



<https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.505.1.10>

Erratum: Maurya, S., Datar, M.N. & Choudhary, R.K. (2021) Lectotypification of four names in the genus *Capparis* (Capparaceae). *Phytotaxa* 500 (2): 125–132.

In our paper entitled “Lectotypification of four names in the genus *Capparis* (Capparaceae) (*Phytotaxa* 500(2): 125–132), we designated lectotype for *Capparis grandis* L.f. with three other names in the genus *Capparis* L. However, the name *Capparis grandis* L.f. was also lectotypified by Turner (2021) in his recent publication dealing with “Heyne, Roth, Roemer and Schultes, and the plant names published in *Novae plantarum species praesertim Indiae orientalis*”. We believe that both articles were under communication simultaneously in *Phytotaxa* and *Taxon*. However, Turner’s article got published prior to our article. Hence, we would like to submit that the lectotypification proposed by Turner should be considered valid as per the ICN rules dealing with the priority of publication.

The name *Capparis grandis* L.f. should be considered effectively lectotypified by Turner (2021) and our treatment may stand null and void. The correct lectotypification for *Capparis grandis* L.f. would be as mentioned below:

“Lectotype (designated by Turner (2021)): Ind. orient., J. Koenig s.n. (C barcode C10009042 [image!])”.

Reference

- Turner I.M. (2021) Heyne, Roth, Roemer and Schultes, and the plant names published in *Novae plantarum species praesertim Indiae orientalis*. *Taxon* 70 (2): 1–64.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12449>