





https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.454.3.2

# Additions to Karst Fungi 4: *Botryosphaeria* spp. associated with woody hosts in Guizhou province, China including *B. guttulata sp. nov*.

YA-YA CHEN<sup>1,2,3,5</sup>, ASHA J. DISSANAYAKE<sup>4,6</sup>, ZUO-YI LIU<sup>1,3,7</sup>\* & JIAN-KUI (JACK) LIU<sup>3,4,8</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> College of Agriculture, Guizhou University, Guiyang, 550006, P.R. China.

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Crop Germplasm Resources, Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guiyang 550006, P.R. China.

<sup>3</sup> Guizhou Key Laboratory of Agricultural Biotechnology, Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guiyang 550006, P.R. China.

<sup>4</sup> School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, P.R. China.

- <sup>5</sup> wmlove@163.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8293-168X
- <sup>6</sup> asha.janadaree@yahoo.com; <sup>6</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8061-8884
- <sup>7</sup> szliuzuoyi@163.com; <sup>6</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5348-8458
- <sup>8</sup> sliujiankui@uestc.edu.cn; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9232-228X

\*Corresponding authors: sgliuzuoyi@163.com; sliujiankui@uestc.edu.cn

#### Abstract

Members of *Botryosphaeria* encompass important plant pathogens, saprobes and endophytes on a wide range of woody hosts worldwide. *Botryosphaeria* species are difficult to differentiate due to the overlapping morphological characteristics and the molecular data analyses are necessary recently when species identification is carried out. In this study, 28 *Botryosphaeria* isolates were obtained from decaying woody hosts in six nature reserves in Guizhou province, China. Based on both morphological characteristics and molecular analysis of combined ITS and *tef1-α* sequence data, four known species (*Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *B. minutispermatia*, *B. sinensia* and *B. wangensis*) are identified and one new species *B. guttulata* is introduced. *Botryosphaeria sinensia* (32% of the isolates obtained from various hosts) is the abundant species, followed by *B. dothidea* (28.5% of the isolates), *B. guttulata* (28.5% of the isolates), *B. minutispermatia* (7% of the isolates) and *B. wangensis* (4% of the isolates). These results represent the first study of *Botryosphaeria* species associated with woody hosts from nature reserves in Guizhou province, China. Our findings indicate that there is a potential of *Botryosphaeria* species remain to be discovered in this unique landform (Karst formations) in Guizhou province, China.

Keywords: 1 new taxon, Botryosphaeriaceae, phylogeny, taxonomy, wood-inhabiting fungi

#### Introduction

*Botryosphaeria* Ces. & De Not. (Botryosphaeriaceae, Botryosphaeriales) includes saprobic, endophytic and pathogenic species that cause canker and dieback disease of woody plants and mostly found on terrestrial habitats (Crous *et al.* 2006, Liu *et al.* 2012, Phillips *et al.* 2013, 2019, Dissanayake *et al.* 2016). Some of those species cause significant harvest decline, ecological damage and severe commercial losses (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016). Cesati & de Notaris (1863) introduced the genus and revised by Saccardo (1877) based on the type genus *Botryosphaeria* and typified with *B. dothidea* (Moug.: Fr.) Ces. & de Not. Over the years, this genus has been undergone various revisions and updated at times encompassing a diverse range of morphologies (Liu *et al.* 2012, Phillips *et al.* 2013, 2019). *Botryosphaeria dothidea* is one of the most commonly reported species as a significant pathogen of woody plants (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016). According to USDA fungal database (Farr & Rossman 2016, accessed in April 2020), this species has been reported in 184 host genera belonging to 79 plant families. However, the validity of many of these records is questionable before Slippers *et al.* (2004), who established a modern and reliable concept for this species, made the re-evaluation of *B. dothidea.* No ex-type cultures were available for *B. dothidea* so that Slippers *et al.* (2004) designated a neotype based on a specimen of *Sphaeria dothidea* and designated it as an epitype to stabilize the type species with molecular data of combined ITS, *tef1-α* and TUB2 sequences. This data was used to elucidate the taxonomic complications of *B. dothidea* and set a stable basis for the resolution of the species (Slippers *et al.* 2004).

There are more than 280 species records of *Botryosphaeria* listed in Index Fungorum (2020) (http://www. indexfungorum.org/, accessed in April 2020), but most of them lack specimens/living cultures and DNA sequence data. Species identification criteria of *Botryosphaeria* were previously based on host affiliations and morphology. However, these approaches were unreliable due to the uninformative illustrations and descriptions, weak host specificity and overlapping morphological characteristics (Phillips *et al.* 2013). Recent studies have been able to use multiphase approaches to solve and address the taxonomy of *Botryosphaeria* (Crous *et al.* 2006, Liu *et al.* 2012, Phillips *et al.* 2013, 2019, Dissanayake *et al.* 2016). Dissanayake *et al.* (2016) summarized ten species of *Botryosphaeria* (*Botryosphaeria sensu stricto*): *B. agaves*, *B. auasmontanum*, *B. corticis*, *B. dothidea*, *B. fabicerciana*, *B. fusispora*, *B. minutispermatia*, *B. ramosa*, *B. scharifii* and *B. sinensia* using three gene matrix (ITS, *tef1-α* and LSU). Subsequently, Xu *et al.* (2015) designated an epitype of *B. kuwatsukai*, while Zhou *et al.* (2017) described and illustrated *B. rosaceae*. Li *et al.* (2018) introduced three novel species, *B. pseudoramosa*, *B. qingyuanensis* and *B. wangensis* from Eucalyptus trees in China.

Guizhou province (China) is called as a "natural park" with picturesque natural scenery, ethnic cultures, waterfalls, valleys, karst caves and landscapes. The nature reserves in Guizhou province consist of high plant and animal diversity, which is considered as a biodiversity hotspot in this region (Wang & Wen 2011). The Fanjing Mountain National Nature Reserve was established in 1978 and designated as a UNESCO Biosphere Reserve in 1986 which became a UNESCO World Heritage Site in 2018 (Wang & Wen 2011). The Huaxi National Wetland Park is approved as the first national wetland park in southwest China. The nature reserves provide a safe place as a habitat for animals and create favorable conditions for carrying out scientific researches. In these nature reserves, more than 1000 different vascular plants and few kinds of precious animals are protected by the state in Guizhou province (Xu 2003, Yao 2011). These nature reserves have attracted a large number of visitors and have helped to integrate resources such as mountains, forests, water and fresh air in Guizhou province (Xu 2003, Lan *et al.* 2009). As most fungi are often linked to particular host plants as saprobes, endophytes and pathogens, therefore future studies will explore a high fungal diversity in nature reserves in Guizhou province.

During a biodiversity survey of ascomycetous fungi on decaying wood in several nature reserves in Guizhou Province, we have collected numerous *Botryosphaeria* species and the taxonomy and phylogeny studies of these taxa were carried out. In this study, we report four known *Botryosphaeria* species and introduce one novel species with descriptions, illustrations, and phylogenetic analysis.

## Material & methods

#### Sample collection and isolation

Decaying wood samples with conidiomata/ascomata on host materials were randomly collected from six nature reserves in Guizhou province, China (Fanjing Mountain, Guiyang Huaxi Wetland Park, Guiyang Xiaochehe Wetland Park, Maolan Natural water Reserve, Suiyang broad water nature reserve and Xingyi Wanfenglin) (TABLE 1). Collected samples were taken to the laboratory for isolation and photographed, documented and then kept at 4 °C for further study. A total of 28 isolates was obtained following the single spore isolation (Chomnunti *et al.* 2014). Single germinating spores were transferred onto fresh PDA plates and incubated at 25-30 °C. Cultures were grown for 7d and morphological characters such as colour, colony shape, and texture were recorded. Herbarium specimens were deposited at the Herbarium of Cryptogams, Kunming Institute of Botany Academia Sinica (KUN-HKAS), Kunming, China and herbaria of Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences (GZAAS), Guiyang, China. The living cultures were deposited in the Guizhou Culture Collection (GZCC) in Guiyang, China and China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC) in Beijing, China (TABLE 1).

## Morphological analysis

Species identification was primarily based on morphological observation of the conidiomata or ascosmata from host materials and micromorphology supplemented by culture characteristics. Morphological observations were made using a Motic SMZ 168 Series stereomicroscope and photographed by a Nikon E80i microscope-camera system. Measurements were made with the Tarosoft (R) Image FrameWork (Liu *et al.* 2010). Adobe Photoshop CS v. 5 was used for manual editing. More than 10 conidiomata/ascomata, 10 asci, and 30 conidia/ascospores were measured to

calculate the mean size/length and respective standard deviations (SD). Measurements of asexual morph structures (Conidiomata, Conidiophore, Conidiogenous cell and Conidia) of all type species of *Botryosphaeria* were compared (TABLE 2). Colony diameters were measured and the colony features were described using the colour charts of Rayner (1970).

Taxa	Strain numbers	GenBank Acce	GenBank Accession numbers			
	Strain numbers	ITS	tef1-a			
Botryosphaeria agaves	MFLUCC 11-0125 <sup>T</sup>	JX646791	JX646856			
B. agaves	MFLUCC 10-0051	JX646790	JX646855			
B. auasmontanum	CMW 25413 <sup>T</sup>	KF766167	EU101348			
B. corticis	CBS 119047 <sup>T</sup>	DQ299245	EU017539			
B. corticis	ATCC 22927	DQ299247	EU673291			
B. dothidea	CMW 8000 <sup>T</sup>	AY236949	AY236898			
B. dothidea	CBS 110302	AY259092	AY573218			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0144	MT327825	MT331608			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0148	MT327826	MT331609			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0149	MT327827	MT331610			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0158	MT327828	MT331611			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0164	MT327829	MT331612			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0180	MT327830	MT331613			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0182	MT327831	MT331614			
B. dothidea	GZCC 19-0190	MT327835	MT331615			
B. fabicerciana	CBS 127193 <sup>T</sup>	HQ332197	HQ332213			
B. fabicerciana	CMW 27108	HQ332200	HQ332216			
B. fusispora	MFLUCC 10-0098 <sup>T</sup>	JX646789	JX646854			
B. fusispora	MFLUCC 11-0507	JX646788	JX646853			
B. guttulata	CGMCC3.20094 <sup>T</sup>	MT327839	MT331606			
B. guttulata	GZCC 19-0186	MT327832	MT331600			
B. guttulata	GZCC 19-0188	MT327833	MT331601			
B. guttulata	GZCC 19-0189	MT327834	MT331602			
B. guttulata	GZCC 19-0194	MT327836	MT331603			
B. guttulata	GZCC 19-0196	MT327837	MT331604			
B. guttulata	GZCC 19-0201	MT327838	MT331605			
B. guttulata	GZCC 19-0205	MT327840	MT331607			
B. kuwatsukai	CBS 135219 <sup>T</sup>	KJ433388	KJ433410			
B. kuwatsukai	LSP5	KJ433395	KJ433417			
B. minutispermatia	GZCC 16-0013 <sup>T</sup>	KX447675	KX447678			
B. minutispermatia	GZCC 16-0014	KX447676	KX447679			
B. minutispermatia	GZCC 19-0087	MT327817	MT331616			
B. minutispermatia	GZCC 19-0091	MT327818	MT331617			
B. qingyuanensis	CGMCC3.18742 <sup>T</sup>	KX278000	KX278105			
B. qingyuanensis	CGMCC3.18743	KX278001	KX278106			
B. ramosa	CBS 122069 <sup>T</sup>	EU144055	EU144070			
B. rosaceae	CGMCC3.18007 <sup>T</sup>	KX197074	KX197094			
B. rosaceae	CGMCC3.18008	KX197075	KX197095			
B. scharifii	CBS 124703 <sup>T</sup>	JQ772020	JQ772057			
B. scharifii	CBS 124702	JQ772019	JQ772056			

TABLE 1. Strains and GenBank accession numbers used in the phylogenetic analyses.

#### TABLE 1 (Continued)

Taxa	Strain numbers	GenBank Accession numbers			
		ITS	tef1-a		
B. pseudoramosa	CGMCC3.18740	KX277992	KX278097		
B. sinensia	CGMCC 3.17722 <sup>T</sup>	KT343254	KU221233		
B. sinensia	CGMCC 3.17724	KT343256	KU221234		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0073	MT327815	MT331618		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0083	MT327816	MT331619		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0093	MT327819	MT331620		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0099	MT327820	MT331621		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0100	MT327821	MT331622		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0109	MT327822	MT331623		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0116	MT327823	MT331624		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0126	MT327824	MT331625		
B. sinensia	GZCC 19-0341	MT327842	MT331626		
B. wangensis	CGMCC3.18744 <sup>T</sup>	KX278002	KX278107		
B. wangensis	CGMCC3.18745	KX278003	KX278108		
B. wangensis	GZCC 19-0340	MT327841	MT331627		

Abbreviations: **ATCC**: American Type Culture Collection; **CBS**: Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute (CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre), Utrecht, The Netherlands; **CGMCC**: China General Microbiological Culture Collection, **CMW**: Culture collection of Michael Wingfield, university of Pretoria, South africa; **GZCC**: Guizhou Culture Collection, **MFLUCC**: Mae Fah Luang University Culture Collection, Thailand; NA: not applicable. The newly generated sequences in this study are in bold and ex-type/ex-epitype isolates are indicated with (T).

## DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Fungal mycelium of 7d pure cultures were scraped for the extraction of genomic DNA using Biospin Fungus Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (BioFlux®) following the manufacturer's protocol (Hangzhou, P.R. China). The ITS region was amplified using primers ITS1 and ITS4 (White *et al.* 1990). The target region of the *tef1-a* gene was amplified using primer pairs EF-728F and EF-986R (Carbone & Kohn 1999). The PCR reactions were accomplished in a Bio Rad C1000 thermal cycler. The PCR mixture was composed of 0.3 µl of TaKaRa *Ex-Taq* DNA polymerase, 2.5 µl of  $10 \times Ex-Taq$  DNA polymerase buffer, 3.0 µl of dNTPs, 5–20 ng of genomic DNA, 1 µl of each primer and ddH<sub>2</sub>O up to 25 µl. Following the PCR amplification, products were visualized on 1% agarose gel under UV light using a Gel Doc<sup>TM</sup> XR. PCR products were purified using minicolumns, purification resin and buffer according to the manufacturer's protocols (Amersham product code: 27-9602-01). Sequence analysis was carried out by Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology and Services Co., Ltd (Shanghai, P.R. China).

## Phylogenetic analyses

Resulted sequence chromatograms were checked using BioEdit v.5 (Hall 2006) to assure the sequence quality. Phylogenetic analysis based on the combined ITS and *tef1-a* was performed to identify the taxa isolated in this study together with the reference sequences from GenBank. Reference sequences were obtained based on ex-type or exerpitype sequences available from recent publications (Xu *et al.* 2015, Dissanayake *et al.* 2016, Zhou *et al.* 2017, Li *et al.* 2018, Jayawardena *et al.* 2019) (TABLE 1). *Macrophomina phaseolina* (CBS 227.33) was selected as the outgroup taxon. Subsequent alignments for each gene were generated using MAFFT v.7 (Katoh & Standley 2013). The alignments were checked visually and improved manually where necessary. Phylogenetic analyses were performed by using PAUP v.4.0b10 for maximum parsimony (MP) method (Swofford 2003), RAxML for maximum likelihood (ML) method (Stamatakis 2006) and MrBayes v.3.1.2 for Bayesian Inference (BI) method (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003).

Maximum Parsimony analysis (MP) was performed to test the discrepancy among the ITS and *tef1-a* sequence datasets in a heuristic search option of 1,000 random-addition sequences with a tree bisection and reconnection (TBR)

branch swapping algorithm (Swofford 2003). The branches of zero length were collapsed and all equally parsimonious trees were saved. Clade stability was assessed with a bootstrap analysis of 1,000 replicates (Hillis & Bull 1993). Other parsimony scores such as tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), and rescaled consistency (RC) were calculated (Swofford 2003). Maximum Likelihood analysis (ML) was performed with GTR+G+I model of site substitution. The branch support was evaluated with a bootstrapping method of 1000 replicates (Hillis & Bull 1993). Bayesian Inference analysis (BI) was performed using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm with Bayesian posterior probabilities (Rannala & Yang 1996). A nucleotide substitution model was estimated by MrModeltest v.2.3 (Posada & Crandall 1998), and a weighted Bayesian analysis was considered. Two MCMC chains were run from random trees for 1,000,000 generations and trees were sampled each 100th generation. The first 25% of trees were discarded as the burn-in phase of each analysis, and the posterior probabilities (BPP) were calculated to assess the remaining trees (Rannala & Yang 1996). The branch support from MP and ML analysis was evaluated with a bootstrapping (BPP) method of 1,000 replicates (Hillis & Bull 1993). Phylograms were plotted in treeview and edited in Adobe Illustrator CS6 v.16.0.0 (https://www.adobe.com/cn/products/illustrator.html). The sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (TABLE 1) and the alignment used for phylogenetic analyses was submitted in TreeBASE (www.treebase.org, study ID S26092). Taxonomic novelties were submitted to the Faces of Fungi database (Jayasiri et al. 2015) and Index Fungorum (Index Fungorum 2020).

# Results

# Fungal isolation

In this study, 28 *Botryosphaeria* isolates were obtained from decaying woody hosts from six nature reserves in Guizhou province, China. Ten isolates from Xingyi Wanfenglin, seven isolates from Maolan Natural Reserve, two isolates from Fanjing mountain and each three isolates respectively from Guiyang Huaxi Wetland Park, Xiaochehe Wetland Park and Suiyang broad water nature reserve were isolated (TABLE 1).

## Phylogenetic analyses

The combined alignment matrix of ITS and *tef1-a* included 57 accessions (28 from this study and 29 retrieved from GenBank) and counted 940 characters including gaps (580 characters for ITS and 360 for *tef1-a*), of which 778 characters were constant, 89 variable characters were parsimony-uninformative and 78 characters were variable and parsimony-informative. The MP analysis generated 100 parsimonious trees of which the first tree is presented in FIG. 1 (TL = 216, CI = 0.875, RI = 0.899, RC = 0.787, HI = 0.125). Tree topologies of ML and BI analyses were similar to the MP tree. Based on the multi-locus phylogeny and morphology, 28 strains can be recognized as five species with four known species, namely as *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (FIG. 3), *B. minutispermatia* (FIG. 4), *B. sinensia* (FIG. 5), *B. wangensis* (FIG. 6) and one new species *B. guttulata* (FIG. 2). Eight taxa formed a phylogenetically distinct lineage (FIG. 1) with well-support (MP/ML/BPP = 93/90/0.9), therefore we introduce *B. guttulata* as a new species in this study.

## Species residing in the genus

The isolates obtained in this study were clustered into five phylogenetic groups (FIG. 1). Eight isolates (GZCC 19-0202/CGMCC3.20094, GZCC 19-0186, GZCC 19-0188, GZCC 19-0189, GZCC 19-0194, GZCC 19-0196, GZCC 19-0201 and GZCC 19-0205) were found to be consistently distinct from other known phylogenetically related species of *Botryosphaeria* by congruent distinction in the molecular sequence data, and representing as the novel species *B. guttulata*. The phylogenetic analyses based on ITS and *tef1-a* sequences showed that nine isolates (GZCC 19-0073, GZCC 19-0083, GZCC 19-0093, GZCC 19-0099, GZCC 19-0100, GZCC 19-0109, GZCC 19-0116, GZCC 19-0126 and GZCC 19-0341) were identical to *B. sinensia*, eight isolates (GZCC 19-0144, GZCC 19-0148, GZCC 19-0149, GZCC 19-0158, GZCC 19-0164, GZCC 19-0180, GZCC 19-0182 and GZCC 19-0190) phylogenetically clustered with *B. dothidea*, two isolates (GZCC 19-0087 and GZCC 19-0091) were identified as *B. minutispermatia* and one isolate (GZCC 19-0340) was phylogenetically closely related to *B. wangensis*.

	G7CC 10-0106	
	GZCC 19-0189	
93/90	GZCC 19-0205	B. guttulata
	GZCC 19-0194 GZCC 19-0201	
	GZCC 19-0188 GZCC 19-0186	
	GZCC 16-0013	
96/- [ľ	GZCC 10-0014	B. minutispermatia
	GZCC 19-0087	
	100/100   MFLUCC 10-0051	B. agaves
	' MFLUCC 11-0125	
	GZCC 19-0099	
73/82	GZCC 19-0083	
	GZCC 19-0126	
	$= G_{2}C_{1}C_{1}C_{2}C_{2}C_{2}C_{2}C_{2}C_{2}C_{2}C_{2$	R sinonsia
	GZCC 19-0109	D. Sillelisia
	GZCC 19-0073	
96/99	CGMCC 3.17722	
93/-	GZCC 19-0341	
62/75 <del> </del>	GZCC 19-0340	
	CGMCC 3.18744	B. wangensis
1	CGMCC 3.18745	Ũ
G	ZCC 19-0144 ZCC 19-0180	
	BS 110302	
76/G	ZCC 19-0149	
	- GZCC 19-0190	B. dothidea
	MW 8000	
	ZCC 19-0102 ZCC 19-0148	
G	ZCC 19-0158	
I G	ZCC 19-0164	
	MW 25413 CMW 27094	B. auasmontanum
96/70	CMW 27108	B. fabicerciana
80/79	· MFLUCC 11-0507 MFLUCC 10-0098	B. fusispora
100/100	CBS 119047	B. corticis
	' ATCC 22927	2. contoic
98/99	CGMCC 3.18007	B. rosaceae
79/82	LSP5 CBS 135219	B. kuwatsukai
96/79	GMCC 3.18743 GMCC 3.18742	B. qingyuanensis
9	9/- CGMCC 3.18739	B. pseudoramosa
99/98	CBS 122069	B. ramosa
100/87	L IRAN 1529C	B. scharifii
	1 IKAN 1543C	Macrophomina phaseolina
0.05		

**FIGURE 1.** Phylogenetic tree based on maximum parsimony (MP) analysis of a combined ITS and *tef1-a* dataset. Bootstrap support values for MP and RAxML greater than 70% are indicated above the nodes. Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.90 (BPP) are indicated in thickened branches. The tree is rooted with *Macrophomina phaseolina* (CBS 227.33). The type species are in bold and new isolates obtained in this study are in blue.

## Taxonomy

Botryosphaeria guttulata Y.Y. Chen, A.J. Dissanayake & Jian K. Liu sp. nov. (FIG. 2)

Index Fungorum number: IF557253, Facesoffungi number: FoF 07604. Etymology:—Named according to the account of the guttulation of conidia. Saprobic on dead wood. **Sexual morph:** unknown. **Asexual morph:** *Conidiomata* up to 402 µm in diam and 213 µm in height, sometimes with a neck up to 435 µm long, arising from the substrate embedded in the host, becoming partially erumpent at maturity, solitary or aggregate, globose, unilocular with a central ostiole, brown to black. *Peridium* 5 to 18 µm in width ( $\bar{x} = 12 \mu m$ , n=10), consisting of 2 regions of hyaline and brown cells lining the locule. *Conidiophores* 16–21 × 4–5 µm, cylindrical, hyaline, smooth, thin-walled, septate, lining the entire inner surface of the conidiomata. *Conidiogenous cells* 6–8 × 3–4 discrete, hyaline, cylindrical to lageniform, phialidic with periclinal thickening, holoblastic producing a single conidium at the tip. *Conidia* (17.1–)18.5–19.3(–20.3) × (4.1–)4.4–4.9(–5.2) µm ( $\bar{x} = 18.9 \times 4.7 \mu m$ , n= 30, TABLE 2), guttulate, irregularly fusiform, hyaline, aseptate, smooth-walled, with granular contents.

**Culture characteristics:**—Colonies on PDA growing rapidly, reaching 30–40 mm diam after 7 d at 25 °C, aerial mycelium at first white becoming dark grey to black.

Species	Conidiomata	Conidiophore	Conidiog- enous cell	Range of conidial size	Mean	L/W	Reference	
B. agaves	Asexual morph not reported							
B. auasmontanum	up to 410 diam	N/A	5.5–8.5 × 2–2.5	(8.1–)8.8–11.3(–13) × (2.5–)2.9–3.9(–5)	10.1 × 3.4	3.0	Slippers <i>et al.</i> (2014)	
B. corticis	up to 450 diam	7.5–14 × 3.5–4.5	12.5–17.5 × 2.5–4.5	(20.5–)23.5–32.5(– 34.5) × (5.0–)5.5–7(– 7.5)	28.9 × 6.4	4.5	Phillips <i>et al.</i> (2006)	
B. dothidea	up to 500 diam	23–35 × 4–5	6–20 × 2–5	(20–)23–27(–30) × 4–5(–6)	26.2 × 5.4	4.9	Slippers <i>et al</i> . (2004)	
B. fabicerciana	up to 470 diam	Absent	10.5–13.5 × 2.5–3.5	(16.5–)19.5–24.5(–26) × (4.5–)5–6.5(–7.5)	22.0 × 5.8	3.8	Chen <i>et al</i> . (2011)	
B. fusispora	up to 210 diam	18–21 × 2–4.5	N/A	16–22 × 4–5.5	$20.0 \times 5.0$	4.0	Liu et al. (2012)	
B. guttulata	up to 402 diam	16–21 × 4–5	6-8 × 3-4	(17.1–)18.5–19.3(– 20.3) × (4.1–)4.4–4.9(– 5.2)	18.9 × 4.7	4.0	This study	
B. kuwatsukai	N/A	N/A	7-18×2-4	(18.5–)20–24.5(–26) × 5–7(–8)	22.3 × 6.2	3.6	Xu et al. (2015)	
B. minutispermatia	N/A	N/A	5-9×1-3	8–14×3–4	13.0 × 3.5	3.7	Ariyawansa <i>et</i> al. (2016)	
B. pseudoramosa	up to 698 diam	N/A	11–16 × 2–3.5	(8–)10–13(–16) × (4–)4.5–5(–6)	11.5 × 4.6	2.5	Li <i>et al</i> . (2018)	
B. qingyuanensis	up to 317 diam	N/A	7.5–12 × 2.5–3.5	(15–)19.5–24.5(–28.5) × (5–)6–6.5(–7.5)	22.0 × 6.2	3.5	Li <i>et al</i> . (2018)	
B. ramosa	up to 510 diam	N/A	7.5–10 × 2–3	(11–)12–15(–16) × (4.7–)5–6(–7)	13.5 × 5.5	2.3	Pavlic <i>et al</i> . (2008)	
B. rosaceae	up to 290 diam	20-55 × 5-6	6–15 × 2.5–5	20-31 × 6-8	26.2 × 6.7	3.9	Zhou <i>et al</i> . (2017)	
B. scharifii	up to 760 diam	7.5–33.5 × 2–4.5	7–15 × 1.5–3.5	(11.5–)13–17(–19) × 4–6.5	15.4 × 5.2	2.7	Abdollahzadeh et al. (2013)	
B. sinensia	N/A	N/A	8–15 × 2–3	(15–)19–29 × 5–7	24.3 × 5.9	4.1	Zhou <i>et al</i> . (2016)	
B. wangensis	up to 698 diam	N/A	8.5–13.5 × 2–3	(20.5–)22–26(–29) × (4.5–)5.5–6.5(–7.5)	23.8 × 6.0	3.9	Li et al. (2018)	

**TABLE 2.** Measurements of asexual morph structures (Conidiomata, conidiophore, conidiogenous cell and conidia) of *Botryosphaeria* species. The measurements of newly introduced species is in bold. All measurements are in  $\mu$ m.

**Material examined:**—CHINA, Guizhou Province, Xingyi District, Wanfenglin, Saprobic on decaying branch, June 2019, Y.Y. Chen (HKAS 107541, holotype; GZAAS 19-1921, isotype), ex-type living culture CGMCC 3.20094 = GZCC 19-0202.



FIGURE 2. *Botryosphaeria guttulata* (HKAS 107541, holotype). a–c. Colonies on host surface. d. Cross section of conidiomata. e. Peridium. f, g. conidiogenous cells and developing conidia. h–l. hyaline aseptate conidia. Scale bars: d,e = 100  $\mu$ m; f,g = 20  $\mu$ m; h–l = 10  $\mu$ m.

Additional materials examined:—CHINA. Guizhou Province, Tongren District, Fanjing mountain, Saprobic on decaying branch, May 2019. Y.Y. Chen (GZAAS 19-1905, paratype), living culture GZCC 19-0186; *ibid.*, Xingyi District, Wanfenglin, Saprobic on decaying branch, June 2019. Y.Y. Chen, (GZAAS 19-1907, living culture GZCC 19-0188; GZAAS 19-1908, living culture GZCC 19-0189; GZAAS 19-1913, living culture GZCC 19-0194).



**FIGURE 3.** *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (GZAAS 19-1863). a, b. Ascomatal necks emerging through the host bark. c. Vertical section of ascoma. d, e. Section through an ascoma. f. Section through the ascomal wall. g. Pseudoparaphyses. h–k. Asci with ascospores. l–p. Ascospores. q,r. Culture characteristics on PDA, q from above, r from reverse. **Scale bars:** f=50µm, g=10µm, h–k=20µm, l–p=10µm.

Notes:—*Botryosphaeria guttulata* fits in the generic concept of *Botryosphaeria* by having irregularly fusiform, hyaline, aseptate, smooth-walled conidia. Eight isolates (GZCC 19-0186, GZCC 19-0188, GZCC 19-0189, GZCC

19-0194, GZCC 19-0196, GZCC 19-0201, GZCC 19-0202/CGMCC3.20094 and GZCC 19-0205) representing *B.* guttulata cluster together as a distinct lineage and appears mostly related to *B. agaves* and *B. minutispermatia* (Liu *et al.* 2012, Ariyawansa *et al.* 2016) (FIG. 1). The asexual morph of *B. agaves* has not been reported, and *Botryosphaeria guttulata* can be morphologically distinguished from *B. minutispermatia* by having larger conidia ( $\overline{x} = 18.9 \times 4.7$ ; L/W = 4.0 vs. 13.0 × 3.5, L/W = 3.7) (Ariyawansa *et al.* 2016) (TABLE 2). In addition, *Botryosphaeria guttulata* differs from other *Botryosphaeria* species by its prominent guttules in conidia. To further justify its classification, pairwise dissimilarities of DNA sequence data was compared following the phylogenetic result (FIG. 1). There are 5 bp (base pair) and 8 bp differences in ITS and *tef1-a* respectively between *B. guttulata* and *B. minutispermatia*, while 9 bp and 18 bp differences (ITS and *tef1-a* respectively) between *B. guttulata* and *B. agaves*. Based on morphology and molecular evidences, we hereby describe *B. guttulata* as a new species.

Botryosphaeria dothidea (Moug.) Ces. & De Not., Comm. Soc. crittog. Ital: 212 (1863) (FIG. 3)

Index Fungorum number: IF183247, Facesoffungi number: FoF03512.

Pathogenic or saprobic on dead wood. **Sexual morph**: *Ascomata* 210–400 µm diam erumpent, pseudothecial, forming a botryose aggregate, sometimes solitary, globose with a central ostiole, emergent or embedded, papillate, black, wall comprising 4–13 layers of *textura angularis*, outer region of dark brown cells, inner region of 2–3 layers of hyaline cells lining the locule. *Asci* 60–120 × 18–25 µm, bitunicate, clavate, forming between pseudoparaphyses. *Pseudoparaphyses* filiform, septate, constricted at the septa, rarely branched, 2–4 µm wide. *Ascospores* (15–)19–27(–33) × (6–)7–8(–10) µm ( $\overline{x} = 21.5 \times 7.5 \mu$ m, n=30), fusoid to ovoid, tapered ends appearing spindle-shaped, biseriate in the ascus. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata* stromatic. *Conidiophores* hyaline, cylindrical, smooth. *Conidiogenous cells* 11.5–14×4–.5 µm ( $\overline{x} = 13 \times 6 \mu$ m, n=20) hyaline, sub-cylindrical. *Conidia* 15–10×7–5 µm ( $\overline{x} = 12.5 \times 6 \mu$ m n=30), hyaline, unicellular, narrowly fusiform, with a sub-truncate to bluntly rounded base, forming a septum before germination, smooth-walled with a granular content.

**Culture characteristics:**—Colonies on PDA reaching 50 mm diam., after 4 d at 28 °C. Initially white becoming grey, moderately dense, margin smooth, olivaceous.

**Material examined:**—CHINA, Guizhou Province, Libo District, Maolan Natural Reserve, saprobic on dead wood, July 2017, Y.Y. Chen, GZAAS 19-1863, living culture GZCC 19-0144; *ibid.*, Zunyi District Suiyang broad water nature reserve, saprobic on dead wood, April 2018, Y.Y. Chen, (GZAAS 19-1867, living culture GZCC 19-0148; GZAAS 19-1868, living culture GZCC 19-0149; GZAAS 19-1877, living culture GZCC 19-0158); *ibid.*, Xingyi District, Wanfenglin, saprobic on dead wood, July 2018, Y.Y. Chen, (GZAAS 19-1883, living culture GZCC 19-0164; GZAAS 19-1899, living culture GZCC 19-0180; GZAAS 19-1901, living culture GZCC 19-0182; GZAAS 19-1909, living culture GZCC 19-0190).

**Notes:**—Slippers *et al.* (2004) designated a specimen as the neotype of *Botryosphaeria dothidea* and also designated an epitype (PREM 57372) on *Prunus* sp. collected from Crocifisso, Switzerland, with an ex-epitype culture (CBS 115476 = CMW 8000). The colony morphology of the taxa isolated from decaying woody hosts in this study is similar to *B. dothidea* (Slippers *et al.* 2004, Phillips *et al.* 2013). In the multi-gene phylogenetic analysis, these eight isolates clustered together (76% ML, 0.9 BPP) with the ex-epitype of *B. dothidea* and could be identified as *B. dothidea. Botryosphaeria dothidea* has a wide range of hosts and has a worldwide distribution (Phillips *et al.* 2013, Dissanayake *et al.* 2016).

Botryosphaeria minutispermatia Ariyawansa, K.D. Hyde & Z.Y. Liu, Phytotaxa 275: 40 (2016) (FIG. 4)

Index Fungorum number: IF552252, Facesoffungi number: FoF 02393.

Saprobic on decaying wood. Sexual morph: Ascomata 220–310 µm erumpent or embedded in the host, mostly solitary, globose with a central ostiole, papillate, brown to black. Peridium 35–72 µm ( $\overline{x}$ = 52 µm, n=10) wide, consisting of 5–10 layers with 2–4 layers of hyaline cells lining the locule. Hamathecium 2–4 µm wide, filiform, septate, rarely branched pseudoparaphyses, constricted at the septa. Asci 85–110×12–20 µm ( $\overline{x}$ = 91×17 µm, n=30), 8-spored, bitunicate, fissitunicate, broadly clavate, with short, broad pedicel with well-developed ocular chamber, forming between pseudoparaphyses. Ascospores 24–28×7–12 µm ( $\overline{x}$ = 26×9 µm, n=30), biseriate, fusoid to ovoid, hyaline, thick-walled, aseptate with tapered ends. Asexual morph: Not observed.



**FIGURE 4.** *Botryosphaeria minutispermatia* (GZAAS 19-1806). a, b. Ascomata on host surface. c. Section through the ascomal wall. d, e. Section through an ascoma. f. Pseudoparaphyses. g, h. Immature asci. i, j. Asci with ascospores. k–q. Ascospores. **Scale bars:** c=50µm, d, e=100µm, f–q=10µm.



FIGURE 5. Botryosphaeria sinensia (GZAAS 19-1792) a, b. Ascomata on host surface. c. Vertical section of ascoma. d, e. Section through an ascoma. f. Section through the ascomal wall. g. pseudoparaphyses. h–j. asci. k,l. Culture on PDA, k from above, l from reverse, m–r. Ascospores. Scale bars:  $d = 100 \mu m$ ,  $e=50 \mu m$ ,  $f 20 \mu m$ ,  $g-j=10 \mu m$ ,  $m-r=10 \mu m$ 

**Material examined:**—CHINA, Guizhou Province, Guiyang Xiaochehe Wetland Park, saprobic on dead wood, May 2017, Y.Y. Chen, GZAAS 19-1806, living culture GZCC 19-0087; GZAAS 19-1810, living culture GZCC 19-0091.



**FIGURE 6.** *Botryosphaeria wangensis* (GZAAS 19-2059). a–c. Conidiomata on host surface. d. Cross section of conidiomata. e. Peridium. f. Pseudoparaphyses. g–j. conidiogenous cells and developing conidia. k–q. hyaline aseptate conidia. **Scale bars:**  $d = 50 \mu m$ ,  $e = 20 \mu m$ ,  $f-q=10 \mu m$ .

**Notes:**—Our two collections are morphologically identical to *Botryosphaeria minutispermatia* (Ariyawansa *et al.* 2016). The multi-gene phylogenetic analysis showed that the newly obtained two isolates (GZCC 19-0087 and GZCC 19-0091) clustered together with ex-type of *B. minutispermatia* (GZCC 16-0013) and this species is only reported from Guizhou province, China (Ariyawansa *et al.* 2016).

#### Botryosphaeria sinensia Y.P. Zhou & Y. Zhang ter, Phytotaxa 245: 45 (2016) (FIG. 5)

Index Fungorum number: IF819599, Facesoffungi number: FoF07975.

Saprobic on decaying wood. **Sexual morph**: Ascomata 175–280 µm diam., mostly erumpent, pseudothecial, clustered, forming botryose clusters aggregate of up to 40, spherical to globose with a central ostiole, papillate, brown to black, wall comprising 5–15 layers of *textura angularis*, outer region of dark brown cells, inner region of 2–4 layers of hyaline cells lining the locule. *Pseudoparaphyses* 2–5 µm wide, filiform, septate, constricted at the septa, rarely branched. Asci 85–135 × 18–28 µm, bitunicate, broadly clavate, short pedicellate with a well-developed apical chamber, forming between pseudoparaphyses. Ascospores 19–30 × 7–11 µm ( $\overline{x} = 24.6 \times 8.7$  µm, n=50), fusoid to ellipsoid, widest in the upper third or middle, obtuse apex, sometimes with tapered end, partially overlapping, hyaline, aseptate, biseriate in the ascus. Asexual morph: Not observed.

**Culture characteristics:**—Colonies on PDA reaching 65 mm diam., after 4 d at 28 °C. Initially white becoming grey, moderately dense, margin smooth, olivaceous.

**Material examined:**—CHINA, Guizhou Province, Guiyang District, Huaxi Wetland Park, saprobic on dead wood, April 2017, Y.Y. Chen, (GZAAS 19-1792, living culture GZCC 19-0073; GZAAS 19-2060, living culture GZCC 19-0341); *ibid.*, Xiaochehe Wetland Park, saprobic on dead wood, May 2017, Y.Y. Chen, GZAAS 19-1802, living culture GZCC 19-0083; *ibid.*, Libo Distract, Maolan Natural Reserve, saprobic on dead wood, July 2017, Y.Y. Chen, (GZAAS 19-1812, living culture GZCC 19-0093; GZAAS 19-1818, living culture GZCC 19-0099; GZAAS 19-1819, living culture GZCC 19-0100; GZAAS 19-1828, living culture GZCC 19-0109; GZAAS 19-1835, living culture GZCC 19-0116; GZAAS 19-1845, living culture GZCC 19-0126).

**Notes:**—*Botryosphaeria sinensia* was introduced by Zhou *et al.* (2016) and it has a wide host range including *Juglans regia* (Jualandaceae), *Morus alba* (Moraceae) and *Populus* sp. (Salicaceae). Nine of our collections are morphologically similar to the original description of *B. sinensia* (Zhou *et al.* 2016), and all the sequences generated in this study are identical to the previous data (99–100%). Furthermore, this is the first time *B. sinensia* is reported from Guizhou province and it extends the species distribution in China, as well as the landform habitats (In Karst region).

Botryosphaeria wangensis G.Q. Li & S.F. Chen, Persoonia 40: 84 (2017) (FIG. 6)

Index Fungorum number: IF822325, Facesoffungi number: FoF07976.

Saprobic on decaying wood. **Sexual morph**: Not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata* stromatic, Conidiophores hyaline, cylindrical, smooth. *Conidiogenous cells* 11.5–14×4–6.5  $\mu$ m ( $\overline{x} = 13\times6 \mu$ m, n=20) hyaline, sub-cylindrical. *Conidia* 15–10×7–5  $\mu$ m ( $\overline{x} = 12.5\times6 \mu$ m n=20), hyaline, unicellular, narrowly fusiform, with a sub-truncate to bluntly rounded base, forming a septum before germination, smooth-walled with a granular content.

**Culture characteristics:**—Colonies on PDA reaching 65 mm diam., after 4 d at 28 °C. Initially white becoming grey, moderately dense, margin smooth, olivaceous.

**Material examined:**—CHINA, Guizhou Province, Guiyang Huaxi Wetland Park, saprobic on dead wood, April 2017, Y.Y. Chen, GZAAS 19-2059, living culture GZCC 19-0340.

**Notes:**—Based on the morphology and phylogeny, we identify this species as *Botryosphaeria wangensis* (Li *et al.* 2018). This species is only reported from China and it was isolated from *Cedrus deodara* (Pinaceae) when it was described (Li *et al.* 2018).

#### Discussion

In this study, decaying woody samples were collected from six nature reserves in Guizhou province, China. This study aims to understand the diversity of *Botryosphaeria* species associated with woody hosts in nature reserves in Guizhou province and a polyphasic approach of combined morphological features and molecular phylogeny has been employed to justify these isolated fungi. Four commonly encountered species, namely *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *B. minutispermatia*, *B. sinensia* and *B. wangensis* were identified. Among the 28 obtained isolates, eight taxa formed a unique lineage which was identified by incorporating reference type and non-type isolates in multi-gene phylogenetic analyses. Therefore, it is introduced as a new species *Botryosphaeria guttulata*.

Up to date, there are fifteen Botryosphaeria species reported in worldwide, and most of them have been justified with molecular data (Xu et al. 2015, Dissanayake et al. 2016, Zhou et al. 2017, Li et al. 2018, Jayawardena et al. 2019). Among them, ten species are reported from China (66% of reported species). Many of them have been isolated from a large assemblage of agriculturally (e.g. grapevine, Camellia sinensis) and ecologically (e.g. Eucalyptus sp.) important perennial hosts in China (Yan et al. 2013; Dissanayake et al. 2016). Botryosphaeria dothidea has been reported over 35 plant species in China, causing cankers and branch dieback of many orchard trees such as Apple, Chestnut, English walnut and Kiwi fruit (Xu et al. 2015, Zhou et al. 2015, Li et al. 2018); ornamental trees and shrubs (e.g. Rosa chinensis) and forest trees including Acer platanoides, Cornus alba, Eucalyptus grandis, Helwingia chinensis, Morus alba and Populus sp. (Pan et al. 2019). Botryosphaeria fabicerciana and B. fusispora have been isolated from Eucalyptus sp. (Chen et al. 2011, Li et al. 2018); B. kuwatsukai from Malus domestica and Pyrus sp. (Xu et al. 2015); B. minutispermatia from an unknown host (Ariyawansa et al. 2016) while B. rosaceae from Malus sp. and Amygdalus sp. (Zhou et al. 2017). Botryosphaeria sinensia has been isolated from dieback and cankers of Juglans regia, Malus pumila, Morus sinensia sp. and Populus sp. in China (Zhou et al. 2016, 2017, Li et al. 2018). In this study, Botryosphaeria sinensia was isolated more frequently (32%) than any other Botryosphaeria species from deadwood in nature reserves from Guizhou province. This result suggests that B. sinensia may be quite well adapted to deadwood communities in the nature reserves. Meanwhile Botryosphaeria pseudoramosa, B. gingyuanensis and B. wangensis have been reported only from Eucalyptus sp. in China (Li et al. 2018). The newly identified species Botryosphaeria guttulata has been shown as the second highest frequency (28.5%) indicating it's prevalence in nature reserves. Therefore, it was not surprising to isolate *Botryosphaeria* species from decaying woody hosts in nature reserves from Guizhou province, China.

The genus *Botryosphaeria* causes severe decline of habitat productivity and sustainability. This work adds to knowledge on the genus by introducing and recognizing the new and existing *Botryosphaeria* species occurring on decaying wood in nature reserves from Guizhou Province. Hence, it is necessary for continuous exploration and identification of these fungi in nature reserves in Karst formations in Guizhou province for protection of its biodiversity. We suggest our findings to be undertaken by relevant authorities to take preventive measures as the saprobic *Botryosphaeria* could alter to be pathogenic fungi with the changes in abiotic factors.

#### Acknowledgements

This study is funded by the Science and Technology Foundation of Guizhou Province (LH [2015]7061) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No.31600032).

#### References

- Abdollahzadeh, J., Zare, R. & Phillips, A.J.L. (2013) Phylogeny and taxonomy of *Botryosphaeria* and *Neofusicoccum* species in Iran, with description of *Botryosphaeria scharifii sp. nov. Mycologia* 105: 210–220. https://doi.org/10.3852/12-107
- Ariyawansa, H.A., Hyde, K.D., Liu, J.K., Wu, S.P. & Liu, Z.Y. (2016) Additions to Karst Fungi 1: *Botryosphaeria minutispermatia sp. nov.*, from Guizhou Province, China. *Phytotaxa* 275 (1): 35–44.

https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.275.1.4

Carbone, I. & Kohn, L.M. (1999) A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in flamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* 91: 553–556.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1999.12061051

- Cesati, V. & Notaris, G. de (1863) Schema di classificazione degli sferiacei italici aschigeri piu' o meno appartenenti al genere Sphaeria nell'antico significato attribuitoglide Persoon. *Commentario della Società Crittogamologica Italiana* 4: 177–240.
- Chen, S.F., Pavlic, D., Roux, J., Slippers, B. & Xie, Y.J. (2011) Characterization of Botryosphaeriaceae from plantation-grown Eucalyptus species in South China. *Plant Pathology* 60: 739–751. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2011.02431.x
- Chomnunti, P., Hongsanan, S., Aguirre-Hudson, B., Tian, Q., Peršoh, D., Dhami, M.K., Alias, A.S., Xu, J.C. & Hyde, K.D. (2014) The sooty moulds. *Fungal Diversity* 66: 1–36.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0278-5

- Crous, P.W., Slippers, B., Wingfield, M.J., Rheeder, J., Marasas, W.F.O., Philips, A.J.L., Alves, A., Burgess, T.I., Barber, P.A., Groenewald, J.Z. (2006) Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. *Stud Mycol* 55: 235–253. https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.55.1.235
- Dissanayake, A.J., Phillips, A.J.L., Hyde, K.D. & Li, X.H. (2016) Botryosphaeriaceae: Current status of genera and species. *Mycosphere* 7: 1001–1073.

https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/si/1b/13

- Farr, D.F. & Rossman, A.Y. (2016) Fungal databases, systematic mycology and microbiology laboratory, ARS, USDA. (SMML database). Available from: https://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldatabases/ (accessed 1 March 2020)
- Hall, T. (2006) Bioedit. Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State University. [http://www.mbioncsuedu/BioEdit/Bioedithtml]
- Hillis, D.M. & Bull, J.J. (1993) An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Systematic Biology 42: 182–192.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/42.2.182

- Index Fungorum (2020) [http://www.indexfungorum.org/Names/Names.asp]
- Jayasiri, S.C., Hyde, K.D., Ariyawansa, H.A., Bhat, J., Buyck, B., Cai, L., Dai, Y.C., Abd-Elsalam, K.A., Ertz, D., Hidayat, I., Jeewon, R., Jones, E.B.G., Bahkali, A.H., Karunarathna, S.C., Liu, J.K., Luangsa-ard, J.J., Lumbsch, H.T., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N., McKenzie, E.H.C., Moncalvo, J.M., Ghobad-Nejhad, M., Nilsson, H., Pang, K., Pereira, O.L., Phillips, A.J.L., Raspé, O., Rollins, A.W., Romero, A.I., Etayo, J., Selçuk, F., Stephenson, S.L., Suetrong, S., Taylor, J.E., Tsui, C.K.M., Vizzini, A., Abdel-Wahab, M.A., Wen, T.C., Boonmee, S., Dai, D.Q., Daranagama, D.A., Dissanayake, A.J., Ekanayaka, A.H., Fryar, S.C., Hongsanan, S., Jayawardena, R.S., Li, W.J., Perera, R.H., Phookamsak, R., De Silva, N.I., Thambugala, K.M., Tian, Q., Wijayawardene, N.N., Zhao, R.L., Zhao, Q., Kang, J.C. & Promputha, I. (2015) The Faces of Fungi database: fungal names linked with morphology, phylogeny and human impacts. *Fungal Diversity* 74: 3–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0351-8
  - https://doi.org/10.100//s15225-015-0551-8
- Jayawardena, R.S., Hyde, K.D., Jeewon, R., Ghobad-Nejhad, M., Wanasinghe, D.N., Liu, N.G., Phillips, A.J.L., Oliveira-Filho, J.R.C., da Silva, G.A., Gibertoni, T.B., Abeywikrama, P., Carris, L.M., Chethana, K.W.T., Dissanayake, A.J., Hongsanan, S., Jayasiri, S.C., McTaggart, A.R., Perera, R.H., Phutthacharoen, K., Savchenko, K.G., Shivas, R.G., Thongklang, N., Dong, W., Wei, D.P., Wijayawardena, N.N. & Kang, J.C. (2019) One stop shop II: taxonomic update with molecular phylogeny for important phytopathogenic genera: 26–50. *Fungal Diversity* 94: 41–129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-019-00418-5
- Katoh, K. & Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular biology and evolution* 30: 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Lan, H.B., Ran, J.C., Meng, H.L., Yu, P., Xu, H. & Deng, B.L. (2009) An overview on biodiversity and its protection in Maolan Nature Reservation. *Journal of Mountain Agriculture and Biology*.
- Li, G.Q., Liu, F.F., Li, J.Q., Liu, Q.L. & Chen, S.F. (2018) Botryosphaeriaceae from Eucalyptus plantations and adjacent plants in China. *Persoonia* 40: 63–95 .

https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.40.03

- Liu, J.K., Chomnunti, P., Cai, L., Phookamsak, R., Chukeatirote, E., Jones, E.B.G., Moslem, M. & Hyde, K.D. (2010) Phylogeny and morphology of *Neodeightonia palmicola sp. nov.* from palms. *Sydowia* 62: 261–276.
- Liu, J.K., Phookamsak, R., Doilom, M., Wikee, S., Li, Y.M., Ariyawansha, H., Boonmee, S., Chomnunti, P., Dai, D.Q., Bhat, J.D. & Romero, A. (2012) Towards a natural classification of Botryosphariales. *Fungal Diversity* 57: 149–210. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-012-0207-4
- Pan, M., Zhu, H., Bezerra, J.D.P., Bonthond, G., Tian, C. & Fan, X. (2019) Botryosphaerialean fungi causing canker and dieback of tree hosts from Mount Yudu in China. *Mycological Progress* 18: 1341–1361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-019-01532-z
- Pavlic, D., Wingfield, M.J., Barber, P., Slippers, B. & Hardy, GEStJ. (2008) Seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native trees in Western Australia. *Mycologia* 100: 851–866. https://doi.org/10.3852/08-020
- Phillips, A.J.L., Oudemans, P.V., Correia, A. & Alves, A. (2006) Characterization and epitypification of *Botryosphaeria corticis*, the cause of blueberry cane canker. *Fungal Diversity* 21: 141–155.
- Phillips, A.J.L., Alves, A., Abdollahzadeh, J., Slippers, B., Wingfield, M.J., Groenewald, J.Z. & Crous, P.W. (2013) The Botryosphaeriaceae: genera and species known from culture. *Studies in Mycology* 76: 51–167. https://doi.org/10.3114/sim0021

Phillips, A.J., Hyde, K.D., Alves, A. & Liu, J.K. (2019) Families in Botryosphaeriales: a phylogenetic, morphological and evolutionary

perspective. Fungal Diversity 94: 1-22.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0416-6

- Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14: 817–818. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817
- Rannala, B. & Yang, Z. (1996) Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: a new method of phylogenetic inference. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 43: 304–311.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338839

Rayner, R.W. (1970) A Mycological Colour Chart. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, UK.

Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180

Saccardo, P.A. (1877) Fungi Veneti novi vel critici vel Mycologiae Venetae addendi, ser. VI. Michelia 1: 1-72.

- Slippers, B., Crous, P.W., Denman, S., Coutinho, T.A., Wingfield, B.D. & Wingfield, M.J. (2004) Combined multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several species previously identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. *Mycologia* 96: 83–101. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2005.11833000
- Slippers, B., Roux, J., Wingfield, M.J. & Van der Walt, F.J.J. (2014) Confronting the constraints of morphological taxonomy in the Botryosphaeriales. *Persoonia* 33: 155–168.

https://doi.org/10.3767/003158514X684780

- Stamatakis, A. (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688–2690.
- Swofford, D.L. (2003) PAUP\*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, \* and Other Methods, Version 4.0b10, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- Wang, C. & Wen, Y. (2011) Study on Nature Reserve Management Strategy Based on Externality of Public Goods. *Reformation & Strategy*.
- White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J. (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications* 18: 315–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1
- Xu, Z.L. (2003) Nature Reserve Assessment and Strategies for Protection and Management. Forest Inventory and Planning.
- Xu, C., Wang, C., Ju, L., Zhang, R., Biggs, A.R., Tanaka, E., Li, B. & Sun, G. (2015) Multiple locus genealogies and phenotypic characters reappraise the causal agents of apple ring rot in China. *Fungal Diversity* 71: 215–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0306-5
- Yan, J.Y., Xie, Y., Zhang, W., Wang, Y., Liu, J.K., Hyde, K.D., Seem, R.C., Zhang, J.Z., Wang, Z.Y., Yao, S.W., Bai, X.J., Dissanayake, A.J., Peng, Y.L. & Li, X.H. (2013) Species of Botryosphaeriaceae involved in grapevine dieback in China. *Fungal Diversity* 61: 221–236.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-013-0251-8

- Yao, Z.M. (2011) Study on the Ecotourism and Community Economic Development of Maolan National Natural Reserve in Guizhou Province. *Journal of Anhui Agricultural Sciences*.
- Zhou, Y., Gong, G., Cui, Y., Zhang, D., Chang, X., Hu, R., Liu, N. & Sun, X. (2015) Identification of Botryosphaeriaceae species causing kiwifruit rot in Sichuan Province, China. *Plant Disease* 99: 699–708. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-14-0727-RE
- Zhou, Y., Dou, Z., He, W., Zhang, X. & Zhang, Y. (2016) *Botryosphaeria sinensia sp. nov.*, a new species from China. *Phytotaxa* 245 (1): 43–50.

https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.245.1.4

Zhou, Y.P., Zhang, M., Dou, Z.P. & Zhang, Y. (2017) *Botryosphaeria rosaceae sp. nov.* and *B. ramosa*, new botryosphaeriaceous taxa from China. *Mycosphere* 8: 162–171.

https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/8/2/2