



Multigene phylogeny and morphology reveal *Cytospora spiraeae* sp. nov. (Diaporthales, Ascomycota) in China

HAI-YAN ZHU¹, CHENG-MING TIAN¹ & XIN-LEI FAN^{1*}

¹ The Key Laboratory for Silviculture and Conservation of Ministry of Education, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China;

* Correspondence author: xinleifan@bjfu.edu.cn

Abstract

Members of *Cytospora* encompass important plant-associated pathogens, endophytes and saprobes, commonly isolated from a wide range of hosts with a worldwide distribution. Two specimens were collected associated with symptomatic canker and dieback disease of *Spiraea salicifolia* in Gansu, China. These isolates are characterized by its hyaline, biseriate, aseptate, elongate-allantoid ascospores and allantoid conidia. *Cytospora spiraeae* sp. nov. is introduced based on its holomorphic morphology plus support from phylogenetic analysis (ITS, LSU, ACT and RPB2), and differs from similar species in its host association.

Key words: Cytosporaceae, plant pathogen, systematics, taxonomy, *Valsa*

Introduction

The genus *Cytospora* (Ascomycota: Diaporthales) was established by Ehrenberg (1818). It is commonly famous as the important phytopathogens that cause dieback and canker disease on a wide range of plants, causing severe commercial and ecological damage and significant losses worldwide (Adams *et al.* 2005, 2006). Previous *Cytospora* species and related sexual genera *Leucostoma*, *Valsa*, *Valsella*, and *Valseutypella* were listed by old fungal literatures without any living culture and sufficient evidence to identify (Fries 1823; Saccardo 1884; Kobayashi 1970; Barr 1978; Gvritishvili 1982; Spielman 1983, 1985). Adams *et al.* (2005) revised genus *Cytospora* from *Eucalyptus* with 28 species and accepted all sexual genera were combined under *Valsa*, either as subgenera or species without additional infrageneric rank. Following the single-name for pleomorphic taxa, *Cytospora* (1818) was proposed to be the recommended name to against *Valsa* (1849) according to the older name and more common in nature (Rossman *et al.* 2015). More than 600 species epithets named *Cytospora* have been recorded in Index Fungorum (2017) with an estimated 110 species in Kirk *et al.* (2008). Recent studies have subsequently emphasized on part of *Cytospora* species using multiphase approaches to solve the confused frame (Fan *et al.* 2014a, 2014b, 2015a, 2015b; Yang *et al.* 2015; Lawrence *et al.* 2017; Norphanphoun *et al.* 2017). However, only ITS gene are available for most known *Cytospora* species, and ex-type sequence data are available for only a very few species and many taxa need epitypifying (Adams *et al.* 2005). Thus many of *Cytospora* species still need re-clarification and the identification to species level is difficult.

To facilitate species recognition of *Cytospora*, an investigation of forest pathogens that cause canker or dieback disease in China was performed. Three *Cytospora* specimens were collected from *Spiraea salicifolia* in Gansu Province, China. This species is characterized by hyaline, biseriate, aseptate, elongate-allantoid ascospores and allantoid conidia. Phylogenetic analysis inferred from combined ITS, LSU, ACT and RPB2 sequence data provided strong support that this is a new species. We introduce *Cytospora spiraeae* sp. nov. in this paper with a description and illustrations and compare it with other species in the genus.

Materials and methods

Isolates

Three isolates were isolated from infected branches or twigs of *Spiraea salicifolia* during collecting trips in Gansu Provinces in China (Table 1). The suspension of conidia was established by removing a mucoid spore mass from

conidiomata or ascomata, and spreading the suspension on the surface of 1.8 % potato dextrose agar (PDA) in a petri-dish, and incubating at 25 °C for up to 24 h. Single germinating conidia were transferred onto fresh PDA plates. Living cultures are deposited and now maintained at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC) and specimens are deposited in the Museum of the Beijing Forestry University (BJFC).

Morphology

Specimens were described based on the morphological characteristics of their fruiting bodies from infected host materials. The macro-morphological photographs were captured using a Leica stereomicroscope (M205 FA), including size and arrangement of stromata; presence or absence of special structure such as conceptacle and central column; number and diameter of ostioles per ectostromatic disc; shape and size of discs; number of locules. Micro-morphological observations include size and shape of conidiophores and conidia (asci and ascospores) determined under a Leica compound microscope (DM 2500) with differential interference contrast (DIC). Over 20 conidiomata were sectioned and 50 conidia were selected randomly to measure their lengths and widths. Colony diameters were measured and the colony colours described after 3 days and 30 days according to the colour charts of Rayner (1970). Adobe Bridge CS v. 6 and Adobe Photoshop CS v. 5 were used for the manual editing. Nomenclatural novelties and descriptions were deposited in MycoBank (Crous *et al.* 2004).

DNA isolation, amplification and sequencing

Fungal mycelium grown on PDA was scraped from the agar and used for genomic DNA extraction using a CTAB method (Doyle & Doyle 1990). The ITS region was amplified using the primers ITS1 and ITS4 (White *et al.* 1990). The LSU region was amplified using the primers LR0R and LR7 (Vilgalys & Hester 1990). The partial ACT region was amplified using primers ACT512F and ACT783R (Carbone & Kohn 1999). The RPB2 region was amplified using primers RPB2-5F and fRPB2-7cR (Liu *et al.* 1999). The PCR products were sequenced in two directions using the PCR primers and the BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and performed with an ABI Prism 3730XL Sequencer (Applied Biosystems) according to the instructions of manufacturer. The multiple sequences generated by each primer combination were used to obtain consensus sequences using Seqman v. 7.1.0 in the DNASTAR lasergene core suite software (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI, USA).

Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 6 (Katoh & Standley 2013) and edited manually using MEGA v. 6.0 (Tamura *et al.* 2013). Phylogenetic relationships were inferred by maximum parsimony (MP), and confirmed using maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI). A MP analysis was performed using PAUP v. 4.0b10 with a heuristic search option of 1000 random-addition sequences with a tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch swapping algorithm (Swofford *et al.* 2003). The branches of zero length were collapsed and all equally parsimonious trees were saved. Other parsimony scores such as tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI) and rescaled consistency (RC) were calculated (Swofford *et al.* 2003). A ML tree analysis was performed with GTR+G+I model of site substitution including estimation of Gamma-distributed rate heterogeneity and a proportion of invariant sites using RAxMLv.7.2.8 (Stamatakis 2006).

MrModeltest v. 2.3 was performed to estimate the best nucleotide substitution model settings for each gene (Posada & Crandall 1998). Bayesian inference (BI) employing a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm was performed using in MrBayes v. 3.1.2 based on the individual DNA dataset from the results of the MrModeltest (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). Two MCMC chains were run from random trees for 1000000 generations and trees were sampled each 100th generations. The first 25 % of trees were discarded as the burn-in phase of each analysis, and the posterior probabilities (BPP) were calculated to assess the remaining trees (Rannala & Yang 1996). The branch support from MP and ML analysis were evaluated with a bootstrapping (BS) method of 1000 replicates (Hillis & Bull 1993). *Diaporthe vaccinii* was selected as outgroup in all analyses. Phylograms are shown using Figtree v. 1.3.1 (Rambaut & Drummond 2010). Novel sequences data was deposited in GenBank (Table 1) and the multilocus sequences alignment file and ITS sequence-alignment file were maintained in TreeBASE (www.treebase.org; accession number: S22039).

Table 1. Strains of *Cytospora* used in the molecular analyses in this study.

Species	Strain	Host	Origin	GenBank accession numbers			
				ITS	LSU	ACT	RPB2
<i>Cytospora abyssinica</i>	CMW 10181 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Wondo Genet, Ethiopia	AY347353	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora abyssinica</i>	CMW 10178	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Wondo Genet, Ethiopia	AY347354	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora abyssinica</i>	CMW 10179	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Wondo Genet, Ethiopia	AY347352	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora acaciae</i>	CBS 468.69	<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i> fruit	Spain, Mallorca	DQ243804	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora ambiens</i>	CFCC 89622	<i>Pyrus bretschneideri</i>	Lanzhou, Gansu	KR045616	KR045698	KU710988	KU710944
<i>Cytospora ambiens</i>	CFCC 89894	<i>Pyrus bretschneideri</i>	Yichuan, Ningxia	KR045617	KR045699	KU710989	KU710945
<i>Cytospora ampulliformis</i>	MFLUCC 16-0583 ^T	<i>Sorbus intermedia</i>	Russia	KY417726	KY417692	KY417692	KY417794
<i>Cytospora ampulliformis</i>	MFLUCC 16-0629	<i>Acer platanoides</i>	Russia	KY417727	KY417693	KY417693	KY417795
<i>Cytospora atrocirrhatta</i>	CFCC 89615	<i>Juglans regia</i>	Xining, Qinghai	KR045618	KF498673	KF498673	KU710946
<i>Cytospora atrocirrhatta</i>	CFCC 89616	<i>Juglans regia</i>	Xining, Qinghai	KR045619	KF498674	KF498674	KU710947
<i>Cytospora atrocirrhatta</i>	22	<i>Salix excelsa</i>	Iran	EF447305	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora austromontana</i>	CMW 6735 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus pauciflora</i>	Australia	AY347361	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora berberidis</i>	CFCC 89927 ^T	<i>Berberis dasystachya</i>	China	KR045620	KR045702	KU710990	KU710948
<i>Cytospora berberidis</i>	CFCC 89933	<i>Berberis dasystachya</i>	China	KR045621	KR045703	KU710991	KU710949
<i>Cytospora berkeleyi</i>	StanfordT3 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	California, USA	AY347350	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora berkeleyi</i>	UCBTwig3	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	California, USA	AY347349	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora brevispora</i>	CBS 116829	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Venezuela	AF192321	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora brevispora</i>	CBS 116811 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i> × <i>tereticornis</i>	Democratic Republic of the Congo	AF192315	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora cabonacea</i>	CFCC 50055	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Shaanxi, China	KP281262	KP310808	KP310838	-
<i>Cytospora cabonacea</i>	CFCC 50058	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Heilongjiang, China	KP281264	KP310810	KP310840	-
<i>Cytospora carbonacea</i>	CFCC 89947	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Qinghai, China	KR045622	KP310812	KP310842	KU710950
<i>Cytospora cedri</i>	CBS 196.50	-	Italy	AF192311	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora chrysoesperma</i>	CFCC 89982	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Tibet, China	KP281261	KP310805	KP310835	-
<i>Cytospora chrysoesperma</i>	CFCC 89630	<i>Salix psammophila</i>	Shaanxi, China	KF765674	KF765690	KF765722	-
<i>Cytospora chrysoesperma</i>	CFCC 89600	<i>Sophora japonica</i>	Gansu, China	KR045623	KU710804	KU710992	KU710951
<i>Cytospora cincta</i>	CFCC 89956	<i>Prunus cerasifera</i>	China	KR045624	KR045704	KU710993	KU710953
<i>Cytospora cincta</i>	CFCC 89960	<i>Prunus cerasifera</i>	Ningxia, China	KR045625	KR045705	KU710994	KU710954
<i>Cytospora cinerostroma</i>	CMW 5700 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Chile	AY347377	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora cotini</i>	MFLUCC 14-1050 ^T	<i>Cotinus coggygria</i>	Russia	KX430142	KX430143	-	KX430144
<i>Cytospora cotini</i>	MFLUCC 15-0863 ^T	<i>Ulmus minor</i>	Russia	KY417759	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora curvata</i>	MFLUCC 15-0865 ^T	<i>Salix alba</i>	Russia	KY417728	KY417762	KY417694	KY417796
<i>Cytospora davidiana</i>	CXY1350 ^T	<i>Populus davidiana</i>	China	KM034870	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora davidiana</i>	CXY1374	<i>Populus davidiana</i>	China	KM034869	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora diatripelloidea</i>	CMW 8549 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Orbost, Australia	AY347368	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora disciformis</i>	CMW 6509 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Uruguay	AY347374	-	-	-

continued on the next page...

Table 1. (Continued)

Species	Strain	Host	Origin	GenBank accession numbers			
				ITS	LSU	ACT	RPB2
<i>Cytospora disciformis</i>	CMW 6750	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Australia	AY347359	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora donezica</i>	MFLUCC 16-0574 ^T	<i>Rosa</i> sp.	Russia	KY417731	KY417764	KY417696	KY417798
<i>Cytospora donezica</i>	MFLUCC 16-0589	<i>Salix alba</i>	Russia	KY417732	KY417766	KY417698	KY417800
<i>Cytospora elaeagni</i>	CFCC 89632	<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>	Ningxia, China	KR045626	KR045706	KU710995	KU710955
<i>Cytospora elaeagni</i>	CFCC 89633	<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>	Ningxia, China	KF765677	KF765693	KU710996	KU710956
<i>Cytospora eriobotryae</i>	IMI136523 ^T	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>	India	AY347327	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora erumpens</i>	MFLUCC 16-0580 ^T	<i>Salix × fragilis</i>	Russia	KY417733	KY417767	KY417699	KY417801
<i>Cytospora eucalypti</i>	LSEQ	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	California, USA	AY347340	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora eucalypticola</i>	ATCC 96150 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus nitens</i>	Tasmania, Australia	AY347358	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora eucalypticola</i>	CMW 5309	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Entebbe, Uganda	AF260266	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora eucalyptina</i>	CMW 5882	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Cali, Columbia	AY347375	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora eugeniae</i>	CMW 7029	<i>Tibouchina</i> sp.	Brisbane, Australia	AY347364	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora eugeniae</i>	CMW 8648	<i>Eugenia</i> sp.	Indonesia	AY347344	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora fugax</i>	CBS 203.42	<i>Salix</i> sp.	Switzerland	AY347323	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora germanica</i>	CXY1322	<i>Elaeagnus oxycarpa</i>	China	JQ086563	JX524617	-	-
<i>Cytospora gigaspora</i>	CFCC 89620 ^T	<i>Juglans regia</i>	Xining, Qinghai	KR045628	KR045708	KU710997	KU710957
<i>Cytospora gigaspora</i>	CFCC 89621	<i>Juglans regia</i>	Xining, Qinghai	KR045629	KR045709	KU710998	KU710958
<i>Cytospora gigaspora</i>	CFCC 50014	<i>Juniperus procumbens</i>	Shanxi, China:	KR045630	KR045710	KU710999	KU710959
<i>Cytospora gigaspora</i>	CFCC 89634 ^T	<i>Salix psammophila</i>	China	KF765671	KF765687	KU711000	KU710960
<i>Cytospora hippophaës</i>	CFCC 89639	<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i>	Gansu, China	KR045632	KR045712	KU711001	KU710961
<i>Cytospora hippophaës</i>	CFCC 89640	<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i>	Gansu, China	KF765682	KF765698	KF765730	KU710962
<i>Cytospora japonica</i>	CBS375.29	<i>Prunus persicae</i>	Japan	AF191185	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora kamschavelii</i>	287-2	<i>Populus deltoides</i>	Iran	EF447367	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora kamschavelii</i>	CXY1383	<i>Populus maximowiczii</i>	China	KM034867	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora kunzei</i>	CBS 118556	<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Eastern Cape, SA	DQ243791	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora leucostoma</i>	CFCC 50015	<i>Sorbus pohuashanensis</i>	China	KR045634	KR045714	KU711002	-
<i>Cytospora leucostoma</i>	CFCC 50023	<i>Cornus alba</i>	China	KR045635	KR045715	KU711003	-
<i>Cytospora longostiolata</i>	MFLUCC 16-0628 ^T	<i>Salix × fragilis</i>	Russia	KY417734	KY417768	KY417700	KY417802
<i>Cytospora mali</i>	CFCC 50031	<i>Crataegus</i> sp.	Taiyuan, Shanxi	KR045636	KR045716	KU711004	KU710965
<i>Cytospora mali</i>	CFCC 50044	<i>Malus baccata</i>	Haidong, Qinghai	KR045637	KR045717	KU711005	KU710966
<i>Cytospora melnikii</i>	MFLUCC 15-0851 ^T	<i>Malus domestica</i>	Russia	KY417735	KY417769	KY417701	KY417803
<i>Cytospora melnikii</i>	MFLUCC 16-0635	<i>Populus nigra</i> var. <i>italica</i>	Russia	KY417736	KY417770	KY417702	KY417804
<i>Cytospora mougeotii</i>	ATCC 44994	<i>Picea abies</i>	Norway	AY347318	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora multicolis</i>	CBS 105.89 ^T	<i>Quercus ilex</i> subsp. <i>rotundifolia</i>	Spain	DQ243803	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora myrtagena</i>	CBS 116843 ^T	<i>Tibouchina urvilleana</i>	Hilo, Hawaii	AY347363	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora nitschkii</i>	CMW10180 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Wondo Genet, Ethiopia	AY347356	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora nitschkii</i>	CMW10184	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Wondo Genet, Ethiopia	AY347355	-	-	-

continued on the next page...

Table 1. (Continued)

Species	Strain	Host	Origin	GenBank accession numbers			
				ITS	LSU	ACT	RPB2
<i>Cytospora nivea</i>	MFLUCC 15-0860	<i>Salix acutifolia</i> Willd.	Russia	KY417737	KY417771	KY417703	KY417805
<i>Cytospora nivea</i>	CMW 5274	<i>Populus canescens</i>	South Africa: Belfast, Mpumalanga	DQ243794	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora nivea</i>	CFCC 89641	<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>	China	KF765683	KF765699	KU711006	KU710967
<i>Cytospora nivea</i>	CFCC 89643	<i>Salix psammophila</i>	China	KF765685	-	-	KU710968
<i>Cytospora palm</i>	CXY1276	<i>Cotinus coggygria</i>	Beijing, China	JN402990	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora palm</i>	CXY1280 ^T	<i>Cotinus coggygria</i>	Beijing, China	JN411939	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora parakantschavelii</i>	MFLUCC 15-0857 ^T	<i>Populus × sibirica</i>	Russia	KY417738	KY417772	KY417704	KY417806
<i>Cytospora parakantschavelii</i>	MFLUCC 16-0575	<i>Pyrus pyraeaster</i>	Russia	KY417739	KY417773	KY417705	KY417807
<i>Cytospora parapersoonii</i>	T28.1 ^T	<i>Prunus persicae</i>	Michigan, USA	AF191181	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora parasitica</i>	MFLUCC 15-0507 ^T	<i>Malus domestica</i>	Russia	KY417740	KY417774	KY417706	KY417808
<i>Cytospora paratranslucens</i>	MFLUCC 15-0506 ^T	<i>Populus alba</i> var. <i>bolleana</i> (Lauche) Otto	Russia	KY417741	KY417775	KY417707	KY417809
<i>Cytospora paratranslucens</i>	MFLUCC 16-0627	<i>Populus alba</i>	Russia	KY417742	KY417776	KY417708	KY417810
<i>Cytospora pini</i>	CBS 197.42	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Switzerland	AY347332	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora pini</i>	CBS224.52 ^T	<i>Pinus strobus</i>	New York	AY347316	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora populina</i>	CFCC 89644	<i>Salix psammophila</i>	Shaanxi, China	KF765686	KF765702	KU711007	KU710969
<i>Cytospora pruinopsis</i>	CFCC 50034 ^T	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Shaanxi, China	KP281259	KP310806	KP310836	KU710970
<i>Cytospora pruinopsis</i>	CFCC 50035	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Heilongjiang, China	KP281260	KP310807	KP310837	KU710971
<i>Cytospora pruinosa</i>	CFCC 50036	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i>	Qinghai, China	KP310800	KP310802	KP310832	-
<i>Cytospora pruinosa</i>	CBS 201.42 ^T	<i>Syringa</i> sp.	Switzerland	DQ243801	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora rhizophorae</i>	MUCC302	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Australia	EU301057	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora ribis</i>	CFCC 50026	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Qinghai, China	KP281267	KP310813	KP310843	KU710972
<i>Cytospora ribis</i>	CFCC 50027	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	Qinghai, China	KP281268	KP310814	KP310844	-
<i>Cytospora ribis</i>	CFCC 50038	<i>Platycladus orientalis</i>	Gansu, China	KT222840	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora ribis</i>	CFCC 50039	<i>Platycladus orientalis</i>	Gansu, China	KR045642	KR045721	KU711008	KU710973
<i>Cytospora ribis</i>	CBS 187.36	<i>Ribes rubrum</i>	Netherlands	DQ243810	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora rostrata</i>	CFCC 89909 ^T	<i>Salix cupularis</i>	Gansu, China	KR045643	KR045722	KU711009	KU710974
<i>Cytospora rostrata</i>	CFCC 89910	<i>Salix cupularis</i>	Gansu, China	KR045644	KR045723	KU711010	KU710975
<i>Cytospora rusanovii</i>	MFLUCC 15-0853	<i>Populus × sibirica</i>	Russia	KY417743	KY417777	KY417709	KY417811
<i>Cytospora rusanovii</i>	MFLUCC 15-0854 ^T	<i>Salix babylonica</i>	Russia	KY417744	KY417778	KY417710	KY417812
<i>Cytospora sacculus</i>	CBS 116.21	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	Netherlands	AY347335	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora sacculus</i>	CFCC 89624	<i>Juglans regia</i>	China	KR045645	KR045724	-	KU710976
<i>Cytospora sacculus</i>	CFCC 89626	<i>Juglans regia</i>	Shaanxi Province, China	KR045647	KR045726	KU711011	KU710978
<i>Cytospora sacculus</i>	CBS 192.42	<i>Taxus baccata</i>	Switzerland	AY347333	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora salicacearum</i>	MFLUCC 15-0861	<i>Salix × fragilis</i>	Russia	KY417745	KY417779	KY417711	KY417813
<i>Cytospora salicacearum</i>	MFLUCC 15-0509 ^T	<i>Salix alba</i>	Russia	KY417746	KY417780	KY417712	KY417814
<i>Cytospora salicicola</i>	MFLUCC 15-0866	<i>Salix alba</i>	Russia	KY417749	KY417783	KY417715	KY417817

continued on the next page...

Table 1. (Continued)

Species	Strain	Host	Origin	GenBank accession numbers			
				ITS	LSU	ACT	RBP2
<i>Cytospora salicicola</i>	MFLUCC 14-1052	-	-	KU982636	KU982635	KU982637	-
<i>Cytospora salicina</i>	MFLUCC 15-0862 ^T	<i>Salix alba</i>	Russia	KY417750	KY417784	KY417716	KY417818
<i>Cytospora salicina</i>	MFLUCC 16-0637	<i>Salix × fragilis</i>	Russia	KY417751	KY417785	KY417717	KY417819
<i>Cytospora schulzeri</i>	CFCC 50040	<i>Malus domestica</i>	Ningxia, China	KR045649	KR045728	KU711013	KU710980
<i>Cytospora schulzeri</i>	CFCC 50042	<i>Malus asiatica</i>	Qinghai, China	KR045650	KR045729	KU711014	KU710981
<i>Cytospora sibiraeae</i>	CFCC 50045 ^T	<i>Sibiraea angustata</i>	Gansu, China	KR045651	KR045730	KU711015	KU710982
<i>Cytospora sibiraeae</i>	CFCC 50046	<i>Sibiraea angustata</i>	Gansu, China	KR045652	KR045731	KU711015	KU710983
<i>Cytospora sophorae</i>	CFCC 50047	<i>Syphnolobium japonicum</i>	Shanxi, China	KR045653	KR045732	KU711017	KU710984
<i>Cytospora sophorae</i>	CFCC 89598	<i>Syphnolobium japonicum</i>	Gansu, China	KR045654	KR045733	KU711018	KU710985
<i>Cytospora sophorae</i>	CFCC 89597 = HMBF CGHs7	<i>Syphnolobium japonicum</i> var: <i>pendula</i>	Gansu, China	KC880151	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora sophoricola</i>	CFCC 89596	<i>Syphnolobium japonicum</i> var: <i>pendula</i>	Gansu, China	KR045656	KR045735	KU711020	KU710987
<i>Cytospora sophoricola</i>	CFCC 89595 ^T	<i>Syphnolobium japonicum</i> var: <i>pendula</i>	Gansu, China	KR045655	KR045734	KU711019	KU710986
<i>Cytospora sorbi</i>	MFLUCC 16-0631 ^T	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	Russia	KY417752	KY417786	KY417718	KY417820
<i>Cytospora sorbicola</i>	MFLUCC 16-0584 ^T	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	Russia	KY417755	KY417789	KY417721	KY417823
<i>Cytospora sorbicola</i>	MFLUCC 16-0633	<i>Cotoneaster melanocarpus</i>	Russia	KY417758	KY417792	KY417724	KY417826
<i>Cytospora spiraeae</i>	CFCC 50049^T	<i>Spiraea salicifolia</i>	Gansu, China	MG707859	MG707643	MG708196	MG708199
<i>Cytospora spiraeae</i>	CFCC 50050	<i>Spiraea salicifolia</i>	Gansu, China	MG707860	MG707644	MG708197	MG708200
<i>Cytospora spiraeae</i>	CFCC 50051	<i>Spiraea salicifolia</i>	Gansu, China	MG707861	MG707645	MG708198	MG708201
<i>Cytospora tanaitica</i>	MFLUCC141057 ^T	<i>Betula pubescens</i>	Russia	KT459411	KT459412	KT459413	-
<i>Cytospora tibouchinae</i>	CPC 26333 ^T	<i>Tibouchina semidecandra</i>	La Reunion, France	KX228284	KX228335	-	-
<i>Cytospora valsoidae</i>	CMW 4309 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Sibisa, North Sumatra	AF192312	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora valsoidae</i>	CMW 4310	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Sibisa, North Sumatra	AF192312	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora variostromatica</i>	CMW 6766 ^T	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Australia	AY347366	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora variostromatica</i>	CMW 1240	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	KwaMbonambi, SA	AF260263	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora variostromatica</i>	PPRI5297	<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	Pretoria, SA	AF260264	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora vinacea</i>	CBS 141585 ^T	<i>Vitis interspecific</i>	New Hampshire, USA	KX256256	-	-	-
<i>Cytospora viticola</i>	CBS 141586 ^T	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	Connecticut, USA	KX256239	-	-	-
<i>Diaporthe vaccinii</i>	CBS 160.32	<i>Vaccinium macrocarpon</i>	Massachusetts, USA	KC343228	-	JQ807297	-
<i>Valsa friesii</i>	CBS 194.42	<i>Abies alba</i>	Switzerland	AY347328	-	-	-

The new strains from the current study are in bold. Ex-type taxa are marked with a T.

Results

The phylogenetic analysis of ITS sequence data contained 134 *Cytospora* ingroup strains with a total of 597 characters including gaps, of which 359 characters are constant, 63 variable characters are parsimony-uninformative and 175 characters are variable and parsimony-informative. MP analyses generated 200 parsimonious trees, one of which is presented in Fig. 1 (TL = 1009, CI = 0.378, RI = 0.815, RC = 0.308). ML and Bayesian analyses were similar to the MP tree. *Cytospora spiraeae* representde a monophyletic clade with high support value (MP/ML/BI = 99/95/0.99) (marked in blue in Fig. 1).




FIGURE 1. Phylogram of *Cytospora* based on ITS gene. MP and ML bootstrap support values above 50 % are shown at the first and second position. Thickened branches represent posterior probabilities above 0.95 from BI. Ex-type strains are in bold. Strains in current study are in blue.

The second phylogenetic analysis are performed based on available ITS, LSU, ACT and RPB2 sequence dataset. The multi-locus analysis includes 75 *Cytospora* ingroup strains with a total of 2141 characters including gaps (566 characters for ITS, 522 for LSU, 326 for ACT and 727 for RPB2), of which 1497 characters are constant, 124 variable characters are parsimony-uninformative and 520 characters are variable and parsimony-informative. MP analyses generated six parsimonious trees, one of which is presented in Fig. 1 (TL = 2338, CI = 0.412, RI = 0.798, RC = 0.329). ML and Bayesian analyses were similar to the MP tree. *Cytospora spiraeae* also formed a monophyletic clade (MP/ML/BI = 100/100/1) (marked in blue in Fig 2). The MP bootstrap supports (BS) equal to or above 50 % were shown in branches in Fig. 1. The branches with significant Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP) equal to or above 0.95 are shown in the phylogram (Table 1).




FIGURE 1. (continued)




FIGURE 1. (continued)

Taxonomy

Cytospora spiraeae Fan *sp. nov.* Fig. 3

Mycobank MB 823824

Holotype:—China, Gansu Province, Gannan City, 34°17'22.16"N, 102°58'44.23"E, from branches of *Spiraea salicifolia*, Aug. 2012, X.L. Fan, deposited by X.L. Fan, holotype BJFC-S784, ex-type living culture CFCC 50049.

Etymology:—Named after the host genus on which it was collected, *Spiraea*.

Descriptions:—*Ascostromata* immersed in the bark, erumpent through the surface of bark in a large area, scattered, (920–)950–1100(–1240) μm in diam., with 5–8 perithecia arranged circularly or irregularly. *Conceptacle* absent. *Ectostromatic disc* dark grey to black, usually surrounded by tightly ostiolar necks, triangular to circular, (240–)280–340(–390) μm in diam., with 5–8 ostioles arranged circularly per disc. *Ostioles* numerous, dark brown to black, at the same or above level as the disc, concentrated, arranged triangularly to circularly in a disc, (50–)52.5–80(–98) μm in diam. *Perithecia* dark grey to black, flask-shaped to spherical, arranged circularly, (250–)270–400(–440) μm in diam. *Asci* free, clavate to elongate obovoid, (25.5–)27–35(–37) \times (5–)6.5–8(–9.5) μm , 8-spored. *Ascospores* biseriolate, elongate-allantoid, thin-walled, hyaline, aseptate, (6–)7–8(–8.5) \times (1.5–)2–2.5 μm . *Pycnidial stromata* ostiolated, immersed in bark, scattered, erumpent slightly through the surface of bark, with multiple locules. *Conceptacle* absent.

Ectostromatic disc dark brown, un conspicuous, circular, (200–)225–290(–325) µm in diam., with 1–4 ostioles per disc. *Ostioles* black, un conspicuous, at the same level as the disc surface, arranged circularly in a disc, (40–)45–70(–85) µm in diam. *Locule* numerous, subdivided frequently by invaginations with common walls, (980–)1050–1250(–1320) µm in diam. *Conidiophores* hyaline, branched at base or not branched, thin walled, filamentous. *Conidiogenous cells* enteroblastic polyphialidic. *Conidia* hyaline, allantoid, smooth, aseptate, thin-wall, (5–)5.5–6.5(–7) × (1–)1.5 µm.

Culture characteristics: Culture on PDA is initially white, becoming fawn after 7–10 days. The colony is flat, felt-like, thin with a uniform texture, lacking aerial mycelium. Pycnidia distributed irregularly on medium surface.




FIGURE 2. Phylogram of *Cytospora* based on combined ITS, LSU, ACT and RPB2 genes. MP and ML bootstrap support values above 50 % are shown at the first and second position. Thickened branches represent posterior probabilities above 0.95 from BI. Ex-type strains are in bold. Strains in current study are in blue.




FIGURE 2. (continued)

Materials examined:—**China, Gansu Province**, Gannan City, 34°17'22.16"N, 102°58'44.23"E, from branches of *Spiraea salicifolia*, Aug. 2012, X.L. Fan, deposited by X.L. Fan, BJFC-S785, living culture CFCC 50050; **Shanxi Province**, Datong City, Wenying Lake Park, 40°04'32.02"N, 113°22'13.17"E, from branches of *Spiraea salicifolia*, Apr. 2014, X.L. Fan, deposited by X.L. Fan, BJFC-S1058, living culture CFCC 50051.

Notes:—*Cytospora spiraeae* is associated with canker disease of *Spiraea salicifolia*. Morphologically, this species possesses obviously multiple ostioles and locules with common walls, which is similar with *Cytospora carbonacea* and *Cytospora schulzeri*, species but the host. *Cytospora spiraeae* has smaller locules with a centre column as compared with *C. schulzeri* (950–1100 vs. 1400–1500 μm in diam.), and it has smaller conidia than *C. carbonacea* (5.5–6.5 \times 1.5 vs. 9–13 \times 2–3 μm) (Fotouhifar *et al.* 2007; Zhang *et al.* 2014; Yang *et al.* 2015). The clear phylogenetic distinction with all other available strains included in this study, resulted in this species represented an individual clade. *Cytospora spiraeae* represented the unique *Cytospora* isolated from *Spiraea salicifolia* in China. Thus the current study indicated is as a new species and provides the clear phylogenetic distinction with all other available strains and holomorphic descriptions.




FIGURE 3. Morphology of *Cytospora spiraeae* from *Spiraea salicifolia* (BJFC-S784). A: Habit of ascomata on twig. B: Transverse section of ascomata. C: Longitudinal section through ascomata. D: Habit of conidiomata on twig. E: Transverse section of conidiomata. F: Longitudinal section through conidiomata. G–H: Asci. I: Ascospores. J–K: Conidiophores and Conidiogenous cells. L: Conidia. M: Colonies on PDA at 3 days (left) and 30 days (right). Scale bars: B–C, E–F = 500 μ m; G–L = 10 μ m.

Discussion

The taxa investigated in the current study revealed *Cytospora spiraeae* sp. nov. infecting branches and twigs of *Sibiraea angustata* in China. Phylogenetic studies published on the genus *Cytospora* in recent years have been substantially influenced by Adams *et al.* (2005). However, only ITS gene are available for most known *Cytospora* species, which caused confused species delimitation. In the current phylogram, *C. chrysosperma* complex, *C. leucostoma* complex, *C. nivea* complex and *C. ribis* complex were proposed to accommodate relative species due to the unclear separation among them (Fig. 1). In the past five years alone, the polyphasic approach (morphological identification and phylogenetic species recognition concept) has led to the descriptions of additional new species of *Cytospora* in China (Wang *et al.* 2013; Zhang *et al.* 2014; Fan *et al.* 2014a, 2014b, 2015a, 2015b; Yang *et al.* 2015; Lawrence *et al.* 2017; Norphanphoun *et al.* 2017), which were included here. The current study indicated that the *Cytospora spiraeae* represents a novel species with high support value (MP/ML/BI = 100/100/1) (Fig. 2).

In future studies of *Cytospora*, extensive fresh collections should be collected to help clarify the species concepts of taxa presently still lacking types linked to multigene DNA data, especially in northwestern China, the phytopathogen hotspot with many species of *Cytospora* from host families associated with canker disease, seems an attractive region for the discovery of new taxa in this genus.

Acknowledgements

This study is financed by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Project No.: BLX201613) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No.: 31670647).

References

- Adams, G.C., Roux, J. & Wingfield, M.J. (2006) *Cytospora* species (Ascomycota, Diaporthales, Valsaceae), introduced and native pathogens of trees in South Africa. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 35: 521–548.
<https://doi.org/10.1071/AP06058>
- Adams, G.C., Roux, J., Wingfield, M.J. & Common, R. (2005) Phylogenetic relationships and morphology of *Cytospora* species and related teleomorphs (Ascomycota, Diaporthales, Valsaceae) from *Eucalyptus*. *Studies in Mycology* 52: 1–144.
- Barr, M.E. (1978) The Diaporthales in North America with emphasis on *Gnomonia* and its segregates. *Mycologia Memoir* 7: 1–232.
- Carbone, I. & Kohn, L. (1999) A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* 91: 553–556.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/3761358>
- Crous, P.W., Gams, W., Stalpers, J.A., Robert, V. & Stegehuis, G. (2004) MycoBank: an online initiative to launch mycology into the 21st century. *Studies in Mycology* 50: 19–22.
- Doyle, J.J. & Doyle, J.L. (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus* 12: 13–15.
- Ehrenberg, C.G. (1818) *Sylvae Mycologicae Berolinenses*. Formis Theophili Brusckke, Berlin, Germany.
- Fan, X.L., Liang, Y.M., Ma, R. & Tian, C.M. (2014a) Morphological and phylogenetic studies of *Cytospora* (Valsaceae, Diaporthales) isolates from Chinese scholar tree, with description of a new species. *Mycoscience* 55: 252–259.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.myc.2013.10.001>
- Fan, X.L., Tian, C.M., Yang, Q., Liang, Y.M., You, C.J. & Zhang, Y.B. (2014b) *Cytospora* from *Salix* in northern China. *Mycotaxon* 129: 303–315.
<https://doi.org/10.5248/129.303>
- Fan, X.L., Hyde, K.D., Liu, M., Liang, Y.M. & Tian, C.M. (2015a) *Cytospora* species associated with walnut canker disease in China, with description of a new species *C. gigalocus*. *Fungal Biology* 119: 310–319.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2014.12.011>
- Fan, X.L., Hyde, K.D., Yang, Q., Liang, Y.M., Ma, R. & Tian, C.M. (2015b) *Cytospora* species associated with canker disease of three anti-desertification plants in northwestern China. *Phytotaxa* 197: 227–244.
<https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.197.4.1>
- Fotouhifar, K.B., Hedjaroude, G.A. & Leuchtmann, A. (2010) ITS rDNA phylogeny of Iranian strains of *Cytospora* and associated teleomorphs. *Mycologia* 102: 1369–1382.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3852/10-034>
- Fries, E.M. (1823) *Systema mycologicum vol 2*, Greifswald, Germany. [in Latin]
- Gvritshvili, M.N. (1982) *The fungal genus Cytospora in the USSR*. Izdatelstve Sabchota Sakarstvelo, Tbilici, Russia.
- Hillis, D.M. & Bull, J.J. (1993) An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. *Systematic Biology* 42: 182–192.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/42.2.182>
- Katoh, K. & Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30: 772–780.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Kirk, P.M., Cannon, P.F., Minter, D.W. & Stalpers, J.A. (2008) *Ainsworth & Bisby's dictionary of the Fungi, 10rd edn*. Wallingford, UK.
<https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851998268.0000>
- Kobayashi, T. (1970) *Taxonomic studies of Japanese Diaporthaceae with special reference to their life-histories*. Tokyo, Japan.
- Lawrence, D.P., Travadon, R., Pouzoulet, J., Rolshausen, P.E. Wilcox, W.F. & Baumgartner, K. (2017) Characterization of *Cytospora* isolates from wood cankers of declining grapevine in North America, with the descriptions of two new *Cytospora* species. *Plant Pathology* 5: 713–725.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12621>
- Liu, Y.L., Whelen, S. & Hall, B.D. (1999) Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 1799–1808.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092>
- Norphanphoun, C., Doilom, M., Daranagama, D.A., Phookamsak, R., Wen, T.C., Bulgakov, T.S. & Hyde, K.D. (2017) Revisiting the genus *Cytospora* and allied species. *Mycosphere* 8: 51–97.
<https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/8/1/7>

- Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. (1998) Modeltest: Testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14: 817–818.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817>
- Rambaut, A. & Drummond, A. (2010) *FigTree v.1.3.1*. Institute of evolutionary biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
- Rannala, B. & Yang, Z. (1996) Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: a new method of phylogenetic inference. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 43: 304–311.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338839>
- Rayner, R.W. (1970) *A Mycological Colour Chart*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, UK.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>
- Rossmann, A.Y., Adams, G.C., Cannon, P.F., Castlebury, L.A., Crous, P.W., Gryzenhout, M., Jaklitsch, W.M., Mejia, L.C., Stoykov, D., Udayanga, D., Voglmayr, H. & Walker, D.M. (2015) Recommendations of generic names in Diaporthales competing for protection or use. *IMA fungus* 6: 145–154.
<https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2015.06.01.09>
- Saccardo, P.A. (1884) *Sylloge Fungorum vol 3*. Typis Seminarii, Italy. [in Latin]
- Spielman, L.J. (1983) *Taxonomy and biology of Valsa species on hardwoods in North America, with special reference to species on maples*, Cornell University, New York, USA.
- Spielman, L.J. (1985) A monograph of *Valsa* on hardwoods in North America. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 63: 1355–1378.
<https://doi.org/10.1139/b85-190>
- Stamatakis, A. (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688–2690.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446>
- Swofford, D.L. (2003) *PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, * and Other Methods, Version 4.0b10*, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipowski, A. & Kumar, S. (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30: 2725–2729.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197>
- Vilgalys, R. & Hester, M. (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology* 172: 4238–4246.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990>
- Wang, Y.L., Lu, Q., Jia, X.Z., Liang, J. & Zhang, X.Y. (2013) First report of branch canker caused by *Cytospora atrocirrhatta* on *Populus* sp. and *Salix* sp. in China. *Plant Disease* 97: 426.
<https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-12-0854-PDN>
- White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J. (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications* 18: 315–322.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1>
- Yang, Q., Fan, X.L., Crous, P.W., Liang, Y.M. & Tian, C.M. (2015) *Cytospora* from *Ulmus pumila* in northern China. *Mycological Progress* 14: 74.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-015-1096-1>
- Zhang, Y.B., You, C.J., Fan, X.L. & Tian, C.M. (2014) Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Cytospora* in Northeast China. *Mycosystema* 33: 806–818.