



## Corrections to the nomenclature of genus *Diatoma* (Bacillariophyta: Fragilariaceae)

SAÚL BLANCO<sup>1\*</sup> & DAVID M. WILLIAMS<sup>2</sup>


<sup>1</sup> Department of Biodiversity and Environmental Management, University of Leon, Leon, Spain. Current address: The Institute of the Environment, La Serna, 58, 24007 Leon, Spain.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Life Sciences, the Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London, United Kingdom.

\*Corresponding author (sblal@unileon.es)

Within plants, algae and fungi, the rules of nomenclature (ICN, McNeill *et al.* 2012) require that adjectival specific—and infraspecific—epithets agree grammatically (gender concordance) with the generic name. Epithets not conforming to this rule, although validly published, are to be corrected (ICN art. 23.5) without change of the author citation or date. Despite that the original spelling of these epithets is generally to be retained (art. 60.1), improper Latin terminations are always to be changed to accord with, among others, the mentioned art. 23.5 (art. 32.2).

From a philological point of view, the gender of genus *Diatoma* Bory (1824: 461) is not evident. Generic names derived from Greek which end in *-ma* (*-μα*) are neuter, unless this is a rendering of *-mê* (*-μη*) (Stearn 1973). Christensen (1991) agrees that, despite Greek words formed on *-ομα* are neuter, *Diatoma* comes from the Greek *Διατομή* (which means “incision” or “cut in half”), and these Greek words ending in *-ομη*—which gives *-oma* in Latin—are feminine. Nicolson (1994) states explicitly that *Diatoma* is feminine (see also Zabinkova 1965).



**FIGURE 1.** References to ‘*Diatoma vulgare*’ and ‘*Diatoma vulgaris*’ in the literature since original description (1824), based on Google Scholar and Google Books results.

Art. 62.1 states that “a generic name retains the gender assigned by nomenclatural tradition, irrespective of classical usage or the author’s original usage”. For *Diatoma*, the literature has used predominantly neuter combinations (Hartman 1967). For example, we have observed (fig. 1) that the use of the neuter ‘*Diatoma vulgare*’ has been much more frequent than the correct feminine ‘*Diatoma vulgaris*’ through the last decades. Although Bory did not designate a generic type, his use of ‘*Diatoma vulgaris*’ confirms that he treated *Diatoma* as feminine, although most subsequent authors did not follow this criterion. As Bory acknowledges (Fourtanier & Kociolek 1999), he adopted a designation used earlier (*Diatoma* de Candolle in Lamarck 1805: 48) for this genus of araphid diatoms, so that Kanitz (1887) superfluously published the substitute name *Neodiatoma* Kanitz (1887: 5) to which a few species were transferred. Noteworthy, *Diatoma* had been used earlier to designate the Rhizophoraceae *Diatoma* Loureiro (1790: 296), *nom. rej.* (≡ *Carallia* Roxburgh 1811: 8) and, despite de Candolle considering *Diatoma* as neuter, both Loureiro’s *Diatoma* and Kanitz’s *Neodiatoma* (Guiry & Guiry 2014) are commonly (and originally) treated as feminine. When Bory’s *Diatoma* was conserved against previous usages (Lanjouw *et al.* 1961: 208), the proposed conserved type *D. vulgaris* was intentionally corrected to ‘*D. vulgare*’, erroneously assuming that the original feminine form was wrong (Christensen 1991). Later (and current) editions of the *Nomina generica conservanda* corrected it to *D. vulgaris*. According to art. 14.11, “a name may be conserved in order to preserve a particular spelling or gender”, and, despite *Diatoma* Bory was not preserved for this reason (but to preserve nomenclatural stability), we consider that the publication of *D. vulgaris* as the conserved type of *Diatoma* Bory, *nom. cons.* [“*Diatoma* Bory, Dict. Class. Hist. Nat. 5: 461. 15 Mai 1824. Typus: *D. vulgaris* Bory (typ. cons.)”] confirms also the gender of *Diatoma* as feminine.

Therefore, we provide hereby a corrected list of commonly referred *Diatoma* taxa (with adjectival epithets) known to have been used recently with improper terminations. Other combinations may be corrected henceforth likewise:

- Diatoma moniliformis* (Kützing 1833: 580) D.M. Williams (2012: 260)  
*Diatoma vulgaris* Bory (1824: 461)  
*Diatoma vugaris* var. *brevis* Grunow (1862: 49)  
*Diatoma vulgaris* var. *producta* Grunow (1862: 49)  
*Diatoma vulgaris* var. *ovalis* (Fricke in Schmidt *et al.* 1874–1944: 268) Lange-Bertalot *et al.* (1991: 116–117)  
*Diatoma vulgaris* var. *linearis* Grunow in Van Heurck (1881: 50)  
*Diatoma tenuis* C. Agardh (1812: 15)

## References

- Agardh, C.A. (1812) *Dispositio Algarum Sveciae*. Litteris Berlingianis, Lund. 45 pp.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.45472>
- Bory de Saint-Vincent, J.B.G.M. (1824a) diatome. *Diatoma*. zool. ? bot. crypt. (Arthrodiées). In: *Dictionnaire classique d'histoire naturelle, par Messieurs Audouin, Isid. Bourdon, Ad. Brongniart, De Candolle, Daubebard de Férusac, A. Desmoulin, Drapiez, Edwards, Flourens, Geoffroy de Saint-Hilaire, A. De Jussieu, Kunth, G. de Lafosse, Lamouroux, Latreille, Lucas fils, Presle-Duplessis, C. Prévost, A. Richard, Thiébaud de Berneaud, et Bory de Saint-Vincent. Ouvrage dirigé par ce dernier collaborateur, et dans lequel on a ajouté, pour le porter au niveau de la science, un grand nombre de mots qui n'avaient pu faire partie de la plupart des Dictionnaires antérieurs. Tome cinquième CRA—D.* Rey et Gravier; Baudoïn frères, Paris, pp. 1–461.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.33901>
- Christensen, T. (1991) The Diatoms. Biology and Morphology of the Genera. *Phycologia* 30: 233–235.  
<http://dx.doi:10.2216/i0031-8884-30-2-233.1>
- Fourtanier, E. & Kociolek, J.P. (1999) Catalogue of the Diatom Genera. *Diatom Research* 14: 1–190.  
<http://dx.doi:10.1080/0269249X.1999.9705462>
- Grunow, A. (1862) Die oesterreichischen Diatomaceen nebst Anschluss einiger neuen Arten von anderen Lokalitäten und einer kritischen Uebersicht der bisher bekannten Gattungen und Arten. Erste Folge. Epithemieae, Meridioneae, Diatomeae, Entopyleae, Surirelleae, Amphipleureae. *Verhandlungen Der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien* 12: 315–372.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.64361>
- Guiry, M.D. & Guiry, G.M. (2014) *AlgaeBase* World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. Available from: <http://www.algaebase.org> (accessed 2 December 2014).
- Hartman, A.A. (1967) Le Genre *Diatoma* aux Pays-Bas. *Phycologia* 6: 240–246.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-6-4-240.1>

- Kanitz, Á. (1887) *A növényrendszer áttekintése: Systematis vegetabilium janua, in usum auditorum R. Universitatis claudiopolitanae. Második átdolgozott kiadás.* Kolozsvárt, pp. 1–6.
- Kützing, F.T. (1833) Synopsis Diatomacearum oder Versuch einer systematischen Zusammenstellung der Diatomeen. *Linnaea* 8 (5): 529–620.
- Lamarck, J.B. de M. de (1805) *Flore française ou Descriptions succinctes de toutes les plantes qui croissent naturellement en France, disposées selon une nouvelle méthode d'analyse, et précédées par un Exposé des principes élémentaires de la botanique.* Desray, Paris, 922 pp.
- Lange-Bertalot, H., Rumrich, U. & Hofmann, G. (1991) Zur Revision der Gattung *Diatoma* Bory (Subgenus *Diatoma*, Bacillariophyceae). Identifikation ökologisch wichtiger, aber taxonomisch problematischer Arten. *Acta Biologica Benrodis* 3: 115–130.
- Loureiro, J. de (1790) *Flora Cochinchinensis, sistens plantas in regno Cochinchina nascentes, quibus accedunt aliae observatae in Sinensi Imperio, Africa Orientali, Indiæque locis variis: omnes dispositæ secundum systema sexuale linnæanum.* Typis, et expensis Academicis, Lisbon, 353 pp.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.560>
- McNeill, J., Barrie, F.R., Buck, W.R., Demoulin, V., Greuter, W., Hawkworth, D.L., Herendeen, P.S., Knapp, S., Marhold, K., Prado, J., Prud'homme van Reine, W.F., Smith, G.F., Wiersema, J.H. & Turland (Eds.) (2012) International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi, and Plants (Melbourne Code) *Regnum Vegetabile* 154: 1–208.
- Lanjouw, J., Baehni, C., Robyns, W., Ross, R., Rousseau, J., Schopf, J.M., Schulze, G.M., Smith, A.C., Vilmorin, R. de & Stafleu, F.A. (Eds.) (1961) International Code of Botanical Nomenclature, adopted by the Ninth International Botanical Congress, Montreal, August 1959. *Regnum Vegetabile* 23: 1–372.
- Roxburgh, W. (1811) *Plants of the Coast of Coromandel.* Bulmer, London, 100 pp.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.467>
- Schmidt, A. (1874–1944) *Atlas der Diatomaceen-Kunde.* R. Reisland, Leipzig, 1976 pp.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.64396>
- Stearn, W.T. (1973) *Botanical Latin.* David & Charles, Newton Abbot, 566 pp.
- Van Heurck, H.F. (1881) *Synopsis des diatomées de Belgique: Atlas.* Édité par l'auteur, Anvers, 291 pp.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.1990>
- Williams, D.M. (2012) *Diatoma moniliforme*: Commentary, relationships and an appropriate name. *Nova Hedwigia Beiheft* 141: 255–261.
- Zabinkova, N.N. (1965) Generic names ending in -ma and family names derived from them. *Taxon* 14: 184.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1217141>