





https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.561.3.3

Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis sp. nov. associated with *Bambusa* sp. from Sichuan province, China

JING-YI SONG^{1,2}, QING TIAN^{1,3} & JIAN-KUI LIU^{1,4*}

¹School of Life Science and Technology, Center for Informational Biology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, P.R. China

² jingyisoo@qq.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7421-6627

³ ³ *intianqing@uestc.edu.cn; bhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-4745-7185*

⁴ sliujiankui@uestc.edu.cn; ⁶ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9232-228X

* Corresponding author: JIAN-KUI LIU; 🖃 liujiankui@uestc.edu.cn

Abstract

During an investigation of ascomycetous fungi on bamboos in Sichuan province, China, a new coelomycetous species, *Pseu-dorobillarda sichuanensis* was found on dead culms of *Bambusa* sp. and described. The new taxon differs from other *Pseu-dorobillarda* species in having paraphyses, subcylindrical conidiogenous cells, subcylindrical to naviculate, aseptate, gut-tulate conidia with 3-4 apical appendages. Phylogenetic analyses of a combined internal transcribed spacer (ITS), the partial 28S large subunit rDNA (LSU), the partial 18S small subunit rDNA(SSU) and the partial DNA-directed RNA polymerase II subunit (*rpb2*) sequence data showed that *P. sichuanensis* has a close phylogenetic affinity to *P. texana*. A novel bambusi-colous fungi of *Pseudorobillarda* is described, illustrated and notes on its identification are provided.

Keywords: 1 new taxon, anamorph, Dothideomycetes, phylogeny, taxonomy

Introduction

Sichuan province is located between the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and the plains of the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River in southwest China. Its complex landform, changeable terrain, humid climate and numerous nature reserves contain huge fungal resources (Zong *et al.* 2003; You *et al.* 2014; Yan *et al.* 2021). Bamboos are gramineous plants with economic and ornamental value. There are more than 1,300 fungi have been found in bamboos (Dai *et al.* 2018) and mainly inhabited leaves and culms (Dai *et al.* 2018; Feng *et al.* 2021; Jiang *et al.* 2021). Numerous bambusicolous fungi are reported as pathogens causing serious economic losses in China (Xu *et al.* 2006, 2007). In addition, some fungal species produce secondary metabolites (Kuhnert *et al.* 2015) and have a high value in medical treatment, such as *Engleromyces goezi*, which can secrete diterpenes to inhibit cholesterol ester transfer protein activity to decrease cholesterol biosynthesis (Wang *et al.* 2015; Dai *et al.* 2018).

Pseudorobillarda was established by Morelet (1968) to accommodate *P. phragmitis* (= *Robillarda phragmitis*) and *P. muhlenbergiae* (= *R. muhlenbergiae*). The genus is characterized by pycnidial conidiomata, presence or absence of paraphyses, phialidic or annellidic conidiogenous cells, subcylindrical to fusiform, 0–4-septate conidia with appendages at one end (Raj et al. 1972; Tangthirasunun et al. 2014; Li et al. 2020). Species in *Pseudorobillarda* have varied lifestyles in different habitats which are mostly found as saprobes and endophytes (Vujanovic & St-Arnaud 2003; Tangthirasunun et al. 2014; Li et al. 2020). *Pseudorobillarda texana* has been commonly isolated from soil (Kadowaki et al. 2014) and *P. peltigerae* is occasionally found as lichenicolous fungi (Pieter et al. 1998). In addition, species of *Pseudorobillarda* are found on living or dead leaves, stems and barks of a broad host range, viz. *Asparagus, Bambusa, Bolusanthus, Camellia, Dicotyledon, Eucalyptus, Setaria* in terrestrial habitats (Nag Raj et al. 2021), and *P. aquatica* and *P. sojae* were reported from freshwater habitats (Pande 1981; Plaingam et al. 2005). *Pseudorobillarda* is widely distributed from temperate to tropical regions, viz., America, Argentina, Canada, Cuba, China, Germany, India, Nigeria, Thailand, the UK and Ukraine (Uecker & Raj 1994; Bianchinotti 1997; Vujanovic & St-Arnaud 2003; Plaingam et al. 2005; Rathnayaka et al. 2021) and this is the first time to report this genus on the

mainland China. Up to date, twenty species are listed under *Pseudorobillarda* in Index Fungorum (accessed 30 June 2022) and Li *et al.* (2020) accepted 16 species based on the re-examination of the type specimens of *Pseudorobillarda* species. There is no teleomorph that has been reported or linked to *Pseudorobillarda* (Wijayawardene *et al.* 2012). In addition, nine species of *Pseudorobillarda* were supported by molecular data and the taxonomic placement of *Pseudorobillarda* (Pseudorobillardaceae) was confirmed based on phylogenetic results (Crous *et al.* 2019; Li *et al.* 2020; Rathnayaka *et al.* 2021).

During investigations of microfungi in Sichuan Province, China, we found a new species, namely *Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis*, from dead culms of *Bambusa* sp. and the establishment is justified by the morphological and phylogenetic evidence. A detailed description, microphotographic illustration, morphological comparisons with all accepted *Pseudorobillarda* species and an updated phylogenetic tree (with available molecular data) are provided herein.

Materials and methods

Samples collection, morphological studies and herbarium deposition

Dead culms of *Bambusa* sp. were collected from Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China in September 2021. The samples were taken to the laboratory in paper envelopes and maintained at room temperature. The specimens were examined following the methods described in Senanayake *et al.* (2020). Morphological observations were made using a Motic SMZ 168 Series stereomicroscope and digital images were recorded with a Nikon e80i microscope-camera system. Measurements were made with the Tarosoft Image Frame Work program v. 0.9.7 following in Liu *et al.* (2010) and images used for figures were processed with Adobe Photoshop CS6 software (Adobe Systems, USA). Isolations were made from single spore isolation as described by Senanayake *et al.* (2020). Single germinating conidium was transferred to 2% potato dextrose agar (PDA) media plates after 12 hours and incubated in an incubator at 25 °C for a week.

The type specimen was deposited in the herbarium of Cryptogams, Kunming Institute of Botany academia Sinica (KUN-HKAS) in Kunming, China and Herbarium, University of Electronic Science and Technology (HUEST), Chengdu, China. The cultures isolated were deposited at the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC) in Beijing, China and the University of Electronic Science and Technology Culture Collection (UESTCC), Chengdu, China. The new taxon was registered in MycoBank.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Genomic DNA extraction was carried out from fresh mycelium growing on PDA at 25 °C using Tsingke Fungus Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (TSINGKE Biotech, Shanghai, P.R. China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The primer pairs ITS5/ITS4 (White *et al.* 1990), LR0R (Rehner & Samuels 1994) /LR5 (Vilgalys & Hester 1990), NS1/NS4 (White *et al.* 1990), *fRPB2*-5F/*fRPB2*-7cR (Liu *et al.* 1999) were used to amplify for the internal transcribed spacer region (ITS), the large subunit of ribosomal rDNA (LSU), the small subunit of ribosomal rDNA (SSU) and RNA polymerase II subunit (*rpb2*), respectively. The amplification was performed in a 25 µL reaction volume containing 12.5 µL Master Mix (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, P.R. China), 1 µL of each primer (10 µM), 1 µL template DNA and 9.5 µL deionized water. The PCR thermal cycles for four genes were performed under the following reaction conditions: an initial denaturing step for 94 °C 4 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 53 °C for 30 s, elongation at 72 °C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were verified on 1 % agarose electrophoresis gels stained with ethidium bromide. PCR products were sequenced by Beijing Tsingke Biological Engineering Technology and Services Co., Ltd (Beijing, P.R. China). Sequences were deposited in GenBank.

Phylogenetic analysis

The quality of the raw Sanger sequencing results was initially checked, and the leading region and the tail region with bad quality were trimmed using BioEdit v.7.0.9.0 (Hall 1999). Related sequences (TABLE 1) were downloaded from GenBank based on BLAST search results. *Speiropsis pedatospora* (CBS 397.59) was selected as the outgroup taxon and aligned with the sequences obtained in this study using MAFFTv.7 (Katoh *et al.* 2019) and manually edited in BioEdit v.7.0.9.0 (Hall 1999) when necessary.

Phylogenetic analyses were performed by maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) as detailed in Dissanayake *et al.* (2020). Best fit models for ML and BI analyses were revealed by PartitionFinder v2.2.1 (Lanfear *et al.* 2017), running under the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) implemented in PAUP v. 4.0a. For the *Pseudorobillarda*ceae dataset, SYM+I+G was selected as the best model for the ITS region, GTR+I+G was selected for LSU, GTR+G was selected for *rpb2*, and GTR+I+G was selected for SSU. The ML analysis

was performed using RAxML-NG (v. 0.9.0) tool (Kozlov *et al.* 2019) and run 1,000 bootstrap replicates. MrBayes v.3.2.7 (Ronquist *et al.* 2012) was used to run the BI analysis and posterior probabilities (PP) were determined by Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling (MCMC). The phylogenetic tree was visualized by FigTree v.1.4.4 (Rambaut 2014) and edited using Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Systems, USA).

Species	Strain no.		GenBank ac	cession numbers	
		ITS	LSU	SSU	rpb2
Pseudorobillarda bolusanthi	CBS 145072	MK047441	MK047491	NA	NA
P. Camelliae-sinensis	NCYUCC 19-0408	MW478596	MW478592	MW478590	MW478492
P. eucalypti	MFLUCC 12-0417	NR137846	NG059497	NG063556	NA
P. magna	HKAS 93638	MT185551	MT183516	MT214985	MT432246
P. parasiamensis	MFLUCC 12-0414	KF827448	KF827454	KF827460	KF827493
P. phragmitis	CBS 398.61	MH858101	MH869670	EU754104	NA
P. phragmitis	CBS 842.84	MH861840	MH873528	EU754103	NA
P. phragmitis	IA04	KM246187	KM246104	NA	NA
P. phragmitis	IA10	KM246192	KM246109	NA	NA
P. sojae	MFLUCC 12-0422	KF827451	KF827457	KF827463	KF827496
P. sojae	MFLUCC 12-0423	KF827452	KF827458	KF827464	KF827497
P. sojae	MFLUCC 12-0316	KF827447	KF827453	KF827459	KF827492
P. sojae	BCC 20495	FJ825371	FJ825376	FJ825366	NA
P. siamensis	BCC 12531	FJ825370	FJ825375	FJ825365	NA
Pseudorobillarda sp.	MFLU 19-2895	MT185552	MT183517	MT214986	NA
Pseudorobillarda sp.	G fon3	NA	MF337012	NA	NA
P. sichuanensis	CGMCC 3.20951	ON614098	ON614139	ON614099	ON639624
P. texana	BCC 12535	FJ825372	FJ825377	FJ825367	NA
Speiropsis pedatospora	CBS 397.59	MH857901	MH869443	NA	NA

TABLE 1. Taxa used in this study and their GenBank accession numbers. Newly generated sequences are in red and the extype strains are in bold, the "NA" sequence is unavailable.

Acronyms of culture collections: BCC: BIOTEC Culture Collection, National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Klong Luang, Pathumthani, Thailand; CBS: CBS Filamentous fungi and Yeast Collection, Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, Netherlands; CGMCC: China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese; Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; HKAS: Cryptogams Kunming Institute of Botany Academia Sinica, Kunming, China; MFLU: Mae Fah Luang University Herbarium Collection, Chiang Rai, Thailand; MFLUCC: Mae Fah Luang University Culture Collection, Chiang Rai, Thailand; NCYUCC: the National Chiayi University Culture Collection, Taiwan, China.

Results

Phylogenetic analysis

The combined LSU-SSU-ITS-*rpb2* sequence matrix comprised 19 taxa with *Speiropsis pedatospora* (CBS 397.59) as the outgroup taxon. The concatenated alignment comprised 3,234 total characters including gaps (LSU: 1–836 bp; SSU: 837–1,868 bp; ITS: 1,869–2455 bp; *rpb2*: 2,456–3,234 bp) with 2,359 constant, 320 parsimony uninformative and 555 parsimony informative characters. The MP analysis resulted a single most parsimonious tree (CI = 0.710, RI = 0.700, RC = 0.497). The best ML phylogram (FIGURE 1) with a final likelihood value of -12409.797399. The matrix had 815 distinct alignment patterns, with 30.18% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows; A = 0.264599, C = 0.213158, G = 0.258622, T = 0.263621; substitution rates AC = 1.259141, AG = 3.094544, AT = 1.710917, CG = 0.847543, CT = 7.743777, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter α

= 0.185184. Single gene analyses (ITS, LSU, SSU) are also carried out and the topology of the trees and clades are basically stable. The MP and BI phylogenetic analyses produced trees with similar topologies to ML. The phylogenetic analysis indicated two major clades in *Pseudorobillarda* (FIGURE 1), one clade included the species *P. siamensis*, *P. parasiamensis*, *P. eucalypti*, *P. camelliae-sinensis* and *P. sojae*; and the other one had *P. magna*, *P. phragmitis*, *P. texana*, *P. sichuanensis* (*sp. nov.*) and *P. bolusanthi*. The isolate (CGMCC 3.20951) of *Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis* formed a sister affinity to *P. texana*.



0.05

FIGURE 1. Phylogenetic tree generated from ML analyses of a combined ITS, LSU, SSU and *rpb2* dataset. The tree was rooted to *Speiropsis pedatospora* (CBS 397.59). Bootstrap support values for MP, ML (\geq 75%) and Bayesian posterior probabilities (\geq 0.95 PP) are given above or below the branches respectively. The new taxon is indicated in red and ex-type strains are in bold.

Taxonomy

Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis J.Y. Song & Jian K. Liu, sp. nov.

MycoBank: MB844119; FIGURE 2

Etymology: In reference to the location where the fungus was collected, Sichuan Province, China. Holotype: HKAS 124018



FIGURE 2. *Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis* (HKAS 124018, **holotype**). **a** Appearance of *Conidiomata* on the host surface. **b–c** Close-up of *Conidiomata*. **d** Vertical section of conidioma. **e** Vertical section through *Conidiomatal wall*. **f** *Paraphyses*. **g**, **h** *Conidiogenous cells* with developing conidia. **i–m** Conidia with appendages. **n** Colony from above **o** Colony from below. Scale bars: $d = 50 \mu m$, $e, f = 20 \mu m$, $g-m = 10 \mu m$.

Saprobic on a dead culm of Bambusa sp. in terrestrial habitat. Forming black, lenticular spots on the host surface, with *Conidiomata* breaking through raised cracks with a black center. teleomorph: Undetermined. anamorph: *Conidiomata* 170–320 µm diam. × 100–180 µm high ($\overline{x} = 237 \times 128$ µm; n = 10), pycnidial, scattered, immersed to slightly erumpent, multilocular or unilocular, forming a slit-like opening at the apex, black, glabrous, ostiolate. *Ostiole* in the center of the pileus, circular, dark brown, ostiolar canal filled with hyaline cells, non-papillate. *Conidiomata wall* 9–16 µm diam. ($\overline{x} = 12.5$ µm; n = 10), multi-layered, composed of thick-walled, hyaline to brown cells of *textura angularis* or *textura prismatica*. *Conidiophores* arising all around the cavity of the conidiomata, reduced to conidiogenous cells, mixed with paraphyses and embedded in a mucilage matrix. *Paraphyses* 24.5–66 µm long × 1.5–2.5 µm wide ($\overline{x} = 1.5 = 0.5 \mu$ m wide ($\overline{x} = 1.5 \mu$ m) wide ($\overline{x} = 1.$

 $39.5 \times 2 \ \mu\text{m}; n = 20$), hyaline, unbranched, narrowly cylindrical to filiform, 1-septate, smooth-walled. *Conidiogenous cells* $3-4.5 \times 2-3.5 \ \mu\text{m}$ ($\overline{x} = 4 \times 2.6 \ \mu\text{m}; n = 20$), enteroblastic, phialidic, subcylindrical, hyaline, straight, smooth-walled. *Conidia* $12-17 \times 2.5-4 \ \mu\text{m}$ ($\overline{x} = 14.5 \times 3.5 \ \mu\text{m}; n = 30$), subcylindrical to naviculate, hyaline, rounded at apex, slightly truncated at the base, aseptate, 1–4-guttulate, smooth-walled, bearing 3–4 unbranched, filiform, attenuated, flexuous apical appendages ($11-16 \ \mu\text{m}$ long). Mean conidial length/width (L/W) ratio = 4.5 (n = 30).

Culture characteristics: Conidia germinated on PDA in 24 hours. Germ tube produced from two-end of the conidia, without sporulation. Colonies on PDA, reaching 30 mm diam. after seven days at 25 °C, rough, dry, opaque, felty, flat, initially white, gradually becoming light pink in the middle and white at the margin, with regular margin and reverse white.

Material examined: CHINA, Sichuan Province, Chengdu, Science City Mountain Park (30°40.99' N, 104°08.24' E), on dead culms of *Bambusa* sp. (Poaceae), 10 September 2021, Jingyi Song (HKAS 124018, holotype; HUEST 22.0027, isotype); ex-type living culture CGMCC 3.20951 (= UESTCC 22.0029).

Notes: Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis fits well with the generic concept of Pseudorobillarda by its pycnidial conidiomata, paraphyses, phialidic conidiogenous cells, and subcylindrical conidia with appendages at one end. However, it differs from other species in having subcylindrical to fusiform, aseptate, guttulate conidia with 3-4 apical appendages. A detailed morphological comparison of *Pseudorobillarda* species is provided in TABLE 2. Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis (sp. nov.) resembles to P. aquatica, P. bolusanthi, P. camelliae-sinensis, P. eucalypti, P. siamensis, P. sojae and P. texana in having aseptate conidia. While P. eucalypti, P. siamensis and P. sojae are distinguished from *P. sichuanensis* by the absent of paraphyses. *Pseudorobillarda* aquatica has larger (25–35 µm), eguttulate conidia, while P. sichuanensis has smaller, guttulate conidia (12-17 µm). Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis resembles P. texana in having similar conidiogenous cells and conidia. However, P. sichuanensis has conidiomata with a circular, non-papillate ostiole, conidia with a rounded apex and slightly truncated base, and shorter apical appendages. While P. texana has conidiomata with oval, beaked ostiole and conidia with both ends rounded (Plaingam et al. 2005). In addition, the Mean conidial L/W ratio of P. sichuanensis (4.6) is significantly less than P. texana (5.5). The multi-gene (LSU-SSU-ITS-rpb2) phylogenetic analysis suggested that Pseudorobillarda sichuanensis clustered together with *P. texana* and formed a distinct lineage. In addition, a comparison of the ITS region reveals a 62 bp (base pair) difference (without gaps) between P. sichuanensis and P. texana which provides further evidence to support the establishment of new species.

Discussion

Species in *Pseudorobillarda* are distinguished mainly by the absence or presence of paraphyses, conidial features including origin and position of conidial appendages and the mean conidial length/width (L/W) ratio of conidia (Nag Raj *et al.* 1972; Bianchinotti 1997; Vujanovic & St-Arnaud 2003; Tangthirasunun *et al.* 2014; Li *et al.* 2020; Rathnayaka *et al.* 2021). Bianchinotti (1997) proposed that the origin and position of appendages should be considered essential characteristics to identify species in *Pseudorobillarda*. However, it is difficult to observe the position of the appendages in developed conidia and it was roughly described as conidia 'bearing appendages at one end' in several previous studies (Cunnell 1958; Nag Raj *et al.* 1972; Pande 1981; Uecker & Kulik 1986; Vujanovic & St-Arnaud 2003; Li *et al.* 2020).

The classification status of *Pseudorobillarda* remains unresolved (Rungjindamai *et al.* 2012; Tangthirasunun *et al.* 2014; Crous *et al.* 2014, 2018; Li *et al.* 2020; Rathnayaka *et al.* 2021). Tangthirasunun *et al.* (2014) placed *Pseudorobillarda* in Dothideomycetes *incertae sedis* on basis of LSU sequence data. Subsequently, a new family *Pseudorobillarda*ceae was established to accommodate *Pseudorobillarda* in Minutisphaerales (Crous *et al.* 2018, 2019). The recent studies placed the family in Dothideomycetes families *incertae sedis* (Dong *et al.* 2020; Hongsanan *et al.* 2020; Li *et al.* 2020) as the phylogenetic analysis did not support the placement of assigning *Pseudorobillarda*ceae to Minutisphaerales, and its treatment needs further studies to resolve. *Pseudorobillarda* taxa are widely distributed in temperate to tropical countries and they have been reported as saprobes, pathogens, endophytes as well as lichenicolous and humicolous fungi (Uecker & Raj 1994; Bianchinotti 1997; Vujanovic & St-Arnaud 2003; Kadowaki *et al.* 2014). This study contributes the diversity of bamboo fungi in Sichuan province, China, and a new species *Pseudorobillarda* sichuanensis was isolated, identified and well justified. With more molecular data available (taxa population and species diversity) in this group and it will provide the opportunity to address better understanding towards a natural classification of *Pseudorobillarda*.

TABLE 2. Anamor ₁	ah morphology comp	arison among	Pseudorobillara	la species.						
Species	Conidiomata	Paraphyses	Conidiogenous cells		Coni	dia		Appen	Idages	Reference
	Size (µm)	1	Size (µm)	Shape	Septation	Size (µm)	Guttulation	No.	Length (µm)	
Pseudorobillarda agrostidis	260–360 × 140–230	present	$2-5 \times 1.5-2.5$	fusiform	1-septate	$13-20 \times 2-3$	guttulate	3-4	10–16.5	Nag Raj <i>et al.</i> (1972)
P. aquatica	90–140 (diam.)	present	not report	cylindrical, allantoids	aseptate, rarely 1-septate	25–35 × 3.5–4.2	eguttulate	4	not report	Plaingam <i>et al.</i> (2005)
P. asparagis	100–500 (diam.)	present	$5-8 \times 2-5$	fusiform	1-septate	$10{-}14 \times 2{-}2.5$	not report	3-4	15-33	Plaingam <i>et al.</i> (2005)
P. Bambusae	$100-340 \times 120-250$	present	$4-8 \times 2-4$	subcylindrical to fusiform	1-septate	$16-20 \times 3-5$	eguttulate	2–3	14–20	Li et al. (2020)
P. bolusanthi* (Macroconidia)	200–250 (diam.)	present	$4-7 \times 3-4$	not report	(1-)3-septate	$26-28 \times 6$	guttulate	3-5	up to 30	Crous <i>et al.</i> (2018)
<i>P. bolusanthi*</i> (Microconidia)			$10{-}15 \times 4{-}5$	subcylindrical	aseptate	$4-8 \times 2-4$	guttulate	3-5	up to 10	
P. camelliae- sinensis*	$106-120 \times 120-155$	present	$5-15 \times 2-4$	subcylindrical	aseptate	$13-14 \times 2-4$	guttulate	2-4	10–16	Rathnayaka <i>et</i> al. (2021)
P. eucalypti	255–330 × 350–500	absent	$6-17 \times 2-5$	subcylindrical to fusiform	aseptate	$15{-}18 \times 5{-}8$	guttulate	2–3	10–19	Li <i>et al.</i> (2020)
P. indica	$150-300 \times 200-350$	present	$6-9 \times 3-5$	subcylindrical to fusiform	1-septate, occasionally 3- septate	$16-24 \times 2.4 - 4$	guttulate	2_4	11–23	Li <i>et al.</i> (2020)
P. jaczewskii	$90-200 \times 60-110$	present	$4-7 \times 3-3.5$	ellipsoidal	1-septate, occasionally 3- septate	15–21 × 3–3.5	guttulate	2-5	10–19	Plaingam <i>et al.</i> (2005)
P. magna*	$160-220 \times 130-200$	present	$3-7 \times 2-2.5$	not report	3-septate	22–28 × 3–5	guttulate	5-6	17–26	Li et al. (2020)
									continuea	on the next page

Kite (µm)Kite (µm) <th>Species</th> <th>Lunuca) Conidiomata</th> <th>Paraphyses</th> <th>Conidiogenous cells</th> <th></th> <th>Conidia</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Appendag</th> <th>ses</th> <th>Reference</th>	Species	Lunuca) Conidiomata	Paraphyses	Conidiogenous cells		Conidia			Appendag	ses	Reference
P monoo $150-400$ (diam)present $2-10 \times 2.2$ suborlindrical $1-septane, accasionally10-12 \times 2.4 suborlindrical2-4 suborlindrical2-50 suborlindrical2-60 suborlindrical2-60$		Size (µm)	I	Size (µm)	Shape	Septation	Size (µm)	Guttulation	No. Len	ıgth (µm)	
P multienbergiae $60-200$ (diam)not reportnot report $1 septate$	P. monica	150-400 (diam.)	present	$2-10 \times 2-2.5$	subcylindrical to fusiform	1-septate, occasionally 3-septate	$10-12 \times 2.5-3$	guttulate	2-4 15-2	25	Vujanovic & St-Arnaud (2003)
P paratioments $140-160 \times 100-130$ absent $5-10 \times 2-4$ finition in the inposted allopoidseptate $15-23 \times 6-8$ guttulate $2-4$ $12-23$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $16-20$ $12-33$ $12-$	P. muhlenbergiae	60–200 (diam.)	not report	not report	cylindrical	1-septate	12–16 × 3.4–3.8	not report	3-4 8-13		Sprague <i>et al.</i> (1951)
P petrigerue $100-280$ (diam)present $2-31 \times 1-1.5$ subcylindrical1-septate $16-20 \times 2.5 \cdot 3$ not report 2.3 $16-20$ 2030 P piragmitis* $150-230 \times 110-250$ present $5-10 \times 2.4$ fusiform1-septate $14.5-20 \times 2.4$ gutulate 2.5 $10-20$ $11 \text{ et } c$ P sizmentsis* $99-123 \times 135-153$ absent $2.5-5 \times 1.5-2$ cylindrical toassptate $16-21 \times 6-8$ not report 4.5 $17-26.5$ $angtP sizmentsis*99-123 \times 135-153absent2.5-5 \times 1.5-2cylindrical toassptate16-21 \times 6-8not report4.517-26.5andtP sizmentsis*99-123 \times 135-153absent4.7 \times 3-5subcylindrical toassptate16-21 \times 6-8not report4.517-26.5andtP sizmentsis*99-123 \times 130-300absent4.7 \times 3-5subcylindrical to1-50 \times 3-3.5gutulate2.410-2111 \text{ et } dtP subfixera65-100 \times 90absent3-3.5 \times 3subcylindrical to1-50 \times 3-3.56gutulate2.415-25BrackP setarize150 (diam)present55-65 \times 2.5-3subcylindrical to1-210 \times 2.5-4gutulate2.41-161100P setarize170-320 \times 100-180present55-65 \times 2.5-3subcylindrical to1-217 \times 2.5-4gutulate2.411-161106P setarize170-320 \times 100-180present3-6 \times $	P. parasiamensis	140–160 × 100–130	absent	$5-10 \times 2-4$	fusiform to ellipsoidal	septate	15-23 × 6-8	guttulate	2-4 12-2	23	Li <i>et al.</i> (2020)
P phragmitis* $150-250 \times 110-250$ present $5-10 \times 2-4$ fusiform $1-septate$ $14.5-20 \times 2-4$ guttulate $2-5$ $10-260$ Li <i>et al.</i> P siamensis* $99-123 \times 135-153$ absent $2.5-5 \times 1.5-2$ cylindrical toaseptate $16-21 \times 6-8$ not report $4-5$ $17-26.5$ $et al.$ P signe* $99-123 \times 135-153$ absent $2.5-5 \times 1.5-2$ guidical toaseptate $16-21 \times 6-8$ not report $4-5$ $17-26.5$ $et al.$ P signe* $100-250 \times 100-300$ absent $4-7 \times 3-5$ subcylindricalaseptate $13.5-19 \times 3-5$ guttulate $3-4$ $10-21$ $Li et al.$ P subfine $65-100 \times 90$ absent $3-3.5 \times 3$ subcylindrical $1-122 \times 3-3.6$ guttulate $2-4$ $15-25$ Brack P subfine $100-250 \times 100-300$ absent $5-6.5 \times 2.5-3$ subcylindrical $1-122 \times 3-3.6$ guttulate $2-4$ $15-25$ Brack P sidmannasi* 150 (diam.)present $5.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3$ subcylindrical $1-122 \times 3-3.6$ guttulate $2-4$ $9-21$ (2005) P sichnannasi* $170-320 \times 100-180$ present $3-4.5 \times 2.3-3$ subcylindrical $1-17 \times 2.5-4$ guttulate $3-4$ $12-16$ (2005) P sichnannasi* $100-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ subcylindrical $2-9.5$ $2-117 \times 2.5-4$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Paing P rexana* $100-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ <	P. peltigerae	100–280 (diam.)	present	$26-31 \times 1-1.5$	subcylindrical	l-septate	$16-20 \times 2.5-3$	not report	2-3 16-2	20	Plaingam <i>et al.</i> (2005)
$P. siamensis*$ $99-123 \times 135-153$ absent $2.5-5 \times 1.5-2$ cylindrical to hisformaseptate $16-21 \times 6-8$ not report $4-5$ $17-26.5$ $ant.$ $P. sojae*$ $100-250 \times 100-300$ absent $4-7 \times 3-5$ subylindricalaseptate $13.5-19 \times 3-5$ guttulate $3-4$ $10-21$ $Li etc$ $P. sojae*$ $100-250 \times 100-300$ absent $4-7 \times 3-5$ subylindrical 1 -septate $13.5-19 \times 3-5$ guttulate $3-4$ $10-21$ $Li etc$ $P. subjlacca65-100 \times 90absent3-3.5 \times 3subylindrical1-septate9.1-12.2 \times 3-3.6guttulate2-415-25BrackP. scariae150 (diam.)present5.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3subylindrical1-septate1+21 \times 3-4guttulate2-49-21(2005)P. scaniae170-320 \times 100-180present3-4.5 \times 2-3.5subylindricalaseptate12-17 \times 2.5-4guttulate3-411-16This sP. texana*110-190 \times 100-140present3-6 \times 2-3.5subylindricalaseptate2.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3guttulate3-411-16This sP. texana*110-190 \times 100-140present3-6 \times 2-3.5guttulate3-6 \times 1-2.5.5guttulate3-412-5-20.5guttulateP. texana*110-190 \times 100-140present3-6 \times 2-3.5guttulate3-6 \times 1-2.5.5guttulate2-6 \times 1-2.5.5guttulateP. texana*110-190 \times 100-140presen$	P. phragmitis *	150–250 × 110–250	present	$5-10 \times 2-4$	fusiform	1-septate	$14.5-20 \times 2-4$	guttulate	2-5 10-2	20	Li <i>et al.</i> (2020)
$P. sojae^*$ $100-250 \times 100-300$ absent $4-7 \times 3-5$ subcylindrical to fusiformaseptate $13.5-19 \times 3-5$ guttulate $3-4$ $10-21$ Li eic $P. subfusca$ $65-100 \times 90$ absent $3-3.5 \times 3$ subcylindrical $1-septate$ $9.1-12.2 \times 3-3.6$ eguttulate $2-4$ $15-25$ Brack $P. subfusca$ $150 (diam.)$ present $5.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3$ subcylindrical $1-septate$ $14-21 \times 3-4$ guttulate $2-4$ $9-21$ 2005 $P. sichuanensis*$ $170-320 \times 100-180$ present $5.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3$ subcylindricalaseptate $12-17 \times 2.5-4$ guttulate $2-4$ $9-21$ 2005 $P. ichuanensis*$ $170-320 \times 100-180$ present $3-4.5 \times 2-3.5$ subcylindricalaseptate $12-17 \times 2.5-4$ guttulate $3-4$ $11-16$ This s $P. texana*$ $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-18.5 \times$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Plaing $P. texana*$ $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-3.5$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Plaing $P. texana*$ $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-3.5$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Plaing $P. texana*$ $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-3.5$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Plaing <td>P. siamensis*</td> <td>99–123 × 135–153</td> <td>absent</td> <td>2.5-5 imes 1.5-2</td> <td>cylindrical to fusiform</td> <td>aseptate</td> <td>16–21 × 6–8</td> <td>not report</td> <td>4-5 17-2</td> <td>26.5</td> <td>Tangthirasunun <i>et al.</i> (2014)</td>	P. siamensis*	99–123 × 135–153	absent	2.5-5 imes 1.5-2	cylindrical to fusiform	aseptate	16–21 × 6–8	not report	4-5 17-2	26.5	Tangthirasunun <i>et al.</i> (2014)
P. subfusca $65-100 \times 90$ absent $3-3.5 \times 3$ subcylindrical1-septate $9.1-12.2 \times 3-3.6$ equtulate $2-4$ $15-25$ BrackP. Setariae 150 (diam.)present $5.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3$ subcylindrical1-septate $14-21 \times 3-4$ guttulate $2-4$ $9-21$ PlaingP. sichuanensis* $170-320 \times 100-180$ present $5.5-6.5 \times 2-3.5$ subcylindricalaseptate $12-17 \times 2.5-4$ guttulate $3-4$ $11-16$ This sP. texana* $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-18.5 \times$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ PlaingP. texana* $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-18.5 \times$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ PlaingP. texana* $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical to $2.5-3.5$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Plaing	P. sojae*	$100-250 \times 100-300$	absent	$4-7 \times 3-5$	subcylindrical to fusiform	aseptate	$13.5 - 19 \times 3 - 5$	guttulate	3-4 10-2	21	Li <i>et al.</i> (2020)
P. Setariae150 (diam.)present $5.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3$ subcylindrical1-septate $14-21 \times 3-4$ guttulate $2-4$ $9-21$ Plaing(2005)P. sichuanensis* $170-320 \times 100-180$ present $3-4.5 \times 2-3.5$ subcylindricalaseptate $12-17 \times 2.5-4$ guttulate $3-4$ $11-16$ This sP. texana* $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-18.5 \times$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ PlaingP. texana* $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $2.5-3.5$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Plaing	P. subfusca	$65{-}100 \times 90$	absent	$3-3.5 \times 3$	subcylindrical to ellipsoid	l-septate	$9.1 - 12.2 \times 3 - 3.6$	eguttulate	2-4 15-2	25	Brackel (2019)
P. sichuanensis* $170-320 \times 100-180$ present $3-4.5 \times 2-3.5$ subcylindricalaseptate $12-17 \times 2.5-4$ guttulate $3-4$ $11-16$ This sto naviculateP. texana* $110-190 \times 100-140$ present $3-6 \times 2-3.5$ cylindrical toaseptate $12.5-18.5 \times$ guttulate $3-4$ $12.5-20.5$ Plaingnaviculate	P. Setariae	150 (diam.)	present	$5.5-6.5 \times 2.5-3$	subcylindrical to ellipsoid	l-septate	14–21 × 3–4	guttulate	2-4 9-2	_	Plaingam <i>et al.</i> (2005)
$P. texana^* \qquad 110-190 \times 100-140 \text{ present} \qquad 3-6 \times 2-3.5 \text{ cylindrical to a septate} \qquad 12.5-18.5 \times \text{ guttulate} \qquad 3-4 12.5-20.5 \text{ Plaing} \qquad 2.5-3.5 \qquad (2005)$	P. sichuanensis*	170–320 × 100–180	present	$3-4.5 \times 2-3.5$	subcylindrical to naviculate	aseptate	$12-17 \times 2.5-4$	guttulate	3-4 11-1	16	This study
	P. texana*	$110-190 \times 100-140$	present	$3-6 \times 2-3.5$	cylindrical to naviculate	aseptate	12.5–18.5 × 2.5–3.5	guttulate	3-4 12.5	5-20.5	Plaingam <i>et al.</i> (2005)

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Karst ScienceResearch Center of Guizhou province (Grant No. U1812401).

Reference

Bianchinotti, M.V. (1997) New species of *Pseudorobillarda* from a leguminous tree in Argentina. *Mycological Research* 101: 1233-1236.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756297003900

- Brackel, W. (2019) Weitere Funde von flechtenbewohnenden Pilzen in Bayern -Beitrag zu einer Checkliste VI. Berichte der Bayerischen Botanischen Gesellschaft 89: 105–126.
- Chomnunti, P., Hongsanan, S., Aguirre-Hudson, B., Tian, Q., Persoh, D., Dhami, M.K., Alias, A.S., Xu, J.C., Liu, X.Z., Stadler, M. & Hyde, K.D. (2014) The sooty moulds. *Fungal Diversity* 66: 1–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0278-5
- Crous, P.W., Giraldo, A., Hawksworth, D.L., Robert, V., Kirk, P.M., Guarro, J., Robbertse, B., Schoch, C.L., Damm, U., Trakunyingcharoen, T. & Groenewald, J.Z. (2014) The Genera of Fungi: fixing the application of type species of generic names. *IMA Fungus* 5: 141– 160.

https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2014.05.01.14

- Crous, P.W., Luangsa-Ard, J.J., Wingfield, M.J., Carnegie, A.J., Hernández-Restrepo, M., Lombard, L., Roux, J., Barreto, R.W., Baseia, I.G., Cano-Lira, J.F., Martín, M.P., Morozova, O.V., Stchigel, A.M., Summerell, B.A., Brandrud, T.E., Dima, B., García, D., Giraldo, A., Guarro, J., Gusmão, L.F.P., Khamsuntorn, P., Noordeloos, M.E., Nuankaew, S., Pinruan, U., Rodríguez-Andrade, E., Souza-Motta, C.M., Thangavel, R., van Iperen, A.L., Abreu, V.P., Accioly, T., Alves, J.L., Andrade, J.P., Bahram, M., Baral, H.O., Barbier, E., Barnes, C.W., Bendiksen, E., Bernard, E., Bezerra, J.D.P., Bezerra, J.L., Bizio, E., Blair, J.E., Bulyonkova, T.M., Cabral, T.S., Caiafa, M.V., Cantillo, T., Colmán, A.A., Conceição, L.B., Cruz, S., Cunha, A.O.B., Darveaux, B.A., da Silva, A.L., da Silva, G.A., da Silva, G.M., da Silva, R.M.F., de Oliveira, R.J.V., Oliveira, R.L., De Souza, J.T., Dueñas, M., Evans, H.C., Epifani, F., Felipe, M.T.C., Fernández-López, J., Ferreira, B.W., Figueiredo, C.N., Filippova, N.V., Flores, J.A., Gené, J., Ghorbani, G., Gibertoni, T.B., Glushakova, A.M., Healy, R., Huhndorf, S.M., Iturrieta-González, I., Javan-Nikkhah, M., Juciano, R.F., Jurjević, Z., Kachalkin, A.V., Keochanpheng, K., Krisai-Greilhuber, I., Li, Y.C., Lima, A.A., Machado, A.R., Madrid, H., Magalhães, O.M.C., Marbach, P.A.S., Melanda, G.C.S., Miller, A.N., Mongkolsamrit, S., Nascimento, R.P., Oliveira, T.G.L., Ordoñez, M.E., Orzes, R., Palma, M.A., Pearce, C.J., Pereira, O.L., Perrone, G., Peterson, S.W., Pham, T.H.G., Piontelli, E., Pordel, A., Quijada, L., Raja, H.A., Rosas de Paz, E., Ryvarden, L., Saitta, A., Salcedo, S.S., Sandoval-Denis, M., Santos, T.A.B., Seifert, K.A., Silva, B.D.B., Smith, M.E., Soares, A.M., Sommai, S., Sousa, J.O., Suetrong, S., Susca, A., Tedersoo, L., Telleria, M.T., Thanakitpipattana, D., Valenzuela-Lopez, N., Visagie, C.M., Zapata, M. & Groenewald, J.Z. (2018) Fungal Planet description sheets: 785-867. Persoonia 41: 238-417. https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.41.12
- Cunnell, G.J. (1958) On *Robillarda phragmitis* sp. nov.. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 41: 405–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(58)80065-0
- Dai, D.Q., Tang, L.Z. & Wang, H.B. (2018) A review of bambusicolous ascomycetes. *In:* Abdul Khalil, H.P.S. (ed.) *Bamboo: Current and Future Prospects*. London: IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.76463
- Dissanayake, A.J., Bhunjun, C.S., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N. & Liu, J.K. (2020) applied aspects of methods to infer phylogenetic relationships amongst fungi. *Mycosphere* 11: 2653–2677. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/18
- Feng, Y., Liu, J.K., Lin, C.G., Chen, Y.Y., Xiang, M.M. & Liu, Z.Y. (2021) Additions to the Genus Arthrinium (Apiosporaceae) From Bamboos in China. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12: 661281. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.661281
- Hall, T.A. (1999) BioEdit: A User-Friendly Biological Sequence Alignment Editor and Analysis Program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucle Acids Symposium Series* 41: 95–98.

https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-1999-0734.ch008

Jayasiri, S.C., Hyde, K.D., Ariyawansa, H.A., Bhat, J., Buyck, B., Cai, L., Dai, Y.C., Abd-Elsalam, K.A., Ertz, D., Hidayat, I., Jeewon, R., Jones, E.B.G., Bahkali, A.H., Karunarathna, S.C., Liu, J.K., Luangsa-Ard, J.J., Lumbsch, H.T., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N., McKenzie, E.H.C., Moncalvo, J.M., Ghobad-Nejhad, M., Nilsson, H., Pang, K.L., Pereira, O.L., Phillips, A.J.L., Raspé, O., Rollins, A.W., Romero, A.I., Etayo, J., Selçuk, F., Stephenson, S.L., Suetrong, S., Taylor, J.E., Tsui, C.K.M., Vizzini, A., Abdel-Wahab, M.A., Wen, T.C., Boonmee, S., Dai, D.Q., Daranagama, D.A., Dissanayake, A.J., Ekanayaka, A.H., Fryar, S.C., Hongsanan, S., Jayawardena, R.S., Li, W.J., Perera, R.H., Phookamsak, R., De Silva, N.I., Thambugala, K.M., Tian, Q., Wijayawardene, N.N., Zhao, R.L., Zhao, Q., Kang, J.C. & Promputtha, I. (2015) The Faces of Fungi database: fungal names linked with morphology, phylogeny and human impacts. *Fungal Diversity* 74: 3–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0351-8

- Jiang, H.B., Phookamsak, R., Hyde, K.D., Mortimer, P.E., Xu, J.C., Kakumyan, P., Karunarathna, S.C. & Kumla, J. (2021) A taxonomic appraisal of bambusicolous fungi in Occulti*Bambusaceae* (Pleosporales, Dothideomycetes) with new collections from Yunnan Province, China. *Life* 11: 932. https://doi.org/10.3390/life11090932
- Kadowaki, K., Sato, H., Yamamoto, S., Tanabe, A.S., Hidaka, A. & Toju, H. (2014) Detection of the horizontal spatial structure of soil fungal communities in a natural forest. *Population Ecology* 56: 301–310. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-013-0424-z
- Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J. & Yamada, K.D. (2019) MAFFT online service: Multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 20: 1160–1166. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108
- Kozlov, A.M., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., Morel, B. & Stamatakis, A. (2019) RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. *Bioinformatics* 35: 4453–4455. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz305
- Kuhnert, E., Surup, F., Sir, E.B., Lambert, C., Hyde, K.D., Hladki, A.I., Romero, A.I. & Stadler, M. (2015) Lenormandins A—G, new azaphilones from Hypoxylon lenormandii and Hypoxylon jaklitschii sp. nov., recognised by chemotaxonomic data. *Fungal Diversity* 71: 165–184.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0318-1

- Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P.B., Wright, A.M., Senfeld, T. & Calcott, B. (2017) PartitionFinder 2: New methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34: 772–773. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260
- Liu, J.K., Chomnunti, P., Cai, L., Phookamsak, R., Chukeatirote, E., Jones, E.B.G., Moslem, M. & Hyde, K.D. (2010) Phylogeny and morphology of Neodeightonia palmicola sp. nov. from palms. *Sydowia* 62: 261–276.
- Li, W.J., McKenzie, E.H.C., Liu, J.K., Bhat, D.J., Dai, D.Q., Camporesi, E., Tian, Q., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N., Luo, Z.L., Shang, Q.J., Zhang, J.F., Tangthirasunun, N., Karunarathna, S.C., Xu, J.C. & Hyde, K.D. (2020) Taxonomy and phylogeny of hyaline-spored coelomycetes. *Fungal Diversity* 100: 279–801. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00440-y
- Liu, Y.J., Whelen, S. & Hall, B.D. (1999) Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerse II subunit. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 1799–1808.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092

Morelet, M. (1968) De aliquibus in Mycologia novitatibus. Bulletin de la Société des Sciences naturelles et d'Archéologie de Toulon et du Var 175: 5–6.

Pande, A. (1981) Three foliicolous fungi from India. Maharashtra Vidnyan Mandir Patrika 16: 33-36.

- Pieter, V.D.B., Sérusiaux, E., Diederich, P., Brand, M., Aptroot, A. & Spier, L. (1998) A lichenological excursion in May 1997 near Hansur-Lesse and Saint-Hubert, with notes on rare or critical taxa of the flora of Belgium and Luxembourg. *Lejeunia* 158: 1–58. https://doi.org/10.1557/JMR.1992.0224
- Plaingam, N., Somrithipol, S. & Jones, E.B.G. (2005) *Pseudorobillarda* siamensis sp. nov. and notes on *P. sojae* and *P. texana* from Thailand. *Nova Hedwigia* 80: 335–348.

https://doi.org/10.1127/0029-5035/2005/0080-0335

- Nag Raj, T.R., Morgan-Jones, G. & Kendrick, B. (1972) Genera coelomycetarum. IV. *Pseudorobillarda* gen. nov., a generic segregate of Robillarda Sacc. Canadian *Journal of Botany* 50: 861–867. https://doi.org/10.1139/b72-103
- Rambaut, A (2014) FigTree v1.4.2, A graphical viewer of phylogenetic trees. Abailable from: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree (accessed 9 September 2022)
- Rathnayaka, A.R., Chethana, K.W.T., Tennakoon, D.S., Lumyong, S. & Hyde, K.D. (2021) Additions to the microfungi in Taiwan: introducing *Pseudorobillarda camelliae*-sinensis sp. nov., (*Pseudorobillardaceae*) and new host records of pleosporalean taxa in mountainous habitats. *Phytotaxa* 516 (2): 115–139. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.516.2.1
- Rehner, S.A. & Samuels, G.J. (1994) Taxonomy and phylogeny of Gliocladiu analysed from nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycological Research* 98: 625–634.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80409-7

Gómez, M.P.R. & Rodríguez, G.J. (2010) Invasive fungal infection in a patient with Burkitt lymphoma. *Revista Iberoamericana de Micología* 27: 214–215.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riam.2010.07.001

Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Hohna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M.A. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61: 539–542.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029

- Senanayake, I.C., Rathnayaka, A.R., Marasinghe, D.S., Calabon, M.S., Gentekaki, E., Lee, H.B., Hurdeal, V.G., Pem, D., Dissanayake, L.S., Wijesinghe, S.N., Bundhun, D., Nguyen, T.T., Goonasekara, I.D., Abeywickrama, P.D., Bhunjun, C.S., Jayawardena, R.S., Wanasinghe, D.N., Jeewon, R., Bhat, D.J. & Xiang, M.M. (2020) Morphological approaches in studying fungi: collection, examination, isolation, sporulation and preservation. *Mycosphere* 11: 2678–2754. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/20
- Sprague, Roderick (1951). Some Leafspot Fungi on Western Gramineae—VI. *Mycologia* 43 (5): 549–569. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1951.12024154
- Tangthirasunun, N., Silar, P., Bhat, D.J., Chukeatirote, E., Wijayawardene, D.N.N., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N. & Hyde, K.D. (2014) Morphology and phylogeny of *Pseudorobillarda* eucalypti sp. nov., from Thailand. *Phytotaxa* 176: 251–259. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.176.1.24
- Uecker, F.A. & Kulik, M.M. (1986) *Pseudorobillarda* sojae, a new pycnidial coelomycete from soybean stems. *Mycologia* 78: 449–453. https://doi.org/10.2307/3793049
- Uecker, F.A. & Raj, T.R.N. (1994) Coelomycetous *Anamorphs* with appendage-bearing conidia. *Mycologia* 86: 308. https://doi.org/10.2307/3760664
- Vilgalys, R. & Hester, M. (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several Cryptococcus species. *Journal of Bacteriology* 172: 4238–4246.
 - https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990
- Vujanovic, V., Hamel, C., Jabaji-Hare, S. & St-Arnaud, M. (2003) First report of root rot on Asparagus caused by Phytophthora megasperma in Canada. Mycotaxon 87: 351–357.

https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2003.87.4.447A

Vujanovic, V. & St-Arnaud, M. (2003) A new species of *Pseudorobillarda*, an endophyte from Thuja occidentalis in Canada, and a key to the species. *Mycologia* 95: 955–958.

https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2004.11833054

- Wang, Y., Zhang, L., Wang, F., Li, Z.H., Dong, Z.J. & Liu, J.K. (2015) New diterpenes from cultures of the fungus Engleromyces goetzii and their CETP Inhibitory Activity. *Natural products and bioprospecting* 5 (2): 69–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13659-015-0055-5
- White, T., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J. (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *In:* Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J. & White, T.J. (Eds.) *PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 315–322.

https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1

- Wijayawardene, D.N.N., McKenzie, E.H.C. & Hyde, K.D. (2012) Towards incorporating *Anamorphic fungi in a natural classification*checklist and notes for 2011. *Mycosphere* 3 (2): 157–228. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/3/2/5
- Xu, M.Q., Dai, Y.C., Fan, S.H., Jin, L.X., Lu, Q., Tian, G.Z. & Wang, L.F. (2006) Records of bamboo diseases and the taxonomy of their pathogens in China(I). *Forest Research* 19 (6): 692–699.
- Xu, M.Q., Dai, Y.C., Fan, S.H., Jin, L.X., Lu, Q., Tian, G.Z. & Wang, L.F. (2007) Records of bamboo diseases and the taxonomy of their pathogens in China (II). *Forest Research* 20: 45–52.
- Yan, K., Abbas, M., Meng, L., Cai, H., Peng, Z., Li, Q., El-Sappah, A.H., Yan, L. & Zhao, X. (2021) Analysis of the Fungal Diversity and Community Structure in Sichuan Dark Tea During Pile-Fermentation. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12: 2041. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.706714
- You, Z., He, S., Gong, G., Zhang, S. & Wang, Y. (2014) Soil fungal diversity in three nature reserves of Jiuzhaigou County, Sichuan Province, China. Annals of Microbiology 64: 1275–1290. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0772-0
- Zong, Q.L., Bo, W. & Ji, C.K. (2003) Several rare entopathogenic fungi from the Western Sichuan mountains. *Fungal diversity* 12: 129–134.

https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.967