsis* sect. *Gyrophillum* Nuttall (1841: 358). GENERETYPE:—*Coreopsis major* Walter (1788: 214).

***Anacis delphinifolia*** (Lamarck) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.**

Basionym:—*Coreopsis delphinifolia* Lamarck (1786: 108).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Gyrophillum delphinifolium* (Lamarck) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 106).

TYPE:—[icon] “*Ceratocephalus Delphini foliis* Vaill.” in Ehret 1748–1759: Tab. IX, f. 1.

***Anacis major*** (Walter) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.**

Basionym:—*Coreopsis major* Walter (1788: 214).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Gyrophillum major* (Walter) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 109).

NEOTYPE (designated by Smith 1976: 170):—U.S.A. Mississippi, Jackson Co., Ocean Springs, 10 June 1898, S.M.Tracy 4360 (NY 02056102, digital image!).

***Anacis palmata*** (Nuttall) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.**

Basionym:—*Coreopsis palmata* Nuttall (1818: 180).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Gyrophillum palmatum* (Nuttall) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 121).

TYPE:—U.S.A. Louisiana: St. Louis, Nuttall s.n. (holotype: PH 00025652, digital image!).

***Anacis pulchra*** (F.E.Boynton) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.**

Basionym:—*Coreopsis pulchra* F.E.Boynton in Small (1903: 1277, 1340).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Gyrophillum pulchrum* (F.E.Boynton) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 123).

NEOTYPE (designated by Smith 1976: 179):—U.S.A. Alabama: DeKalb Co., common in thick moss over layers of sand on flat sandstone outcrop ca. 100 feet on dirty road from blacktop road 89 in De Soto State Park, 1.2 mi. NW of Park office, 27 June 1972, E.B.Smith 1717 (UARK 071084).

***Anacis tripteris*** (Linnaeus) Schrank (1817: 7).

Basionym:—*Coreopsis tripteris* Linnaeus (1753: 908).

Homotypic Synonyms:—*Chrysostemma tripteris* (Linnaeus) Lessing (1832: 227).—*Bidens tripteris* (Linnaeus) Krause (1905: 158).—*Gyrophillum tripteris* (Linnaeus) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 125).

LECTOTYPE (designated by Reveal in Jarvis & Turland 1998: 359):—[icon] “*Chrysanth. trifoliatum Virgin: folio acutiore*” in Morison 1699: sect. 6, t. 3, f. 44.

***Anacis verticillata*** (Linnaeus) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.**

Basionym:—*Coreopsis verticillata* Linnaeus (1753: 907).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Gyrophillum verticillatum* (Linnaeus) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 131).

LECTOTYPE (designated by Tadesse & Crawford 2023: 131):—U.S.A. Virginia: *Clayton* s.n. [308] (BM 000040282, digital image!).

Excluded name:—*Anacis auriculata* (Linnaeus) Schrank (1817: 7) ≡ *Coreopsis auriculata* Linnaeus (1753: 908).

***Epilepis*** Bentham (1839: 17).

GENERETYPE:—*Epilepis rufis* Bentham (1839: 17).

ETYMOLOGY:—*Epilepis* derives from Greek prefix *επι-* (*epi-*, “on, upon”) and word *λεπίς* (*lepis*, “scale, flake”), alluding to the presence of a calyculus out of the phyllaries (not explained in original publication).

Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista* Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 136), *nom. superfl.*

Invalid Designation:—“*Coreopsis* sect. *Pseudoagarista* (‘*Pseudo-Agarista*’) Gray in Watson (1887: 428), *nom. inval.*, *nom. nud.*

***Epilepis crawfordii* (Tadesse) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis crawfordii* Tadesse (1999: 235).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista crawfordii* (Tadesse) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 139).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Queretaro: 6–7 mi W of Zamorano (3 mi W of Carbonara), 2230 m, 27 September 1980, *Crawford, Stuessy and Brunner* 1342 (holotype: OS 0000107, digital image!).

***Epilepis davilae* (Panero & Villaseñor) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis davilae* Panero & Villaseñor in Panero *et al.* (1993: 171).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista davilae* (Panero & Villaseñor) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 141).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Puebla: Summit of Cerro Tepoxtl Grande (a peak of the Filo de La Tierra Colorada range) just NW of the village of San Martin de Esperilla and S of Chacnopalan, 2650 m, 1 November 1991, *Panero, Davila and Tenorio* 2590 (holotype: MEXU 00607345, digital image!).

***Epilepis guanajuatensis* (Turner) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis guanajuatensis* Turner (1992b: 27).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista guanajuatensis* (Turner) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 142).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Guanajuato: Road from Xichú to San Luis de la Pas; 24 miles W of Xichú, 2600 m, 14 June 1967, *McVaugh* 14814 (holotype: MICH 1108993, digital image!).

***Epilepis mcvaughii* (Crawford) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis mcvaughii* Crawford (1969: 353).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista mcvaughii* (Crawford) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 143).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Aguascalientes: Sierra del Laurel, c. 10 mi SE of Calvillo, c. 2500 m, 4 November 1959, *McVaugh and Koetz* 225 (holotype: MICH 1108995, digital image!).

***Epilepis oaxacensis* (Turner) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis oaxacensis* Turner (1992a: 103).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista oaxacensis* (Turner) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 145).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Oaxaca: Mpio. De Tamazulapan, Cerro Pericón, 3 km N of San Pedro Nopala on road to Yosocuno, 2600 m, 4 November 1991, *J.L.Panero, P.Davila, and P.Tenorio* 2606 (holotype: MEXU 00553585, digital image!).

***Epilepis petrophila* (Gray) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis petrophila* Gray in Watson (1887: 428).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista petrophila* (Gray) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 146).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Jalisco: Rio Blanco, September 1886, *E.Palmer* 530 (holotype: US 00128158, digital image!).

***Epilepis petrophiloides* (Robinson & Greenman) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis petrophiloides* Robinson & Greenman (1894: 388).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista petrophiloides* (Robinson & Greenman) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 149).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Jalisco: Nevado de Colima, 2400 m, 22 May 1893, *Pringle* 5508 (holotype: GH 00006201, digital image!).

***Epilepis pringlei* (Robinson) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis pringlei* Robinson (1907: 41).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista pringlei* (Robinson) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 152).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Queretaro: San Juan del Rio, 2040 m (“6800 ft”), 8 September 1905, *C.G.Prangle* 10,050 (holotype: GH 00006202, digital image!).

***Epilepis queretarensis* (Turner) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, **comb. nov.****

Basionym:—*Coreopsis queretarensis* Turner (1986: 168).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista queretarensis* (Turner) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 154).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Querétaro: Mpio. Peñamiller, Maguey Verde, 17 km SE of Pinal de Amoles, 2170 m, 22 October 1982, *P. Tenorio L. and C. Romero de T.* 2353 (holotype: TEX 00373813, digital image!).

***Epilepis rhyacophila* (Greenman) Z.H.Feng, Z.J.Huang & Su Liu, comb. nov.**

Basionym:—*Coreopsis rhyacophila* Greenman (1900: 313).

Homotypic Synonym:—*Pseudoagarista rhyacophila* (Greenman) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 155).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Morelos: near Cuernavaca, 2500 m., 9 February 1899, *Pringle* 7866 (holotype: GH 00006203, digital image!).

***Epilepis rufis* Bentham (1839: 17).**

Homotypic Synonyms:—*Coreopsis rufis* (Bentham) Bentham & Hooker f. ex Hemsley (1881: 196).—*Pseudoagarista rufis* (Bentham) Tadesse & Crawford (2023: 157).

TYPE:—MEXICO. Jalisco: Bolaños, Canton of Colotlan, 1836–1838, *Hartweg* 116 (holotype: K 000634429, digital image!).

#### 4. A new nothogenus for hybrids between *Coreopsis* Linnaeus s.s. and *Anacis* Schrank

*Coreopsis* Linnaeus s.l. is not only taxonomically but also horticulturally troublesome. Unlike most of cultivars in other genera whose parentage is clear, many long-grown hybrids of *Coreopsis* are of obscure origin. The popular *Coreopsis* ‘Moonbeam’, which is usually regarded as a variety of *Coreopsis verticillata* (now *Anacis verticillata*), was proved to involve distant hybridization with its maternal plant from *Coreopsis* sect. *Eublepharis* of now *Coreopsis* Linnaeus s.s. (Sun *et al.* 2022; Tadesse & Crawford 2023). Another eye-catching red-flowered *Coreopsis* ‘Limerock Ruby’ originated from “a random cross-pollination of unidentified selections of *Coreopsis rosea* and *C. verticillata*” (Plant Finder 2024+). The establishment of a new nothogenus is therefore necessary following Art. H.3.2 and Art. H.6.2.

×*Coreacis* H.N.Sun & Z.H.Feng, nothogen. nov.

Hybrid Formula:—*Coreopsis* Linnaeus × *Anacis* Schrank.

#### 5. Malacothrichinae as the correct spelling of ‘Malacothricinae’ Bremer

Bremer (1993) established three new subtribes in Cichorieae, one of which is Malacothrichinae, based on *Malacothrix* Candolle (1838: 192). Lee *et al.* (2003) found that Malacothrichinae *sensu* Bremer is highly polyphyletic, though all its clades are nested with Microseridinae and Stephanomeriinae. Kilian *et al.* (2009) therefore merged the three subtribes into Microseridinae s.l., whereas Susanna *et al.* (2020) still kept them separate.

The name *Malacothrix* derives from Greek μαλακός (*malakós*, “soft”) and θρίξ (*thríx*, “hair”), alluding to the long white soft shaggy hair (“*villis longis albis mollibus*”) the author found on the young plants of *M. californica*. According to Stearn (1992: 80), the word θρίξ has the irregular genitive singular form τριχός (*trikhós*). Therefore, the genitive singular of *Malacothrix* should be *Malacothrichis*, and the correct spelling of the subtribal name based on it should be Malacothrichinae (Art. 18.1, see also Art. 19.1). It should also be noted that the compounding part *-thrix* (*-trix*) is not uncommon in generic names of algae, fungi, and plants. Some suprageneric names are formed from them, e.g., Ulothrichaceae (‘Ulothricheae’) Kützing (1843: 179, 251) is formed from *Ulothrix* Kützing (1833: 517) (algae); Chrysotrichaceae (‘Chrysothriceae’) Zahlbruckner (1905: 117), *nom. cons.* is formed from *Chrysotrix* Montagne (1852: 312), *nom. cons.* (lichenized fungi: Doweld 2012; May 2017); Chrysitrichinae (‘Chrysothriceae’) Dumortier (1829: 64), Chrysitrichae (‘Chrysothriceae’) Nees (1835: 144) and Chrysitrichoideae (‘Chrysothriceae’) Pfeiffer (1873: 741) are formed from *Chrysitrix* Linnaeus (1771: 165) (angiosperm, Cyperaceae).

#### Acknowledgements

We express special thanks to Biodiversity Heritage Library (BHL) as well as JSTOR for accessing digital bibliographies freely, and interlibrary loan (ILL) services provided by UW–Madison in obtaining rare literature. The first author is sincerely grateful to Ms. Yingying Wu for retouching the language. Our deep appreciation also goes to the editor and anonymous reviewers for their help in revising the manuscript. This work was supported by Special Fund for Scientific Research of Shanghai Landscaping & City Appearance Administrative Bureau (Grant Number: G222401).

## References

- Anderberg, A.A. (2005) Evolutionary relationships in the Asteraceae tribe Inuleae (incl. Plucheeae) evidenced by DNA sequences of F; with notes on the systematic positions of some aberrant genera. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* 5 (2): 135–146.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/jоде.2004.10.015>
- Avetisyan, V.E. (1990) New suprageneric taxa of the family Brassicaceae. *Biologicheskii Zhurnal Armenii* 43 (7): 601–602. [in Russian and Latin]
- Baldwin, B.G., Wessa, B.L. & Panero, J.L. (2002) Nuclear rDNA Evidence for Major Lineages of Helenioid Heliantheae (Compositae). *Systematic Botany* 27 (1): 161–198.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/3093903>
- Bengtson, A., Enguind, M., Pruski, J.F. & Anderberg, A.A. (2017) Phylogeny of the Athroismeae (Asteraceae), with a new circumscription of the tribe. *Taxon* 66 (2): 408–420.  
<https://doi.org/10.12705/662.8>
- Bentham, G. (1839–1857) *Plantas Hartwegianas*. G. Pamplin, London, UK, 393 pp.
- Bremer, K. (1993) New Subtribes of the Lactuceae (Asteraceae). *Novon* 3 (4): 328–330.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/3391378>
- Candolle, A.P. de (1836) *Prodromus Systematis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis*, vol. 5. Sumptibus Sociorum Treuttel et Würtz, Paris, France, 706 pp.
- Candolle, A.P. de (1838) *Prodromus Systematis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis*, vol. 7, part 1. Sumptibus Sociorum Treuttel et Würtz, Paris, France, 330 pp.
- Cariaga, K.A., Pruski, J.F., Oviedo, R., Anderberg, A.A., Lewis, C.E. & Francisco-Ortega, J. (2008) Phylogeny and Systematic Position of *Feddeea* (Asteraceae: Feddeeeae): a Taxonomically Enigmatic and Critically Endangered Genus Endemic to Cuba. *Systematic Botany* 33 (1): 193–202.  
<https://doi.org/10.1600/036364408783887348>
- Cassini, A.H.G. de (1819) Sixième mémoire sur la famille des Synanthérées, contenant les caractères des tribus. *Journal de Physique, de Chimie, d'Histoire Naturelle et des Arts* 88: 150–163, 189–204.
- Costa, D.S., Boehnisch, G., Freiberg, M., Govaerts, R., Grenié, M., Hassler, M., Kattge, J., Muellner-Riehl, A.N., Rojas Andrés, B.M., Winter, M., Watson, M., Zizka, A. & Wirth, C. (2023) The big four of plant taxonomy—a comparison of global checklists of vascular plant names. *New Phytologist* 240: 1687–1702.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18961>
- Crawford, D.J. (1969) A New Species of *Coreopsis* (Compositae) from Mexico. *Brittonia* 21 (4): 353–354.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2805762>
- Del Guacchio, E., Bureš, P., Iamónico, D., Carucci, F., De Luca, D., Zedek, F. & Caputo, P. (2022) Towards a monophyletic classification of Cardueae: restoration of the genus *Lopholepis* (= *Cirsium* p.p.) and new circumscription of *Epitrachys*. *Plant Biosystems* 156: 1269–1290.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2022.2131924>
- Don, D. (1825) *Prodromus florae Nepalensis*. J. Gale, London, 256 pp.
- Doweld, A.B. (2012) (2068–2070) Proposals to conserve Pertusiaceae against Variolariaceae, Chrysotrichaceae against Pulverariaceae, and Dothioraceae against Saccotheciaceae (Fungi) with revision of dates of publication given in Taxonomic Literature II. *Taxon* 61 (3): 681–682.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.613019>
- Dozy, F. & Molkenboer, J.H. (1846) *Musci frondosi inediti archipelagi indici*. Sumptibus H.W. Hazenberg et soc, Lugduni-Batavorum (Leyden), 185 pp.
- Dumortier, B.C.J. (1829) *Analyse des Familles de Plantes*. Impr. de J. Casterman, Aine, Tournay, 104 pp.
- Duocet Group (2016+) Asteraceae. *Duocet Wiki of Plants*. Shanghai Chenshan Botanical Garden, Shanghai China. Available from: <https://duocet.ibiodiversity.net/> [in Chinese and Latin] (Continuously updated; accessed 5 April 2024)
- Ehret, G.D. (1748–1759) *Plantae et papiliores rariores depictae et aeri incisae*. Privately published, London.  
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.118570>
- Farr, E.R. & Zijlstra, G. (1996+) *Index Nominum Genericorum* [ING]. Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History. Washington D.C., U.S.A. Available from: <https://naturalhistory2.si.edu/botany/ing/> (Continuously updated; accessed 13 March 2024)
- Franchet, A.R. (1888) Les Mutisiaceés du Yun-Nan. *Journal de Botanique* 2 (5): 65–71.
- Gao, T.G. (2017) *Nouelia*. In: Hong, D.Y. (Ed.) *Asteraceae III [Flora of Pan-Himalaya]*, vol. 48, part 3]. Science Press, Beijing, China, pp. 44, 46–48.

- German, D.A., Hendriks, K.P., Koch, M.A., Lens, F., Lysak, M.A., Bailey, C.D., Mummenhoff, K. & Al-Shehbaz, I.A. (2023) An updated classification of the Brassicaceae (Cruciferae). *PhytoKeys* 220: 127–144.  
<https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.220.97724>
- Greenman, J.M. (1900) New Species and Varieties of Mexican Plants. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* 35: 307–315.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/25129936>
- Hemsley, W.B. (1881) Enumeration of the Gamopetalae, with description of new species. *Biologia Centrali-Americanana, Botany* 2: 1–576.
- IPNI (1999+) *The International Plant Names Index*. Available from: <https://www.ipni.org/> (Continuously updated; accessed 13 March 2024)
- Jarvis, C.E. & Turland, N.J. (Eds.) (1998) Typification of Linnaean specific and varietal names in the Compositae (Asteraceae). *Taxon* 47 (2): 347–370.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1223764>
- Jeffrey, C. (1982) Generic Names of Compositae III. Am–Ap. *Compositae Newsletter* 13: 17–27.
- Jeffrey, C. (2004) Systema Compositarum (Asteracearum) Nova. *Botanicheskii Zhurnal* 89 (12): 1817–1822.
- Jepson, W.L. (1901) *A Flora of Western Middle California*. Encina Publishing Company, California, U.S.A., 625 pp.  
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.55376>
- Kilian, N., Gemeinholzer, B. & Lack, H.W. (2009) Appendix 24.1 Subtribal classification of Cichorieae. In: Funk, V.A., Susanna, A., Stuessy, T.F. & Bayer, R.J. (Eds.) *Systematics, Evolution & Biogeography of the Compositae*. IAPT, Vienna, Austria, pp. 380–383.
- Krause, E.H.L. (1905) *J. Sturms Flora von Deutschland*, vol. 2 [2nd Edition]. K. G. Lutz, Stuttgart, 224 pp.
- Kützing, F.T. (1833) Algologische Mittheilungen. *Flora* 16 (35): 513–521.
- Kützing, F.T. (1843) *Phycologia generalis oder Anatomie, Physiologie und Systemkunde der Thange*. F. A. Brockhaus, Leipzig, 451 pp.  
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.4746>
- Lamarck, J.P.A.M. (1786) *Encyclopedie Methodique. Botanique*, vol. 2. Panckoucke, Plomteux, Paris and Liège, 774 pp.
- Lee, J., Baldwin, B.G. & Gottlieb, L.D. (2003) Phylogenetic Relationships among the Primarily North American Genera of Cichorieae (Compositae) based on Analysis of 18S-26S Nuclear rDNA ITS and ETS Sequences. *Systematic Botany* 28 (3): 616–626.  
<https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.89.1.160>
- Lessing, C.F. (1832) *Synopsis Generum Compositarum*. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin, 473 pp.
- Lindley, J. (1829) Compositae. In: Loudon, J.C. (Ed.) *An Encyclopædia of Plants*. Printed for Longman, Rees, Orme, Brown and Green, London, pp. 1072–1074.
- Linnaeus, C. (1753) *Species Plantarum*, vol. 2. Impensis Laurentii Salvii, Holm, 639 pp. [folios: 561–1200]
- Linnaeus, C. (1771) *Mantissa Plantarum Altera* [Generum 6th Edition & Specierum 2nd Edition]. Impensis Laurentii Salvii, Holm, 588 pp.
- Liu, L. (1980) The characteristics and geographical subdivision of the Gramineae flora in Xizang (Tibet). *Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica* 18 (3): 316–327. [in Chinese and Latin]
- Liu, L. (1988) Systematics and Evolution of Subfam. Panicoideae (Gramineae). *Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica* 26 (1): 11–28. [in Chinese and Latin]
- Macfarlane, T.D. & Watson, L. (1982) The Classification of Poaceae Subfamily Pooideae. *Taxon* 31 (2): 178–203.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1219983>
- Mandel, J.R., Dikow, R.B., Siniscalchi, C.M., Thapa, R., Watson, L.E. & Funk, V.A. (2019) A fully resolved backbone phylogeny reveals numerous dispersals and explosive diversifications throughout the history of Asteraceae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (28): 14083–14088.  
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903871116>
- May, T.W. (2017) Report of the Nomenclature Committee for Fungi: 20. *Taxon* 66 (2): 483–495.  
<https://doi.org/10.12705/662.15>
- Montagne, J.P.F.C. (1852) Diagnoses phycologicae. *Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Botanique* sér. 3 18: 302–319.
- Moreyra, L.D., Garcia-Jacas, N., Roquet, C., Ackerfield, J.R., Arabaci, T., Blanco-Gavaldà, C., Brochmann, C., Calleja, J.A., Dirmenci, T., Fujikawa, K., Galbany-Casals, M., Gao, T., Gizaw, A., López-Alvarado, J., Mehregan, I., Vilatersana, R., Yıldız, B., Leliaert, F., Seregin, A.P. & Susanna, A. (2023) African Mountain Thistles: Three New Genera in the *Carduus-Cirsium* Group. *Plants* 12 (17) 3083.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12173083>
- Morison, R. (1699) *Plantarum Historiae universalis Oxoniensis pars tertia*. Theathro Sheldoniano, Oxford, 657 pp.
- Nees, C.G.D. (1835) Cyperaceae capenses. *Linnaea* 10 (2): 129–207.
- Nuttall, T. (1818) *The Genera of North American Plants*, vol. 2. D. Heartt, Philadelphia, U.S.A., 254 pp.

- Nuttall, T. (1841) Descriptions of new Species and Genera of Plants in the natural Order of the Cpmpositae, collected in a Tour across the Continent to the Pacific, a Residence in Oregon, and a Visit to the Sandwich Islands and Upper California, during the years 1834 and 1835. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society Held at Philadelphia for Promoting useful Knowledge New Series* 7: 283–453.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1005312>
- Oberprieler, C., Töpfer, A., Dorfner, M., Stock, M. & Vogt, R. (2022) An updated subtribal classification of Compositae tribe Anthemideae based on extended phylogenetic reconstructions. *Willdenowia* 52 (1): 117–149.  
<https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.52.52108>
- Panero, J.L. (2007) Key to the Tribes of the Heliantheae Alliance. In: Kadereit, J.W. & Jeffrey, C. (Eds.) *Eudicots: Asterales* [Genera of Vascular Plants volume 8]. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Leipzig, Germany, pp. 391–394.
- Panero, J.L. (2019) Generic Relationships in Gochnatioideae (Asteraceae) Including *Tehuasca*, a New Genus from Northeastern Mexico. *Lundellia* 22 (1):1–10.  
<https://doi.org/10.25224/1097-993X-22.1.1>
- Panero, J.L. & Funk, V.A. (2002) Toward a Phylogenetic Subfamilial Classification for the Compositae (Asteraceae). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 115 (4): 909–922.
- Panero, J.L. & Funk, V.A. (2008) The value of sampling anomalous taxa in phylogenetic studies: Major clades of the Asteraceae revealed. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 47 (2): 757–782.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.02.011>
- Panero, J.L., Villaseñor, J.L. & Medina-Lemos, R. (1993) New species of Asteraceae Heliantheae from Latin America. *Contributions from the University of Michigan Herbarium* 19: 171–193.
- Pelser, P.B. & Watson, L.E. (2009) Introduction to Asteroideae. In: Funk, V.A., Susanna, A., Stuessy, T.F. & Bayer, R.J. (Eds.) *Systematics, Evolution & Biogeography of the Compositae*. IAPT, Vienna, Austria, pp. 495–502.
- Peng, Y.L., Sun, H. & Gu, Z.J. (2002) Cytological study on *Nouelia* and *Leucomeris*. *Acta Botanica Yunnanica* 24 (1): 82–86. [in Chinese]
- Pfeiffer, L.G. (1873) *Nomenclator Botanicus*, vol. 1, part 1. T. Fisher, 808 pp.
- Plant Finder (2024+) Missouri Botanical Garden, Missouri, U.S.A. Available from: <https://www.missouribotanicalgarden.org/PlantFinder/PlantFinderSearch.aspx> (Continuously updated; accessed 13 March 2024)
- POWO (2017+) Asteraceae Bercht. & J.Presl. *Plants of the World Online*. Royal Botanical Garden, Kew, London, U.K. Available from: <https://powo.science.kew.org/> (Continuously updated; accessed 13 March 2024)
- Reveal, J.L. (2012) Newly required infrafamilial names mandated by changes in the Code of Nomenclature For Algae, Fungi, and Plants. *Phytoneuron* (33): 1–32.
- Robbrecht, E. & Manen, J.-F. (2006) The Major Evolutionary Lineages of the Coffee Family (Rubiaceae, Angiosperms). Combined Analysis (nDNA and cpDNA) to Infer the Position of *Coptosapelta* and *Luculia*, and Supertree Construction Based on *rbcL*, *rps16*, *trnL-trnF* and *atpB-rbcL* Data. A New Classification in Two Subfamilies, Cinchonoideae and Rubioideae. *Systematics and Geography of Plants* 76 (1): 85–145.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/20649700>
- Robinson, B.L. (1907) New or otherwise Noteworthy Spermatophytes, chiefly from Mexico. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* 43: 21–48.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/20022300>
- Robinson, B.L. & Greenman, J.M. (1894) Further New and Imperfectly Known Plants Collected in Mexico by C.G.Pringle in the Summer of 1893. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* 29: 382–394.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/20020566>
- Robinson, H.E. (1978) Studies in Heliantheae (Asteraceae) XLV. Validation of Subtribes. *Phytologia* 41 (1): 39–44.
- Robinson, H.E. (2004) New supertribes, Helianthodae and Senecionodae, for the subfamily Asteroideae (Asteraceae). *Phytologia* 86 (3): 116–120.  
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.28428>
- Robinson, H.E. (2005) Validation of the supertribe Asterodae. *Phytologia* 87 (2): 73–74.
- Rydberg, P.A. (1927) *North American Flora*, vol. 34, part 4. New York Botanical Garden, New York, U.S.A., 71 pp. [folios: 289–360]
- Sadler, R., Parker, T., Verboom, G.A., Ellis, A.G., Jackson, M., Van Zyl, J., Manning, J. & Bergh, N.G. (2022) A molecular phylogeny of Calenduleae (Asteraceae) supports the transfer of *Dimorphotheca polyptera* DC. to *Osteospermum* L. *South African Journal of Botany* 151: 234–245.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2022.09.001>
- Sancho, G. & Freire, S.E. (2009) Gochnatioideae (Gochnatioideae) and Hyalideae (Wunderlichioideae p.p.). In: Funk, V.A., Susanna, A., Stuessy, T.F. & Bayer, R.J. (Eds.) *Systematics, Evolution & Biogeography of the Compositae*. IAPT, Vienna, Austria, pp. 249–260.

- Schrank, F.P. (1817) *Anacis*, eine neue Pflanzen-gattung. *Denkschriften der Königlich Akademie der Wissenschaften zu München* 5: 1–8.
- Small, J.K. (1903) *Flora of the Southeastern United States*. New York, U.S.A., 1370 pp.
- Smith, E.B. (1976) A Biosystematic Survey of *Coreopsis* in Eastern United States and Canada. *Sida* 6 (3): 123–215.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/41966494>
- Stearn, W.T. (1992) *Botanical Latin: history, grammar, syntax, terminology and vocabulary* [4th Edition]. Timber Press, Portland, U.S.A., 546 pp.
- Stuessy, T.F. (2009) *Plant taxonomy: the systematic evaluation of comparative data*. [2nd Edition]. Columbia University Press, New York, U.S.A., 539 pp.
- Suleiman, M., Akiyama, H. & Tan, B.C. (2006) A Revised Catalogue of Mosses Reported from Borneo. *Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory* 99: 107–183.  
[https://doi.org/10.18968/jhbl.99.0\\_107](https://doi.org/10.18968/jhbl.99.0_107)
- Sun, H.N., Li, R., Wang, X., Zhou, X.Y., Li, M.Y., Liu, D.Y. & Li, H. (2022) Genetic Diversity and Relationship of Cultivated *Coreopsis* Based on Three Kinds of Molecular Markers. *Acta Agrestia Sinica* 30 (11): 2922–2936. [in Chinese]  
<https://doi.org/10.11733/j.issn.1007-0435.2022.11.009>
- Susanna, A., Baldwin, B.G., Bayer, R.J., Bonifacio, J.M., Garcia-Jacas, N., Keeley, S.C., Mandel, J.R., Ortiz, S., Robinson, H. & Stuessy, T.F. (2020) The classification of the Compositae: A tribute to Vicki Ann Funk (1947–2019). *Taxon* 69 (4): 807–814.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12235>
- Tadesse, M. (1999) A new species of *Coreopsis* (Compositae-Heliantheae) from Mexico. *Nordic Journal of Botany* 19 (2): 235–240.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.1999.tb00669.x>
- Tadesse, M. & Crawford, D.J. (2023) *A monograph of Coreopsis s.s., Burnellia, Gyrophillum, Pseudoagarista, and Silphidium (Coreopsidæ—Compositæ)* [Systematic Botany Monographs volume 114]. American Society of Plant Taxonomists, St. Louis, Missouri, U.S.A., 283 pp.
- Thiers, B. (2008+) *Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and associated staff*. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium, New York, U.S.A. Available from: <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih/> (Continuously updated; accessed 13 March 2024)
- Tropicos (1982+) Missouri Botanical Garden, Missouri, U.S.A. Available from: <https://www.tropicos.org/> (Continuously updated; accessed 13 March 2024)
- Turland, N.J., Wiersema, J.H., Barrie, F.R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D.L., Herendeen, P.S., Knapp, S., Kusber, W.-H., Li, D.-Z., Marhold, K., May, T.W., McNeill, J., Monroe, A.M., Prado, J., Price, M.J. & Smith, G.F. (Eds.) (2018) *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017* [Regnum vegetabile 159]. Koeltz Botanical Books, Glashütten, 254 pp.  
<https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018>
- Turner, B.L. (1986) A new species of *Coreopsis* Section *Pseudoagarista* (Asteraceae) from Mexico. *Brittonia* 38 (2): 168–170.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2807271>
- Turner, B.L. (1992a) A new species of *Coreopsis* (Asteraceae) from southern Mexico. *Phytologia* 72 (2): 103–104.  
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.14864>
- Turner, B.L. (1992b) A new species of *Coreopsis* (Asteraceae) from Guanajuato, Mexico. *Phytologia* 73 (1): 27–29.  
<https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.6219>
- Walter, T. (1788) *Flora Caroliniana*. Sumptibus J. Fraser Prostant venales apud J. Wenman, London, UK, 263 pp.
- Watson, L., Clifford, H.T. & Dallwitz, M.J. (1985) The Classification of Poaceae: Subfamilies and Supertribes. *Australian Journal of Botany* 33 (4): 433–484.  
<https://doi.org/10.1071/BT9850433>
- Watson, S. (1887) Contribution to American Botany. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* 22: 396–481.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/25129875>
- Zahlbrückner, A. (1905) Chrysanthaceae. In: Engler, H.G.A. & Prantl, K.A.E. (Eds.) *Naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien*, vol. 2, part 1\*. W. Engelmann, Leipzig, Germany, p. 117.
- Zhang, G.J., Yang, J.B., Zhang, C.F., Jiao, B.H., Panero, J.L., Cai, J., Zhang, Z.-R., Gao, L.-M., Gao, T.G. & Ma, H. (in press) Nuclear phylogenomics of Asteraceae with increased sampling provides new insights into convergent morphological and molecular evolution. *Plant Communications*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2024.100851>
- Zhao, Y.J., Yin, G.S., Pan, Y.Z. & Gong, X. (2018) Ecological and Genetic Divergences with Gene Flow of Two Sister Species (*Leucomeris decora* and *Nouelia insignis*) Driving by Climatic Transition in Southwest China. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9 (31): 1–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00031>