





https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.454.1.4

Morpho-molecular analysis reveals Appendiculella viticis sp. nov. (Meliolaceae)

DIANA S. MARASINGHE^{1,2,3,4,6,7,8}, SARANYAPHAT BOONMEE^{2,3,9}, KEVIN D. HYDE^{2,3,5,10}, NING XIE^{1,6,7,11} & SINANG HONGSANAN^{1,6,7,12,*}

¹ Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Microbial Genetic Engineering, College of Life Sciences and Oceanography, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, PR China.

² Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand.

³ School of Science, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand.

⁴ Department of Plant Medicine, National Chiayi University, Chiayi City 60004, Taiwan.

⁵ Innovative Institute for Plant Health, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Haizhu District, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510225, PR China.

⁶ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory for Plant Epigenetics, College of Life Sciences and Oceanography, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518055, PR China.

⁷ Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Laser Engineering, College of Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, PR China.

⁸ dianasandamali91@gmail.com; ⁹ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3805-5280

⁹ saranyaphat.khag2@gmail.com; ⁹ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5202-2955

¹⁰ stdhyde3@gmail.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2191-0762

¹¹ sing.xie@szu.edu.cn; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5866-8535

¹² sinang333@gmail.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0550-3152

*Corresponding author: sinang333@gmail.com

Abstract

A novel species, *Appendiculella viticis*, was collected on freshly fallen leaves of *Vitex canescens (Lamiaceae)* in Chiang Rai, Thailand. This species is unique in having vertically striate, conoid cells, without larviform appendages and fusiform to ellipsoidal, guttulate ascospores. Morphological comparison and phylogenetic analysis of combined LSU and ITS sequence data provide evidence that the species is novel. Morphological comparisons of *Appendiculella* species are provided. The molecular data provides evidence to support the distinctness of *Appendiculella*.

Keywords: 1 new taxon, epiphytic fungus, Meliolales, phylogeny, taxonomy

Introduction

Höhnel (1919) introduced *Appendiculella* to accommodate species which have ascomata with larviform appendages (Justavino & Piepenbring 2007). Species Fungorum (2020) listed 92 epithets in *Appendiculella*. However, only two species have molecular data (Zeng *et al.* 2017). *Appendiculella* species are mostly considered host-specific and are widely distributed in tropical regions, such as Taiwan and India (Kirk *et al.* 2008). Some species such as *Appendiculella acaenae* Hansf. recorded from living leaves of *Acaena* and *Appendiculella altingiae* Y.X. Hu & B. Song. from living leaves of *Altingia chinensis* Champ. ex Benth. have been introduced based on host association (Justavino *et al.* 2014).

Appendiculella species are characterized by vertucose ascomatal walls with raised conoid cells, with conical appendages, 2–4-spored, unitunicate asci and 2–3-seriate, fusiform to ellipsoid, 3–4-septate, hyaline to brown ascospores (Hongsanan *et al.* 2015). Their asexual morph comprises ampulliform, alternate or opposite phialides, mixed with capitate hyphopodia (Justavino & Piepenbring 2007).

Appendiculella is included in Meliolaceae, Meliolales (Hongsanan et al. 2015, Hyde et al. 2020, Wijayawardene et al. 2018). The first phylogenetic analysis based on A. lozanellae Rodr. Just. & M. Piepenbr. (DQ508302), supported its placement in Meliolaceae (Hongsanan et al. 2015, Justavino et al. 2014). Hongsanan et al. (2015) showed that A. lozanellae is phylogenetically close to Asteridiella nitidae Rodr. Appendiculella and Asteridiella are similar in having ascomata with conical cells, however, some species of Appendiculella differ from Asteridiella in having larviform

appendages instead of conoid cells (Hongsanan *et al.* 2015, Hyde *et al.* 2020). According to the observations of Hongsanan *et al.* (2015), *Appendiculella calostroma* (type species of *Appendiculella*) also has conoid cells and absence of larviform appendages. Hansford (1961) differentiated *Asteridiella* from all other genera in *Meliolaceae* using its glabrous perithecia and mycelia (Pereira & Silva 2009). Therefore, these genera are treated as two separate genera (Hongsanan *et al.* 2015, Hyde *et al.* 2020).

The fungi in northern Thailand are remarkably diverse (Hyde *et al.* 2018) and in this study we report a new species of *Meliolaceae*. This family is relatively poorly understood, comprising eight genera (Hyde *et al.* 2020, Wijayawardene *et al.* 2020). Although these genera are morphologically distinct, molecular data, especially of *Meliola* species, have shown them to be polyphyletic (Hongsanan *et al.* 2015). We introduce a novel species in *Appendiculella* which was found on living leaves of *Vitex canescens* Kurz. (*Lamiaceae*). Morphological characters of the new taxon and its phylogenetic placement are provided. The new information will help provide data on the distinction of meliolaceous genera.

Materials and methods

Sample collection, morphological studies and specimen deposition

Freshly fallen leaves of *Vitex canescens (Lamiaceae)* with black colonies were collected from Mae Fah Luang University Botanical Garden, Chiang Rai, Thailand. Specimens were examined by using a Motic SMZ 168 series microscope. Hand sections of the fruiting structures were mounted in water and 5% KOH for microscopic studies and photomicrography. Microscopic morphologies were examined using a Nikon ECLIPSE 80i compound microscope and photographed using a Canon 750D digital camera fitted to the microscope. Measurements were made with the Tarosoft (R) Image Frame Work program and images used for figures processed with Adobe Photoshop CS3 Extended version 10.0 software (Adobe Systems, USA). The holotype and isotype are deposited in the Mae Fah Luang University Herbarium (MFLU), Chiang Rai, Thailand. The new taxon was linked with Facesoffungi and Index Fungorum databases as explained in Jayasiri *et al.* (2015) and Index Fungorum (2020).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted directly from fresh ascomata using a Forensic Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (OMEGA Bio-tek). The total volume of PCR mixture (50 μ L) contained ddH2O (19 μ L), 2× PCR Master Mix (QinKe Co., China) (25 μ L), DNA template (2 μ L) and each primer (2 μ L). The complete 28S large subunit rDNA (LSU) and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) genes were amplified using LR0R/LR5 (Vilgalys & Hester 1990) and ITS1/ITS4 (White *et al.* 1990) primers. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was set up for initial denaturation of 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 45 s at 94 °C, 45 s at 52 °C and 90 s at 72 °C, and a final extension period of 10 min at 72 °C (Hongsanan *et al.* 2015). PCR products were viewed on 1% agarose electrophoresis gels, stained with ethidium bromide. Purification and sequencing of PCR products were sent to a commercial sequencing provider, Beijing Liuhe Huada Gene Co. GuangZhou.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses

Newly generated sequences were assembled and subjected to the standard BLAST search to identify closest matches in GenBank (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The accession numbers of taxa used in our analyses are shown in TABLE 1. Sequences of LSU and ITS data were aligned using MAFFT v. 6.864b (Katoh *et al.* 2017) and manually improved alignment in BioEdit v. 7.0 (Hall 2004).

Maximum likelihood analysis was performed using RAxML on XSEDE in CIPRES (Miller *et al.* 2010). The optimal ML tree was obtained with 1,000 separate runs under the GTR+GAMMA substitution model resulted from model tests. Bayesian inference (Larget & Simon 1999) was performed using the MrBayes 3.2.2 on XSEDE tool in CIPRES (Ronquist *et al.* 2011). Posterior probabilities (PP) were obtained from Markov chain Monte Carlo Sampling (MCMC) (Rannala & Yang 1996, Ronquist *et al.* 2012) when the average standard deviation of split frequencies fell below 0.01. MCMC chains were run from random trees for 1,000,000 generations and sampled every 100th generations with the burning value of 25%. The remaining trees were used to calculate posterior probabilities values. All trees were visualized in FigTree v1.4.0 (Rambaut 2012) and final layout was done with Microsoft PowerPoint.

		Genbank accessio	on number
Species	Culture collections/ Specimen number	LSU	ITS
Amplistroma caroliniana	BE09923	FJ532377	N/A
Amplistroma erinaceum	AH 43902	NG058568	N/A
Appendiculella lozanellae	MP3432	DQ508302	N/A
Appendiculella sororcula var portoricensis	VIC32065	KC618640	N/A
Appendiculella viticis	MFLU 19-1008	MT108888	MT108889
Asteridiella atricha	VIC32064	KC618650	N/A
Asteridiella combreticola	MFLU 17-1041	MN74748	MN74748
Asteridiella nitidae	ppMP 796	EF094839	N/A
Asteridiella obesa	VIC 31239	NG057014	NR120256
Asteridiella pittieri	DP114	KC618639	N/A
Chaetosphaeria innumera	SMH 2748	AY017375	N/A
Endomeliola dingleyae	PDD 98304	GU138866	N/A
Irenopsis cornuta	VIC32058	KC618642	N/A
Irenopsis moelleriana	VIC32059	KC618646	N/A
Irenopsis vincensii	VIC 31751	JX133163	N/A
Irenopsis walsurae	MFLU 13-0621	KT021648	NR154075
Melanochaeta hemipsila	SMH2125	AY346292	N/A
<i>Meliola</i> sp.	MFLUCC 15-0047	KR868698	KR868703
Meliola brachyodonta	VIC32066	KC618644	N/A
Meliola caesalpiniicola	VIC32061	KC618641	N/A
Meliola centellae	VIC 31244	NG042650	NR137799
Meliola citri maximae	MFLU 14-0288	KX458474	N/A
Meliola clerodendricola	MFLU 13-0620	KT021647	N/A
Meliola crescentiae	VIC32056	KC618649	N/A
Meliola danielliae	VIC32057	KC618648	N/A
Meliola monnieriae	VIC32062	KC618647	N/A
Meliola niessleana	UBC F23799	KC833049	N/A
Meliola panici	VIC32063	KC618651	N/A
Meliola peruiferae	VIC 31249	NG 060294	N/A
Meliola pseudosasae	MFLU 16-2136	KX845434	N/A
Meliola tamarindi	MFLU 14-C0282	KP744489	N/A
Meliola trichostroma	VIC32068	KC618643	N/A
Meliola variaseta	DRJ 54 (PMA)	EF094840	N/A
Meliola vernaliae	VIC 31240	JX096808	N/A
Ruzenia spermoides	SMH4655	KF765619	N/A
Sordaria fimicola	CBS 723.96	MH874231	MH862606
Synaptospora plumbea	SMH3962	KF765621	N/A

TABLE 1. Taxa used in the phylogenetic analyses and their GenBank accession numbers. Newly generated sequences are indicated in red bold, and other ex-type isolates are in black bold.

Results

Phylogenetic analyses

LSU and ITS sequence data of representative families comprised 37 strains, including the outgroup taxon *Sodaria finicola* (CBS 723.96) (*Sodariaceae*, Sordariales). The alignment contained 1687 characters (LSU: 1–942 and ITS: 943–1687) including gaps. The best scoring RAxML tree was selected to represent the relationships among taxa with a final likelihood value of-13524.483628. The matrix had distinct alignment patterns with 45.81% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were: A = 0.245945, C = 0.225648, G = 0.316063, T = 0.212344; substitution rates AC = 0.909091, AG = 2.777058, AT = 1.545145, CG = 0.565964, CT = 7.351068, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.391518 (FIGURE 1).

Our new taxon clusters with *Appendiculella lozanellae* (MP3432) and *A. sororcula var portoricensis* (VIC32065) with medium statistical support (64% ML, 0.88 BYPP).



FIGURE 1. Phylogram generated from maximum likelihood (RAxML) based on LSU and ITS matrix. ML bootstrap support (\geq 60%) and Bayesian posterior probability (\geq 0.80) are indicated above the branches as ML/BYPP. The values of ML (<50%) and BYPP (<0.80) are represented by "*". The tree is rooted to *Sodaria fimicola* (CBS 723.96). Type strains are in bold and the newly generated sequence is in red.

Taxonomy

Appendiculella viticis Marasinghe, Hongsanan, Boonmee & K.D. Hyde *sp. nov.* (FIGURE 2) *Index Fungorum number*: IF557246; *Facesoffungi number*: FOF07514.

Etymology:-The specific epithet refers to the host genus Vitex.

Holotype:—MFLU 19–1008.

Epiphytic on the surface of freshly fallen leaves of *Vitex canescens (Lamiaceae). Hyphae* 7–15 µm wide ($\bar{x} = 9 \mu m$, n = 10), superficial, straight to undulate, branched, septate, darker at the septa, with hyphopodia, hyphal setae lacking. *Hyphopodia* 25–30 × 8–15 µm ($\bar{x} = 27 \times 11 \mu m$, n = 20), alternate, straight to antrorse; stalk cell 10–15 × 6–10 µm ($\bar{x} = 11.4 \times 8.6 \mu m$, n = 10) cylindrical, slightly bent; head cell 15–20 × 10–20 µm ($\bar{x} = 18.4 \times 15.5 \mu m$, n = 10), cylindrical to slightly lobate, sometimes brown spot at the center. **Sexual morph**: *Ascomata* 90–130 × 90–110 µm ($\bar{x} = 109.5 \times 100.3 \mu m$, n = 5), superficial, mostly gregarious, globose to subglobose, thick-walled, lacking setae; with raised conoid cells, $27-45 \times 20-30 \mu m$ ($\bar{x} = 33 \times 24 \mu m$, n = 20), conical, straight to curved, vertically striate. *Peridium* 18–25 µm ($\bar{x} = 21 \mu m$, n = 5), comprising dark brown cells of *textura angularis* when viewed in squash mounts, with two strata, outer stratum of brown to dark brown cells of *textura angularis*, inner stratum of hyaline to pale brown flattened cells. *Hamathecium* with evanescent paraphyses. *Asci* 46–65 × 30–40 µm ($\bar{x} = 56 \times 36 \mu m$, n = 20), 2-spored, unitunicate, oblong to obvoid, lacking an opening mechanism, short pedicellate or apedicellate, evanescent at maturity. *Ascospores* 40–60 × 15–30 µm ($\bar{x} = 51.6 \times 21.7 \mu m$, n = 30), 2–3-seriate, hyaline to brown, fusiform to ellipsoid, 4-septate, slightly constricted and darker at the septa, guttulate, smooth-walled. **Asexual morph**: *Phialides* 15–25 × 6–12 µm ($\bar{x} = 19 \times 8.3 \mu m$, n = 10), ampulliform, 2-celled, opposite or alternate, mixed with hyphopodia, conidia not observed.

Material examined:—THAILAND, Chiang Rai, Mae Fah Luang University, Botanical Garden, on freshly fallen leaves of *Vitex canescens* Kurz. (*Lamiaceae*), 16 November 2018, Diana Sandamali, D98 (MFLU 19–1008, holotype).

Notes: Appendiculella viticis shares some morphological characters with *A. alchorneae*, *A. araliae*, *A. arisanensis*, *A. calophylli*, *A. castanopsidifoliae*, *A. elaeocarpi*, *A. elaeocarpicola*, *A. engelhardtiae*, *A. lithocarpicola*, *A. malasiae*, *A. konishii*, *A. shettyi*, and *A. sorocula var portoricensis* in having globose to subglobose, thick-walled ascomata, with raised conoid cells, without larviform appendages and 4-septae, dark brown ascospores. However, *A. viticis* differs from these species in having vertically striated conoid cells and fusiform to ellipsoidal, guttulate ascospores. Other species have transversely striated conoid cells and cylindrical to ellipsoidal ascospores without guttules. Phylogenetically, *A. viticis* (MFLU 19–1008) forms a basal branch to *A. lozanellae* (MP3432) and *A. sorocula var portoricensis* (VIC 32065) with 64% MLBT and 0.88 BYPP support. However, *A. lozanellae* and *A. sorocula* have larviform appendages instead of conoid cells (Hansford 1961, Justavino & Piepenbring 2007). *Appendiculella viticis* is also phylogenetically related with *Asteridiella combreticola* X.Y. Zeng, K.D. Hyde & T.C. Wen. showing the polyphyletic nature within the *Meliolaceae*.

Discussion

The new species, *Appendiculella viticis*, is introduced with morpho-molecular evidence. It is the first *Appendiculella* species found on leaves of *Vitex canescens*. Phialides (mucronate hyphopodia/asexual morph) produce small spores which might be involved in asexual multiplication or sexual reproduction (Luttrell 1989, Mueller *et al.* 1991). Species of the *Meliolaceae* are traditionally assumed to be host-specific, but this assertion is not yet supported by adequate molecular evidence (Justavino & Piepenbring 2007). Based on field observations it is assumed that host-specificity within *Appendiculella* is not related to a single host genus, but also to other genera in the same family (Rodrýguez 2001). A comprehensive comparison of morphology within this genus is difficult. This is because informative illustrations and detailed descriptions of some characters in previously described species is lacking. However, detailed morphological comparison with closely related *Appendiculella* species is provided in Table 2. The complexity of species delineation based on host association is also a problem that needs to be resolved. Extensive molecular data may validate the significance of host-specificity for species delineation (Justavino & Piepenbring 2007).



FIGURE 2. *Appendiculella viticis* (MFLU 19–1008, holotype). **a** freshly fallen leaf specimen. **b**, **c** Ascomata on surface of leaves. **d** Appearance of ascoma. **e** Cross section of ascoma. **f** Peridium comprising conical cells. **g** Vertically striate, conoid cells on ascomata (red arrow). **h** Hyphae with hyphopodia. **i** Hyphae with phialides. **j** Immature stage of ascoma. **k**–**s** Immature to mature asci. **t**, **u** Immature and mature ascospores. Scale bars: d, $e = 100 \mu m$, h, $j = 50 \mu m$, f, g, i, k–s =20 μm , t, $u = 10 \mu m$.

<i>pendiculella</i> species with conoid cells.	
[ABLE 2. Comparison of morphologically similar <i>Ap</i>	Size and morphology

Species	Hyphopodia		Ascomatal conoid cells (µm)	Ascospores (µm)	Hosts	Country	References
	Head cell (µm)	Stalk cell (μm)					
A. alchorneae	11–15 × 10–14	5-2	65×25 , subcylindric to obtuse, bent apex subhyaline and brownish	$30-35 \times 14-17$, ellipsoid	Alchornea sp.	Guyana	Hansford (1961)
A. araliae	12–22 × 12–17	12-4	$90-18 \times 23$, cylindric, pale translucent brown, transversely striated	38–48 × 18–22, ellipsoid	Aralia sp.	England	Hansford (1961)
A. arisanensis	$10{-}14 \times 7{-}9$	145	$23-53 \times 14-21$, curved, rounded at apex	$44-54 \times 14-19$, ellipsoid to oblong	Cyclobalanopsis sp.	Taiwan	Hansford (1961)
A. calophylli	$18-31 \times 9-12.5$	6–31	85×20 , curved to crooked	$43-46.5 \times 15-18.5$	Calophyllum apetalum	India	Toro (1925)
A. castanopsidifoliae	$9-14 \times 8-10$	7–3	$21-42 \times 23-48$, coniform, slightly bent	$39-48 \times 12-23$, oblong	Synaedrys amygdalifolius	Taiwan	Hansford (1961)
A. elaeocarpi	$12-14 \times 7-10$	7-5	up to 24 long, straight to curved, horizontally striated, attenuated, broadly rounded at apex	$34-38 \times 12-14$, ellipsoid to oblong	Elaeocarpus turberculatus	India	Hosagoudar & Robin (2011)
A. elaeocarpicola	$14-22 \times 7-10$	7-5	up to 24 long, straight to curved, horizontally striated, attenuated, broadly rounded at apex	$34-38 \times 12-14$, ellipsoid to oblong	Elaeocarpus tuberculatus	India	Hosagoudar & Robin (2011)
A. engelhardtiae	$10 - 13 \times 7 - 8$	6–3	18-30 long, coniform, slightly bent, obtuse	$42-54 \times 17-23$, cylindrical to subellipsoid	Engelhardtia chrysolepis	Taiwan	Hansford (1961)
A. konishii	$14{-}17 \times 8{-}10$	7–3	$44-55 \times 14-23$, coniform, thick at the base	$44-55 \times 14-23$, ellipsoid to oblong	Synaedrys konishii	Taiwan	Hansford (1961)
A. lithocarpicola	$9-14 \times 9$	145	$21-53 \times 19-21$, corniform straight to bent thick at base attenuate to rounded truncate apex	$48-60 \times 14-23$, ellipsoid to oblong	Synaedrys amygdalifolia	Taiwan	Hansford (1961)
A. malasiae	15-17 × 13-15	7.5–22	$20-45 \times 20-35$, conoid to mammillate, attenuate upwards, obtuse to nearly acute at apex, straight to slightly curved	4345 × 1213, cylindrical	Malaisia scandens	China	Song & Li (2004)
A. shettyi	$9-13 \times 8-11$	3-7	$14-28 \times 9-19$, subcylindrical to conoid, simple, striated, broadly obtuse	$30-40 \times 16-17$, oblong to obovoid,	Gordonia obtusa	India	Biju <i>et al.</i> 2005
A. sororcula	$13-20 \times 9-14$	9-5	50×20 , erect spreading, pale brownish, transversely striated, granulose	$40-46 \times 18-20$, oblong to ellipsoid	Eupatrorium portoricense	Porto Rico	Hansford (1961)
A. viticis	$15-20 \times 10-20$	6-10	27–45 × 20–30, coniform slightly bent, vertically striated	40–60 × 15–30, fusiform to ellipsoid, guttulate	Vitex canescens	Thailand	This study

ITS base pair comparisons are not possible due to the lack of ITS sequence data for *A. lozanellae* and *A. sororcula* var portoricensis. In our analysis, *A. viticis* clustered with medium statistical support with the reference material of *A. lozanellae* and *A. sororcula*. The *Appendiculella* clade however, is not well-resolved from *Meliola*. *Asteridiella*, *Endomeliola*; *Meliola* species and they are also polyphyletic. These genera are, however, clearly morphologically distinct. Thus, we maintain them as distinct until molecular data of the type species are available (Hongsanan *et al.* 2015).

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank National Natural Science Foundation of China for supporting the project number 31950410548 for funding this research. The Thailand Research fund is thanked for the grant Impact of climate change on fungal diversity and biogeography in the Greater Mekong Subregion grant number: RDG6130001. Diana S. Marasinghe also would like to thank Mae Fah Luang University for financial support.

References

Biju, C.K., Hosagoudar, V.B. & Abraham, T.K. (2005) *Meliolaceae* of Kerala, India—XV. *Nova Hedwigia* 80: 465–502. https://doi.org/10.1127/0029-5035/2005/0080-0465

Hall, T. (2004) BioEdit. Ibis Therapeutics, Carlsbad, CA, 92008, USA. [http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/ BioEdit/bioedit.html]

Hansford, C.G. (1961) The Meliolineae, a monograph. Beihefte zur Sydowia 2: 1-806.

Höhnel, F.X.R. von (1919) Fragmente zur Mykologie 138. Akademie der Wissenschaften Math.-naturw Klasse Abt. I., 556 pp.

Hongsanan, S., Tian, Q., Peršoh, D., Zeng, X.Y., Hyde, K.D., Chomnunti, P., Boonmee, S., Bahkali, A.H. & Wen, T.C. (2015) Meliolales. *Fungal Diversity* 74: 91–141.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0344-7

Hosagoudar, V.B. & Robin P.J. (2011) Four new *Meliolaceae* (Sordariomycetes: Meliolales) members from Kottayam forests in Kerala State, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 3: 1782–1787. https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o2747.1782-7

- Hyde, K.D., Norphanphoun, C., Chen, J., Dissanayake, A.J., Doilom, M., Hongsanan, S., Jayawardena, R.S., Jeewon, R., Perera, R.H., Thongbai, B., Wanasinghe, D.N., Wisitrassameewong, K., Tibpromma, S. & Stadler, M. (2018) Thailand's amazing diversity—up to 96% of fungi in northern Thailand are novel. *Fungal Diversity* 93: 215–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0415-7
- Hyde, K.D., Norphanphoun, C., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N., Bhat, D.J., Jones, E.B.G., Bundhun, D., Chen, Y.J., Bao, D.F., Boonmee, S., Calabon, M.S., Chaiwan, N, Chethana, K.W.T., Dai, D.Q., Dayarathne, M.C., Devadatha, B., Dissanayake, A.J., Dissanayake, L.S., Doilom, M., Dong, W., Fan, X.L., Goonasekara, I.D., Hongsanan, S., Huang, S.K., Jayawardena, R.S., Jeewon, R., Karunarathna, A., Konta, S., Kumar, V., Lin, C.G., Liu, J.K., Liu, N., Luangsa-ard, J., Lumyong, S., Luo, Z.L., Marasinghe, D.S., McKenzie, E.H.C., Niego, A.G.T., Niranjan, M., Perera, R.H., Phukhamsakda, C., Rathnayaka, A.R., Samarakoon, M.C., Samarakoon, S.M.B.C., Sarma, V.V., Senanayake, I.C., Shang, Q.J., Stadler, M., Tibpromma, S., Wei, D.P., Wijayawardene, N.N., Xiao, Y.P., Xiang, M.M., Yang, J., Zeng, X.Y. & Zhang, S.N. (2020) Refined Families of Sordariomycetes. *Mycosphere* 11: 305–1059. https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/7

Index Fungorum (2020) Available from: http://www.indexfungorum.org/Names/Names.asp (accessed 18 January 2020)

- Jayasiri, S.C., Hyde, K.D., Abd-Elsalam, K.A., Abdel-Wahab, M.A., Ariyawansa, H.A., Bhat, J., Buyck, B., Dai, Y.C., Ertz, D., Hidayat, I., Jeewon, R., Jones, E.B.G., Karunarathna, S.C., Kirk, P., Lei, C., Liu, J.K., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N., McKenzie, E., Ghobad-Nejhad, M., Nilsson, H., Pang, K.L., Phookamsak, R., Rollins, A.W., Romero, A.I., Stephenson, S., Suetrong, S., Tsui, C.K.M., Vizzini, A., Wen, T.C., De Silva, N.I., Promputtha, I. & Kang, J.C. (2015) The Facesoffungi database: fungal names linked with morphology, molecular and human attributes. *Fungal Diversity* 74: 18–375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0351-8
- Justavino, D.R. & Piepenbring, M. (2007) Two new species of *Appendiculella (Meliolaceae)* from Panama. *Mycologia* 99: 544–552. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2007.11832548
- Justavino, D.R., Velásquez, J.C., Morales Sánchez, C.O., Rincón, R., Oberwinkler, F. & Bauer, R. (2014) The interaction apparatus of Asteridiella callista (Meliolaceae, Ascomycota). Mycologia 106: 216–223. https://doi.org/10.3852/13-146

Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J. & Yamada, K.D. (2017) MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108

Kirk, P.M., Cannon, P.F., Minter, D.W. & Stalpers, J.A. (2008) Dictionary of the fungi. 10th ed. CAB International, 784 pp.

Larget, B. & Simon, D.L. (1999) Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithms for the Bayesian analysis of phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 750–759.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026160

Luttrell ES. (1989) Morphology of Meliola floridensis. Mycologia 81: 192–204.

Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W. & Schwartz, T. (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. *In*: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (Org.) *Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop* (GCE), 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, LA, pp. 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129

Mueller, W.C., Goos, R.D., Quainoo, J. & Morgham, A.T. (1991) The structure of the phialides (mucronate hyphopodia) of the *Meliolaceae*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 69: 803–807. https://doi.org/10.1139/b91-104

Pereira, O.L. & Silva, M. (2009) Black mildew disease on the neotropical tree *Cecropia glaziovi* in Brazil, caused by *Appendiculella* echinus. Australasian Plant Disease Notes 4: 4–5.

https://doi.org/10.1071/DN09002

Rambaut, A. & Drummond, A.J. (2012) FigTree version 1.4. 0.aa.

Rannala, B. & Yang, Z. (1996) Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: a new method of phylogenetic inference. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 43: 304–311.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338839

- Rodríguez, H.M. (2001) Acerca de la relación taxonomía-especificidad en Meliolales (Ascomycota). *Revista del Jardín Botánico Nacional* 22: 101–108.
- Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J. & Teslenko, M. (2011) Draft MrBayes version 3.2 manual: tutorials and model summaries. 1–105. Available from http://brahms. biology. rochester. edu/software.
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Van Der Mark, P., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Höhna, S. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61: 539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Species Fungorum (2020) Available from: http://www.speciesfungorum.org/Names/Names.asp (accessed 24 January 2020)
- Song, B. & Li, T.H. (2004) Interesting taxa of Meliolaceae in HMAS, China. Mycotaxon 90: 129-132.

Toro, R.A. (1925) New or noteworthy Porto Rican Pyrenomycetes. *Mycologia* 17: 131–147.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1925.12020465

- Vilgalys, R. & Hester, M. (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology* 172: 4238–4246. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.172.8.4238-4246.1990
- White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J.W. (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *In:* Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J. & White, T.J. (Eds.) *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications*. Academic Press, pp. 315–322.

https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1

- Wijayawardene, N.N., Hyde, K.D., Lumbsch, H.T., Liu, J.K., Maharachchikumbura, S.S., Ekanayaka, A.H., Tian, Q. & Phookamsak, R. (2018) Outline of Ascomycota: 2017. *Fungal Diversity* 88: 167–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0394-8
- Wijayawardene, N.N., Kevin, D.H., Laith, K.T.A.A., Tedersoo, L., Haelewaters, D., Rajeshkumar, K.C., Zhao, R.U., Aptroot, A., Leontyev, D.V., Saxena, R.K., Tokarev, Y.S., Dai, D.Q., Peter M. Letcher, P.M., Stephenson, S.L., Ertz, D., Lumbsch, H.T., Kukwa, M., Issi, L.V., Madrid, H., Phillips, A.J.L., Selbmann, L., Pfliegler, W.P., Horváth, E., Bensch, K., Kirk, P., Kolaříková, Z., Huzefa A. Raja,H. A., Renate Radek, R., Papp, V., Dima, B., Ma, J., Malosso, E., Takamatsu, S., Rambold, G., Gannibal, P.B., Triebel, D., Gautam, A.J., Avasthi, S., Suetrong, S., Timdal, E., Fryar, S.C., Delgado, G., Réblová, M., Doilom, M., Dolatabadi, S., Pawłowska, J., Richard A. Humber, R.A., Kodsueb, R., SánchezCastro, I., Goto, B.T., Silva, D.K.A., Souza, F.A., Oehl, F., Silva, G.A., Silva, L.R., Błaszkowski, J., Jobim, K., Maia, L.C., Barbosa, F.R., Fiuza, P.O., Divakar, P.K., Shenoy, B.D., Castañeda-Ruiz, R.F., Somrithipol, S., Karunarathna, S.C., Tibpromma, S., Mortimer, P.E., Wanasinghe, D.N., Phookamsak, R., Xu, J., Wang, Y., Fenghua, T., Alvarado, P., Li, D.W., Kušan, L., Matočec, N., Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N., Papizadeh, M., Heredia, G., Wartchow, F., Bakhshi, M., Boehm, E., Youssef, N., Hustad, V.P., Lawrey, J.D., Santiago, A.L.C.M.A., Bezerra, J.D.P., Motta, C.M.S., Firmino, A.L., Tian, Q., Houbraken, J., Hongsanan, S., Kazuaki Tanaka, K., Dissanayake, A.J., Monteiro, J.S., Grossart, H.P., Suija, A., Weerakoon,

G., Etayo, J., Tsurykau, A., Kuhnert, E., Vázquez, V., Mungai, P., Damm, U., Li, Q.R., Zhang, H., Boonmee, S., Lu, Y.Z., Becerra, A.G., Kendrick, B., Brearley, F.Q., Motiejűnaitë, J., Sharma, B., Khare, R., Gaikwad, S., Wijesundara, D.S.A., Tang, L.Z., He, M.Q., Flakus, A., Flakus, P., Mikhail P.R., Zhurbenko, M.P., McKenzie, E.H.C., Stadler, M., Bhat, D.J., Liu, J.K., Raza, M., Jeewon, R., Nassonova, E.S., Prieto, M., Jayalal, U.R.G., Yurkov, A., Schnittler, M., Shchepin, O.N., Novozhilov, Y.K., Liu, P., Cavender, J.C., Kang, Y., Mohammad, S., Zhang, L.F., Xu, R.F., Li, Y.M., Dayarathne, M.C., Ekanayaka, A.H., Wen, T.C., Deng, C.Y., Lateef, A.A., Pereira, O.L., Navathe, S., Hawksworth, D.L., Fan, F.L., Dissanayake, L.S. & Erdoğdu, M. (2020) Outline of fungus and fungi-like taxa. *Mycosphere* 11:1060–1456.

https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/8

Zeng, X.Y., Zhao, J.J., Hongsanan, S., Chomnunti, P., Boonmee, S. & Wen, T.C. (2017) A checklist for identifying Meliolales species. *Mycosphere* 8: 218–359.

https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/8/1/16